

AMERICA'S RACIAL POWDER KEG

How a Violent Dependency State
Has Been Created Within
The Black Community

AMERICA'S RACIAL POWDER KEG

How a Violent Dependency State
Has Been Created Within
The Black Community

COMPILED AND EDITED BY VICTOR THORN

PUBLISHED BY AMERICAN FREE PRESS

America's Racial Powder Keg

How a Violent Dependency State Has Been Created Within the Black Community

Compiled and Edited by Victor Thorn

ISBN: 978-0-9823448-0-4

Published by:

AMERICAN FREE PRESS 16000 Trade Zone Avenue, Unit 406 Upper Marlboro, MD 20774-8789

©2015 BY AMERICAN FREE PRESS

Ordering more copies:

Order more copies of *AMERICA'S RACIAL POWDER KEG* (softcover, 149 pages, \$15 plus \$4 S&H) from AMERICAN FREE PRESS, 16000 Trade Zone Avenue, Unit 406, Upper Marlboro, MD 20774. Call 1-888-699-6397 toll free to charge copies to Visa, MasterCard, AmEx or Discover. See more products online at Awww.AmericanFreePress.net.

Subscriptions to American Free Press newspaper:

A subscription to American Free Press newspaper is \$59 for one year (26 biweekly issues) and \$99 for two years (52 biweekly issues) inside the U.S. Outside the U.S. prices vary. You can also order at www.AmericanFreePress.net. See a special subscription offer at the back of this volume or call toll free number above and ask for best current subscription offer.

Reproduction Policy:

Material in this publication may be reproduced without prior permission in critical reviews and other papers if credit is given to author, full book title is listed and full contact information and subscription information are given for publisher as shown above.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction: A Politically Incorrect Look at Race	7
Slavery Sleight-of-Hand	17
Abraham Lincoln: Send Them Back	24
The Real Mandela	33
Mandela's Legacy	37
Pathological Altruism and the Mandela Lovefest	39
The 1992 Los Angeles Riots: A Personal Account	41
Barack Obama's Dangerous Acquaintances	48
Barack Obama: White America's Worst Nightmare	51
The Hoodlum from Harlem's Hood	54
Camden, New Jersey: A City in Ruins	57
Revealed: Barack Obama's Ties to Black Panthers	59
New Book Costs Pat Buchanan His Job	61
The Complexion of Crime in America	62
Conservative Rag Bends to Political Correctness	64
Media Covers Up Black Hate Crimes against Whites	66
Why is Chicago Dripping Blood?	69
Unreported Racial Violence Erupting Across Country	70
America's Black Eye	75
Was Trayvon Hopped up on "Purple Drank"?	81
Darkness Settles over Detroit	83
Black Leaders Advocating Genocide of 'White Devils'	87
DHS Official Booted for Advocating Genocide	90
Pathological Altruism: White Man's Burden	92
America's Appalling Racial Double Standard	94
Civil Rights Movement Needed for Whites	96
Youth Violence Dependent on Race	99
Race, Poverty Play Greater Role in Crime Than Guns	101
Blacks Not Victims of White Privilege	104
Black Religious Leaders Seek Holder's Impeachment	107
Making a Mockery of Western Education	109

6 | TABLE OF CONTENTS

15 17
17
19
21
24
26
30
35
38
41
15
2

NOTE FROM THE PUBLISHER: Nearly all of the articles included in this compendium were originally published in the pages of AMERICAN FREE PRESS newspaper or on AFP's website. The dates listed at the beginning of every article reflect the original date of publishing. Thanks go to Victor Thorn for compiling and editing this collection and for writing the Introduction. Thanks also go to Pete Papaherakles for the Afterword. This compendium also reflects contributions from Dave Gahary, Bill White and John Friend.—Ed.

A POLITICALLY INCORRECT LOOK AT RACE

olitical correctness is intellectual AIDS." Cultural conservative William Lind penned these words in 2003 during an article about the insidious Frankfurt School. In the book that follows, *America's Racial Powder Keg*, political correctness has been pitched out the window. As opposed to supposedly "conservative" outlets like Fox News who are forced to walk on eggshells in regard to racial discussions, the writers contained herein aren't restricted by such limitations. Rather, we address this explosive issue with an unflinching courage that's extremely rare in today's pampered society where countless special interest groups go out of their way looking for reasons to be offended.

In this anthology, culled by and large from the pages of AMERICAN FREE PRESS, readers will receive a refreshing dose of honesty. Instead of lightweight analysis dictated by toothless journalists, this collection directly addresses taboo topics such as how 70% of black children are born to unwed mothers, the majority of whom then proceed to collect welfare. Moreover, these "broken" black families have been reduced to living on their master's plantation (i.e., government dependency), a sit-

uation that has resulted in inner city violence, rampant drug abuse, gang warfare, and the shackles of poverty. Blacks who unquestioningly embrace the manipulative mantras spewed by race pimps have literally accepted a life of slavery peddled by multimillionaires such as Al Sharpton, Eric Holder, Jesse Jackson, and Barack Obama, all of whom profit handsomely from their misery.

How about a few other uncomfortable facts that lapdog media venues refuse to acknowledge? For instance, according to a 2005 Department of Justice report entitled "Criminal Victimization in the United States," black men raped 37,460 white women, while white men raped less than 10 black females. Where is the National Organization of Women (NOW), and why aren't they warning white females about these data? Black men rape white women at a 3,700% higher rate than white men do black women. If ever there was a so-called War on Women, it's being waged by black men. Still, the NOW and namby-pamby liberals refuse to inform vulnerable targets who are being victimized en masse.

Or, with so much enmity being directed at policemen who attempt to uphold law and order in blood-soaked urban ghettos, here's another vital point that seems to perpetually get buried. Over the past three decades, 210,000 blacks were murdered by other blacks. During this same 30-year stretch, white cops killed, at most, 1% of this total—and in the vast majority of cases their use of force was justified. However, bleeding heart white liberals, along with their NAACP counterparts, vilify the men in blue who *protect* black lives in places like Compton, Harlem, and Chicago.

As one of the contributors to this compendium, Dave Gahary discovered during a Nov. 2014 interview with the executive director of Veterans for Peace, regardless of a black perpetrator's guilt, it's still always "whitey's fault." Specifically, although a preponderance of evidence proved that a thug from Ferguson, Mo. named Michael Brown committed a strong-arm

robbery, assaulted a storekeeper, resisted arrest and tried to kill Officer Darren Wilson, he still did no wrong in the eyes of those clouded by reverse racism.

How can this phenomenon be explained? If we return to Cultural Marxists at the Frankfurt School, one of their most cherished planks revolved around the support of criminals over law-abiding citizens. Subsequently, for the past century white liberals have walked in lockstep with this corrosive mentality by despising law enforcement in favor of racial terrorists. These groups include the Black Panthers, Nation of Islam, crack-selling gang-bangers (e.g., the Crips and the Bloods) or career menaces like Rodney King. Plus, who could forget rappers dating back to NWA (Niggas With Attitude) or Body Count (featuring Ice-T) who advocated the murder of policemen ("Cop Killer"), not to mention today's brand of hip-hop mobsters that endorse slaying everyday Caucasians ("Kill whitey all nighty")?

None of these examples, though, compares to the blueprint for racial strife which arose in 1912 from a Jewish reactionary named Israel Cohen. In *A Racial Program for the 20th Century*, Cohen wrote:

We must realize our party's most powerful weapon is racial tension. By pounding into the consciousness of the dark races that for centuries they have been oppressed by the whites, we can mold them to the program of the Communist Party. In America we will aim for subtle victory. While inflaming the Negro minority against the whites, we will endeavor to instill in the whites a guilt complex for their exploitation of the Negroes. We will aid the Negroes to rise to prominence in every walk of life, in the professions and in the world of sports and entertainment. With this prestige, Negroes will be able to intermarry with whites and begin a process which will deliver America to our cause.

Clearly, Cohen called for blacks to be used as the subversive vehicles which would ultimately bring whites to their knees. Cohen's message could not be more distinct and clear. Although naysayers try to discredit this work, it received validation in June, 1957 during a civil rights debate when Mississippi Rep. Thomas G. Abernethy read a quote from Cohen's inflammatory book into the *Congressional Record*.

Despite overt attempts by the school system, government, and molly-coddled members of the mainstream media to nullify this type of speech, a few writers still possess the fearlessness to buck political correctness. One of them is Pete Papaherakles. During a Nov. 2014 interview for a book entitled The Plot to Destroy Western Civilization, Papaherakles stressed, "Here's what I feel is the most important point of all. We're systematically conditioned to not look at ourselves from a racial perspective. White superiority is demonized to such an extent that a meme of 'white pride' is now equated with something awful. Jesus and Christianity downplay racial associations. If someone declares that they're white and proud, society has psychologically conditioned everyone else into instantly labeling them nazis or KKK members. No one else has been forced to feel ashamed of their race—especially not the blacks, who boast 'black is beautiful.'"

Papaherakles continued, "Christians are especially urged to accept that all races are equal. But by focusing on this purported spiritual equality, they're condemning their own racial identity and racial pride. Ever since the 1920s, Europeans and European-Americans were brainwashed into thinking that race was a 'social construct.' The average person believes this nonsense, especially with the emergence of civil rights movements and anti-racial propaganda. According to the social controllers, a racist is someone that says there *are* differences between races which extend beyond skin color. So, if anyone claims that there exist intelligence differences between whites and blacks, they're targeted as a racist. Or, how about this one: If someone

opened a history book and pointed out that of the 1,000 most important inventions of the past 500 years, 999 of them were invented by whites, they'd be called a racist. There's a huge amount of guilt associated with even identifying oneself as white. Here's the bottom line: Religion functions as nothing more than an excuse to get people confused about race. But in my mind, the most important issue of all is that in our world today, its whites versus non-whites. For the vast majority of people, though, religion has blurred their willingness to realize how important racial identity is."

It's difficult to argue with such sound reasoning. But even if elements within our midst disagreed with these sentiments—let's say Barack Obama—why isn't he using his bully pulpit to alter the course of black decline? In other words, why hasn't Obama stood at the White House podium and urged black teenagers to pull up their pants, quit speaking in non-intelligible "Ebonics," and quit trying to emulate violent, drugaddled thug rappers? The answer is obvious: it's not the politically correct approach that descendants of Saul Alinsky and Frankfurt School luminaries chose to pursue.

Taken one step further, why hasn't Obama reminded his "people" (as Eric Holder calls them)—the ones who collect monthly welfare checks and food stamps, and receive free healthcare, along with subsidized housing—that their standard of living far exceeds those in hardworking third world countries? Ironically, these "poor" black families living in the "projects" enjoy microwave ovens, home computers, cell phones, \$200 Michael Jordan sneakers, DVD players, indoor plumbing with hot and cold running water, heat and air conditioning, as well as televisions, radios, and oftentimes automobiles. Take a look at what blacks in Africa are forced to endure. They still wash their clothes in streams and defecate behind bushes. In India, the same applies, frequently at even more appalling levels.

Better yet, these black American individuals don't have to

work for what they receive. It's given to them (by the white man) for free. In nature, do you know what happens to animals that don't take the initiative to hunt or forage for food? Pure and simple, they die. It's called the Law of the Jungle (i.e. survival of the fittest). Nonetheless, even with all these advantages provided to those on the dole, they're never satisfied, and if anyone highlights this dilemma, they're shouted down and considered "racist."

In this light, let's return to William Lind's aforementioned article. He concludes with these pivotal insights. "[Here] is political correctness's dirty little secret: It is Marxism translated from economics into culture. We know what economic Marxism did to the old Soviet Union. Are we going to permit cultural Marxism to do the same thing to the United States?"

If ever there were a wake-up call to America, the book you're about to read is it.

ADDENDUM

As this manuscript was being finalized before being sent to the publisher, two New York City police officers—Rafael Ramos and Wenjian Liu—were executed—assassinated—at point-blank range while sitting in their patrol car. They were murdered by 28-year-old Ismayyil Brinsley, a black man, who fired multiple gunshots through the squad car's front windshield.

Prior to this cold-blooded killing, Brinsley, who'd already been arrested 19 times over the past decade, shot his girlfriend in Baltimore before sending an Instagram message that declared, "I'm putting wings on pigs today . . . they take one of ours, let's take two of theirs . . . I'm putting pigs in a blanket." Afterward, Brinsley traveled to NYC with the sole intent of killing cops. Investigators are currently exploring Brinsley's connection to Baltimore's Black Guerrilla Family (aka Black

Vanguard), a Marxist revolutionary street-gang organization founded by two inmates that were incarcerated at San Quentin State Prison.

It should also be noted that on Nov. 29, 2014 Nation of Islam leader Louis Farrakhan spoke at Morgan State University. During his speech, Farrakhan openly advocated violence against whites. After calling for a race war, Farrakhan urged black mothers to school their children on how to make Molotov cocktails. "Teach your baby how to throw the bottle if they can. Fight!" Farrakhan demanded.

This blatant anti-police and anti-white mentality boiled over into full-blown pandemonium a week before Brinsley's heinous actions. At a NYC rally, despicable protesters reminiscent of the Black Liberation Army were caught on camera chanting:

```
"What do we want?"
"Dead cops!"
"When do we want it?"
"Now!"
```

Similarly, on Dec. 13, 2014 seven protesters assaulted two police officers on the Brooklyn Bridge. The assailants pushed these officers to the ground and punched them in the face before stealing their radios. Afterward, NYC Mayor Bill De Blasio, who has a black wife and black children, called it an "alleged" assault. In essence, these types of incidents have transformed into a tsunami of anti-police hatred. De Blasio, only one day prior to the cop killings, met with protesters rather than those slated to uphold law and order in his city.

Hours after Ramos and Liu were murdered, Bernie Kerik, former NYPD commissioner, insisted in a television interview, "People like Attorney General Eric Holder, Barack Obama, Al Sharpton and Bill De Blasio—all who've encouraged these protesters—have blood on their hands. . . . The lawless have been encouraged by the mayor."

Hostilities toward De Blasio within the NYPD have evolved to such depths that the men in blue circulated a petition demanding that if they were killed in the line of duty, De Blasio wasn't welcome at their funerals. Then, at a press conference following the Dec. 20 cop killings, many NYPD officers turned their backs when De Blasio approached. Their reasoning was obvious: New York's communist mayor, who previously called the cops "racist," had deliberately declawed and defanged his officers. Similarly, Holder and Obama—due to their overt hatred of police officers—have 100% taken the side of thugs like Trayvon Martin, Michael Brown and Eric Garner.

In the Big Apple, black anarchist protesters and their white liberal know-nothing lackey sidekicks completely shut down entire city blocks and stopped traffic. Only weeks earlier in Ferguson, Missouri, mobs of crazed blacks looted, torched dozens of buildings, and set a squad car ablaze.

Not surprisingly, Brinsley pumped bullets into the two unsuspecting officers as they sat outside Tomkins Public Housing Project in Brooklyn. Imagine: Policemen must be on hand at all times, 24 hours a day, near these subsidized Section VIII black ghetto jungles because the residents are so violent, antisocial and savage. Once the two innocent officers were massacred by Brinsley, eyewitnesses reported seeing occupants from the Projects laughing and applauding their deaths.

Ironically, the Tomkins Public Housing Project is located in Bedford-Stuyvesant (aka "Bed-Stuy"). This neighborhood served as the setting for racist filmmaker Spike Lee's virulent 1989 movie *Do the Right Thing* where a mob of blacks rioted, torched a pizzeria, and assaulted firemen. This flick—complete with gang-banger rap music from Public Enemy—incentivized the 1992 L.A. riots.

Of course, the entire framework for this black uprising stems from the Frankfurt School's agenda of eroding law and order by supporting criminals over those victimized by criminals. Let's examine the three poster boys championed by Sharpton, De Blasio, Obama, Holder, and their sniveling pals in the liberal mainstream media.

- Trayvon Martin: On the evening of Feb. 26, 2012 while he was casing a gated community, looking to commit a breakin and burglary, this punk had earlier visited a local convenience store to purchase ingredients needed to concoct what's called "Purple Drank." This intoxicating mixture combines watermelon-flavored Arizona iced tea, fruit-flavored Skittles candy and Robitussin cough syrup, to give the user a mind-altering buzz. After George Zimmerman shot Martin in self-defense, a cache of stolen goods was discovered in Trayvon's bedroom.
- Michael Brown: After stealing cigarillos from a minimart and assaulting its storekeeper, Brown attempted to murder Officer Darren Wilson with the cop's own gun. Brown never had his "hands up" or back turned to Wilson. Rather, the 6'4", 298-pound man rushed at the policeman and was only seconds away from murdering him.
- Eric Garner: This career criminal who had already been arrested 31 times was selling "loosies" (i.e., single cigarettes) to neighborhood kids. The parents of these youngsters were so incensed that Garner peddled cigarettes to minors that they called the cops on him. The police didn't initiate this incident. Instead, the parents of children being preyed upon by Garner wanted him off their sidewalks. Oh, and for the record, Garner didn't die of strangulation. This 360-pound load suffered a heart attack from being so obese. Also, Garner couldn't have said "I can't breathe" on 11 separate occasions while resisting arrest. Here's a little secret: If you're being strangled to such an extent that it causes asphyxiation, you can't speak. Try it sometime. Garner knew that cell phone cameras were recording the entire scene, so he faked his "I can't breathe" mantra 11 times in hopes of later filing a police brutality lawsuit.

—Victor Thorn January 2015



In this Zuma Press photo, Michael Brown's stepfather, Louis Head, urges the crowd to burn the city of Ferguson, Mo., to the ground after learning that the grand jury had decided not to indict police officer Darren Wilson in the death of the 18-year-old after the officer was attacked by Brown.

SLAVERY SLEIGHT-OF-HAND

By Victor Thorn October 2013

ossibly the most insidious element of racial manipulation—one that still casts its dark, ominous shadow today—involves the enormous suppression of data revolving around black slavery. So, to set the record straight, let's clarify a few items. From prebiblical times, every culture on Earth has owned slaves. In deepest, blackest Africa, circa the 1600s, most natives essentially roamed and existed much like animals. The Zulus—one of the more advanced tribes—were so primitive that their numerical system only contained three components: "one," "two" and "many." Their ability to conceptualize numbers never extended beyond two, so anything greater than that figure was referred to as "many." The way they counted was: one, two and many.

This historical embarrassment could explain Barack Obama's inability to come up with any ideas on how to curtail annual trillion-dollar deficits. Below is an example of how Obama probably analyzes the yearly budgets presented to him by Congress:

Military spending: many dollars
Education budget: many dollars
Transportation: many dollars
Agriculture: many dollars
Energy: many dollars

Welfare spending: many, many dollars

Civilization in Africa had so utterly failed to progress that by 1600 the wheel hadn't even been invented. Whereas many other parts of the world were ready to enter an industrial revolution, blacks hadn't yet figured out the benefits of a simple wheel. Nor had they constructed any type of dwellings beyond single-story grass-and-stick huts.

Notwithstanding this inconvenient truth, it's time to set a few other facts straight. First, black elites owned slaves in Africa long before any were exported to foreign lands. That's not all. These black kings also owned approximately 1.5 million white European slaves in what was known as the Barbary slave trade that continued until the 1700s. Many of these white European women were forced to endure life as sex slaves to their Mandingo handlers.

Secondly, the 1970s miniseries *Roots* depicted white captors as racing through African jungles trying to ensnare slaves such as Kunta Kinte. Noting could be further from the truth. When transatlantic ships docked at African ports, the men aboard barely had to move a muscle.

Why? Because black slave owners and other dark-skinned Arab Muslims had already tracked down and seized their "products" (i.e., jungle Africans). So, in essence, when slave ships floated into African ports, it was kind of like pizzas were being delivered to them. They simply opened their cargo doors, and Africans herded in their shackled "brethren" like cattle. If one tribe warred against another tribe and won, all their captured enemies would be cast in chains and prepared for sale to the next arriving ship. What did these black slave owners demand for their herds of Kunta Kintes? They usually sought little more than cases of rum.

Most importantly, regardless of all the political brainwashing mumbo-jumbo, the very second a slave left Africa and made his or her way to anywhere else, their standard of living immediately improved by 1,000%. Leaving Africa stood as a blessing, not a curse. Why do you think all the blacks refused to return to their homeland, even when given the chance? It's because in Africa, blacks resided on the exact same level as chimpanzees and other animals.

In a 1997 article for The Barnes Review, Robert M. Grooms referenced Confederate Gen. Robert E. Lee. Grooms wrote, "[Lee] concluded that black slaves were immeasurably better off here [in America] than in Africa—morally, socially and physically."

THE REAL SLAVE PEDDLERS

Although white Christian American males have been scapegoats for the past 400 years (i.e. the evil source of slavery), it's time everyone wised up to the trickery that's been played on them. What's been concealed by your educational system is that Jewish businessmen owned nearly all the African slave ships that carted blacks to America, as well as running the auctions where they were sold.

Moreover, the vast majority of these Africans were never destined for the United States. In an Apr. 21, 2013 article for *Before It's News*, Julie Mitchell offered this highly relevant statistic. "The institution of slavery on the North American continent was somewhat of a rarity between 1525 and 1866. During that 341-year period, 388,000, or approximately, at a yearly average, 1,138 Negro slaves arrived every year . . . few Americans probably ever met any other American in possession of a slave."

Black history professor Henry Louis Gates—famous for being involved in Barack Obama's 2009 "beer summit" with police sergeant James Crowley following a skirmish in Cambridge, Mass.—expounded upon the transatlantic slave trade in an Oct. 15, 2012 article.

"Between 1525 and 1866," Gates noted, "12.5 million Africans were shipped to the New World. [Of them], 10.7 million survived the dreaded Middle Passage [before] disembarking in North America, the Caribbean and South America. How many of these 10.7 million Africans were shipped directly to

North America? Only about 388,000. That's right: a tiny percentage. In fact, an overwhelming percentage of African slaves were shipped directly to the Caribbean and South America."

So, only about 5% of all exported African slaves ever landed in North America. All the others—95%—were transported to owners (mostly Hispanic) in Latin America and the Caribbean.

Of the slaves who were relocated to America, 70% had Jewish owners. Thus, even though the proportionality of actual slavery in North America has been grotesquely exaggerated, the largest per capita keepers of slaves were Jewish. On top of that, few realize that American Indians also kept black slaves.

Here's another tidbit. The root word of "slavery" is derived from "Slav." If we look at the Khazars, who were Turks that adopted Judaism around the year A.D. 900, one of their primary forms of commerce was capturing Slavic white people (Slavs) and selling them. Thus, the slave trade was, is, and has always been a Jewish phenomenon.

To clarify another matter, according to Grooms, "Only 1.4% of whites in the country [America] owned slaves, with 4.8% of Southern whites owning one or more slaves." Grooms continued, "The majority of slaveholders, white and black, owned only one to five slaves . . . black and white masters worked alongside their charges, be it in the house, field or workshop. The few individuals who owned 50 or more slaves were confined to the top 1%."

BLACKS OWNING BLACK SLAVES

Careful readers will have noticed a somewhat peculiar statement in the above section. Namely, that blacks in America owned black slaves. In fact, circa 1651, a black tobacco farmer named Anthony Johnson became the first official owner of black slaves in America. Yes, the first American owner of a black slave was a black man.

Here's another mind-blower. By 1860 as murmurs of a possible war of Southern secession could no longer be denied, 28% of all blacks living in New Orleans owned black slaves.

Gates—and remember: He's a black man—provided a few other interesting points that rarely make it into history books:

- "Free black people in this country bought and sold other black people, and did so at least since 1654.
- "Free Negroes had a real economic interest in the institution of slavery and held slaves in order to improve their economic status.
- "Free black people owned slaves in each of the 13 original states, and later in every state that still countenanced slavery.
- "Free black people could even own the services of white indentured servants in Virginia.
- "Southern blacks formed militias during the "Civil War"—to defend the Confederacy, not defeat it.
- "Negro slave owners fought to maintain the right to own black slaves (chattel) during the Civil War.
- "Two black women in Louisiana, Madame Antoine Dublucet and Madame Ciprien Ricard, respectively owned 44 and 35 black slaves each.
- "Free black men in the U.S. sold their black children to other slave owners for profit."

Lastly, after the Civil War, some blacks had become so accustomed to and accepting of their lives as slaves that they petitioned the courts to allow their white masters to keep owning them. They preferred being slaves over having to support themselves. If the truth is told, today's urban subsidized housing projects are little more than a 21st century plantation where colloquial "massuhs" cracking their whips have been replaced by Big Government liberals handing out welfare checks, free "Obama phones" and food stamps.

THE CONSPIRACY EXPOSED

Black African slave owners and the Jews who purchased, transported and sold them at auctions were almost entirely responsible for this phenomenon in America. Yet, European whites that moved to America have been castigated unmercifully for being responsible for this practice. If the truth is told, whites on the continent of Europe were the first people in the world to outlaw slavery. This trend was then suggested to their white counterparts in America, who set the wheels in motion to abolish this custom during 1775 to the mid-1860s.

In Africa today, blacks still own black slaves. In the west African nation of Mauritania, the aid group SOS Slavery estimated that 20% of blacks in that country are still enslaved by other blacks. If we look back further in time, though, before the Jews ever showed up in Africa during the 1600s, blacks never advanced beyond the status of jungle men. Whereas Europeans had already constructed magnificent cathedrals and castles, Africans—who were incontrovertibly different than whites in terms of intellect, demeanor and appearance—didn't even know that concepts such as "equality" existed.

Thus, to illegitimately blame whites for being racist slave owners is an error and historic inaccuracy of appalling proportions, especially when whites were the primary movers in ending this convention. Even the Founding Fathers granting blacks status as being three-fifths of a person was a monumental step forward for blacks at the time. It was a groundbreaking evolution in thought, not—as many race pimps claim—a slight against blacks. The Founding Fathers were paving the way to end slavery.

Still, a vendetta against whites has been perpetrated nonstop ever since the Civil War. As American Free Press reporter Pete Papaherakles wrote, "The Jews have been promoting racial tensions between blacks and whites at least since 1852



Full-page illustration by Hammatt Billings for *Uncle Tom's Cabin* depicts Eliza telling Uncle Tom that he has been sold and she is running away to save her child. (First Edition: Boston: John P. Jewett and Company, 1852).

with *Uncle Tom's Cabin* by Harriet Beecher Stowe, the most promoted book in American history and the best-selling novel of the entire 19th century. The book was initially used to start the abolitionist movement that led to the Civil War, the bloodiest war in American history, with 620,000 dead."

Even during the Civil War, President Abraham Lincoln pondered what a post-slavery America would look like. His solution: Send blacks abroad. In 1862, Lincoln secured funding from Congress to make this proposal an actuality. The only problem was, only a few hundred of them actually joined the resettlement movement. Why? Because they realized how much better their lives were in America. Yes, this same America which they now so despise.

Today, race profiteers such as Rev. Al Sharpton, Jesse Jackson, Barack Obama, the NAACP, and the Congressional Black Caucus have made guilt feelings over slavery their primary business model. Black "victimization" is their business, and

they have to make sure that their product doesn't become obsolete. Trayvon Martin and Michael Brown simply became their latest poster boys to falsely blame whites for slavery. Sadly, due to the modern-day witch-hunts of political correctness, few people have the courage to speak truthfully about the real history of slavery and who was ultimately behind it.

* * *

ABRAHAM LINCOLN: SEND THEM BACK

By Pete Papaherakles December 20, 2014

braham Lincoln is seen by most people as the Great Emancipator who freed blacks from tyrannical slavery because he was such a strong believer in racial equality. Nothing could be further from the truth. Emancipation was a political strategy for Lincoln, and he never had any intention of integrating blacks into white society. What he wanted was to send them back to Africa—or anywhere else—so they wouldn't remain in America. Nor did he ever believe that blacks should have the same rights as whites.

Lincoln made his views clear in many speeches, debates, and even told the blacks themselves what he thought of them. On Sept. 18, 1858 during his fourth debate with Stephen Douglas, Douglas accused Lincoln of supporting Negro equality. Lincoln made his position clear. "I will say that I am not, nor ever have been, in favor of bringing about in any way the social and political equality of the white and black races." Lincoln went on to say that he opposed blacks having the right to vote, to serve on juries, to hold office, and to intermarry with whites.

He believed that slavery was wrong, and that all men were created equal, but only in the sense that blacks, like whites, had the right to improve their condition in society by enjoying the fruits of their labor.

At another speech in Springfield, Illinois on June 26, 1857, Lincoln said:

There is a natural disgust in the minds of nearly all white people to the idea of indiscriminate amalgamation of the white and black races. Separation of the races is the only perfect preventive of amalgamation, but as immediate separation is impossible, the next best thing is to keep them apart where they are not already together.

I have no purpose directly or indirectly to interfere with the institution of slavery in the states where it exists. I believe I have no lawful right to do so, and I have no inclination to do so.

I have no purpose to introduce political and social equality between the white and black races. There are physical differences between the two which, in my judgment, will probably forever forbid their living together upon the footing of perfect equality, and inasmuch as it becomes a necessity that there must be a difference, I, as well as Judge Douglas, am in favor of the race to which I belong having the superior position.

I will say then that I am not, nor ever have been, in favor of bringing about in any way the social and political equality of the white and black races. I am not, nor ever have been, in favor of making voters or jurors of Negroes, nor of qualifying them to hold office, nor to intermarry with white people.


In an Oct. 16, 1854 speech delivered in Peoria, Illinois, Lincoln was already contemplating what to do with the Negroes if they were emancipated. "If all earthly power were given me," said Lincoln, "I should not know what to do as to the existing institution [of slavery]. My first impulse would be to free all the slaves and send them to Liberia, to their own native

land. Let us be brought to believe it is morally right, and, at the same time, favorable to, or, at least, not against, our interest, to transfer the African to his native clime, and we shall find a way to do it, however great the task may be."

Lincoln was not the first to propose sending Negroes back to Africa. In Dec. 1816 a group of distinguished Americans met in Washington, DC to establish an organization which promoted the cause of black resettlement. The American Colonization Society soon won backing from some of the young nation's most prominent citizens. Henry Clay, Francis Scott Key, John Randolph, Thomas Jefferson, James Madison, James Monroe, Bushrod Washington, Charles Carroll, Millard Fillmore, John Marshall, Roger B. Taney, Andrew Jackson, Daniel Webster, Stephen A. Douglas, and Abraham Lincoln were members.

In fact, an entire territory about the size of Pennsylvania had been bought on the coast of western Africa by the American Colonization Society in 1820 for the purpose of sending Negro slaves from America back to Africa. The country was named Liberia, symbolic of liberty for the blacks. The country is still there today, and its capital, Monrovia, is named after James Monroe, who, along with Thomas Jefferson, was instrumental in establishing the country in 1822. Even the flag of Liberia is almost identical to the U.S. flag, while their Constitution is based upon ours.

Some basic facts about slavery need to be cleared up at this point because most people today are not familiar with them. Slavery was a universally accepted institution since the beginning of time, until 1537 (Pope Paul III). When blacks were brought to America as slaves, they were already slaves to African slave owners. Slavery was practiced in China, India, throughout the Muslim world, in Europe, in the Americas, and all across Africa. In fact, it is still practiced today in many African countries. In Mauritania, west Africa, 20% of the population, or 600,000 people, still live under slavery. That's right.



The Freedmen's Monument in Lincoln Park, Washington, D.C., pays homage to the American president whose Emancipation Proclamation in effect liberated the slaves. But it was never Lincoln's intention for blacks to integrate into white society. His vision was to ship them back to Africa or Central America.

In the year 2014, 20% of Mauritanians are slaves. One could buy a slave there today if one so desired. A male slave costs about \$300, and a female \$150. Apparently, women's equality is not big in Mauritania either.

It is only through the prism of liberal egalitarian thinking that slavery is abhorrently evil. Aristotle was an advocate of slavery, as were many other thinkers over the ages. Anti-slavery sentiments are based on the premise that all people are equal and that they should be free. But these premises are not supported by natural law. Freedom is not a given in the real world, but something one generally has to fight for. America was not given its freedom by the British. Americans had to fight for it. In contrast, blacks in the United States and Confederate States for the most part never fought, but were handed their freedom—whether they liked it or not—on a silver platter after 620,000 whites killed one another in the so-called Civil War.

It is a well-documented fact that the slave trade has been primarily run by Jews since antiquity. In *The Secret Relationship* Between the Blacks and Jews published by Nation of Islam leader Louis Farrakhan in 1991, it's clearly documented that most of the slave ship owners and captains who brought blacks to America were Jews, with one Aaron Lopez owning 12 slave ships. Africans were mostly brought to the U.S. through Providence, Rhode Island and Charlestown, South Carolina. These two cities were major centers where Jews lived at that time. Even slave auctions were run by Jews, and were closed on Saturdays (the Jewish sabbath) and on Jewish holidays. This information is well documented by many scholars. It's not anti-Semitic propaganda. That's not to say that only Jews were involved. However, when Portuguese, Spanish, or American slave traders are cited in history books, they're usually Portuguese Jews, Spanish Jews, and American Jews.

Unlike the fairytales told to Americans through fiction movies such as the *Roots* miniseries, slave traders never went hunting down Kunta Kinte in the African savannah. As a matter of fact, they never even left their ships. They showed up in Africa with ships filled with cases of rum. African slave owners unloaded these cases of rum and filled the ships with African slaves, who were then brought to America and sold at Jewish slave auctions—to mostly Jew slave brokers—who then sold them to plantation owners. Less than 2% of Americans ever owned slaves.

After the Founding Fathers established this nation as a "land of the free," they started thinking that slavery might not be such a good institution. They were among the first in the world to think in these liberal terms, and certainly the first ones to do something constructive about it by purchasing the Liberian territory.

Blacks, however, had never known any way of life other than slavery. Compared to the brutal slavery they were subjected to in Africa and primitive living conditions on the Dark Continent, slavery in America was a gift from heaven. Unlike in Africa, here they had a real roof over their heads, clothes, shoes, and three square meals a day. The living conditions in their new homeland were infinitely better. They lived in a civilized country without malaria, yellow fever, snakes, crocodiles, or lions, tigers, cannibals and other beasts running after them. They had medical care, opportunities to learn a trade, not to mention reading, writing, and other skills. Picking cotton was infinitely easier than survival in the jungle. The *Roots* propaganda of the massa's "crackers" whipping them every day is pure fantasy not substantiated by historical evidence.

Although six presidents—Thomas Jefferson, James Monroe, James Madison, Andrew Jackson, Millard Fillmore and Abraham Lincoln—had every intention of liberating the blacks and sending them to Liberia, it proved more difficult than they envisioned. While the logistics of compensating plantation owners for their financial investments surely played a role, as did other factors, a main problem was that blacks themselves flat out didn't want to leave. The ones old enough to remember Africa wouldn't go back for all the promises in the world. They were no fools. Some other reasons the movement never became successful were objections raised by free blacks, the scale and cost of moving so many people, and the difficulty of finding locations willing to accept large numbers of black newcomers, as native African tribes did not want American blacks coming in.

By the time Lincoln became president, it became painfully clear that the plan to repatriate blacks to Liberia might not be workable since no more than 10,000 blacks had relocated there over a period of 40 years. Meanwhile, the black American population was exponentially increasing. Whereas there were only 1 million blacks in the U.S in 1800, by 1860 that number had exploded to 4 million.

Convinced that it was impossible for blacks and whites to live together, Lincoln began looking for alternative locations to relocate them. He looked for suitable locations in Central America, Mexico and the Caribbean. He finally decided on Chiriqui, a province of what today is Panama, and made arrangements to start a black colony there that he named Lincolnia.

On Aug. 14, 1862 Lincoln met with five free black ministers to sell them on the Chiriqui idea, the first time a delegation of their race was invited to the White House on a matter of public policy. Lincoln made no effort to engage in conversation with the visitors, who were bluntly informed that they had been invited to listen. Lincoln did not mince words, but candidly told the group:

You and we are different races. We have between us a broader difference than exists between almost any other two races. Whether it is right or wrong, I need not discuss, but this physical difference is a great disadvantage to us both, as I think your race suffers greatly by living among us, while ours suffers from your presence. In a word, we suffer on each side. If this is admitted, it affords a reason at least why we should be separated.

Even when you cease to be slaves, you are yet far removed from being placed on an equal scale with the white race. The aspiration of men is to enjoy equality with the best when free, but on this broad continent, not a single man of your race is made the equal of a single man of ours. Go where you are treated the best, and the ban is still upon you.

We look to our condition, owing to the existence of the two races on this continent. I need not recount to you the effects upon white men growing out of the institution of slavery. I believe in its general evil effects on the white race.

See our present condition—the country engaged in war—our white men cutting one another's throats, none knowing how far it will extend; and then consider what we know to be the truth. But for your race among us there could not be war, although many men engaged on either

side do not care for you one way or the other. Nevertheless, I repeat, without the institution of slavery, and the colored race as a basis, the war would not have an existence. It is better for us both, therefore, to be separated.

An excellent site for black resettlement, President Lincoln went on, was available in Central America. It had good harbors and an abundance of coal that would permit the colony to be quickly put on a firm financial footing. Lincoln concluded by asking the delegation to determine if a number of freed men with their families would be willing to go as soon as arrangements could be made. His plan eventually fell through. Blacks didn't want to go, and there were problems with securing the territory.

Upon deciding to enact the Emancipation Proclamation, Lincoln told a delegation of abolitionist Christian ministers, "Understand, I raise no objections against it [slavery] on legal or constitutional grounds . . . I view the matter [emancipation] as a practical war measure to be decided upon according to the advantages or disadvantages it may offer to the suppression of the rebellion."

In a response letter to *The New York Times*, Lincoln wrote, "My paramount object in this struggle is to save the union, and is not either to save or destroy slavery. If I could save the union without freeing any slave, I would do it, and if I could save it by freeing all the slaves, I would do it; and if I could save it by freeing some and leaving others alone, I would also do that. What I do about slavery and the colored race, I do because I believe it helps to save the union."

If we fast-forward to April 9, 1865, Gen. Robert E. Lee surrendered his army to Gen. Grant at Appomattox Courthouse, and by the end of May all fighting had ceased. The Civil War was over. A short time before his death on April 15, 1865, Lincoln met with Gen. Benjamin F. Butler, who reported that the president spoke to him of "exporting" the blacks.

What shall we do with the Negroes after they are free?" Lincoln asked. "I hardly believe the South and North can live in peace unless we can get rid of the Negroes. . . . I believe it would be better to export them all to a fertile country with a good climate which they could have to themselves. . . . If these black soldiers of ours go back to the South, I am afraid that they will be but little better off with their masters than they were before, and yet they will be free men. I fear a race war, and it will be at least a guerrilla war because we have taught these men how to fight . . . there are plenty of men in the North who will furnish the Negroes with arms if there is any oppression of them by their late masters.

To his dying day, it appears, Lincoln did not believe that harmony between whites and blacks in one country was feasible, and viewed resettlement of the blacks as a preferable alternative to race conflict. "Although Lincoln believed in the destruction of slavery," concluded black historian Charles Wesley in an article for *The Journal of Negro History*, "he desired the complete separation of whites and blacks. Throughout his political career, Lincoln persisted in believing in the colonization of the Negro."

Frederick Douglass, an African-American writer and activist who knew Lincoln, characterized him in a speech delivered in 1876. "In his interest, in his association, in his habits of thought and in his prejudices, he was a white man. He was preeminently the white man's president, entirely devoted to the welfare of the white man. He was ready and willing at any time during the first years of his administration to deny, postpone, and sacrifice the rights of humanity in the colored people, to promote the welfare of the white people of this country."

Allan Nevins, one of this century's most prolific and acclaimed historians of U.S. history, summed up Lincoln's view of this complex issue of race and his vision of America's fu-

ture. "His conception ran beyond the mere liberation of 4 million colored folk. It implied a far-reaching alteration of American society, industry, and government. A gradual planned emancipation, a concomitant transportation of hundreds of thousands and perhaps even millions of people overseas, a careful governmental nursing of the new colonies, and a payment of unprecedented sums to the section thus deprived of its old labor supply—this scheme carried unprecedented implications."

* * *

THE REAL MANDELA

TRUTH ABOUT SOUTH AFRICAN ICON AT ODDS WITH PUBLIC PORTRAYAL

By Pete Papaherakles July 30, 2013

s South Africa's 95-year-old Nelson Mandela [lay dying] in the hospital, the worldwide media portrays him as a larger-than-life heroic figure and liberator of his people. But is that truth or fiction? And how will honest historians judge him?

The official story goes something like this: Nelson Rolihlahla Mandela was born in 1918 into the Thembu tribe's royal family. He studied law at two prestigious universities and became involved in "anti-colonial politics," joining the African National Congress (ANC). He was committed to non-violent protest in gaining sovereignty for blacks. In 1962 he was arrested and convicted of sabotage and conspiracy to overthrow the government of South Africa and was sentenced to life in prison.

An international campaign lobbied for his release, which was granted in 1990, and he was hailed as martyr to white

racism by the international media. This popularity propelled him to be elected president of South Africa in 1994, where he continued with his struggle to "end ethnic tensions and bring about racial equality." Over the years, Mandela has received over 250 awards, including the 1993 Nobel Peace Prize, the U.S. Presidential Medal of Freedom, and the Soviet Order of Lenin.

That's the official story. His critics, however, have a different opinion. They point to the fact that Mandela was not imprisoned for opposing apartheid, or separate development, in Africa, but for being a communist terrorist murderer-bomber in service to the Soviet Union.

The ANC's guerrilla force, known as uMkhonto we Sizwe—MK, or "Spear of the Nation"—was founded in 1961 by Mandela and his advisor, the Lithuanian-born communist Jew Joe Slovo, born Yossel Mashel Slovo, who was officially named secretary general of the South African Communist Party in 1986.

Slovo planned many of the ANC terrorist attacks, as detailed in the book *Victory or Violence: The Story of the AWB of South Africa*, including the January 8, 1982 attack on the Koeberg nuclear power plant near Cape Town, the Church Street bombing on May 20, 1983, which killed 19 people, and the June 14, 1986 car-bombing of Magoo's Bar in Durban, in which three people were killed and 73 injured.

In 1962, Mandela was arrested along with 19 others, half of whom were white communist Jews, in a police raid of ANC headquarters at a farm owned by Andrew Goldreich—also a communist Jew—at Rivonia, a Johannesburg suburb.

In the Rivonia Trial, which took place between 1963 and 1964, the defendants were tried for 221 acts of sabotage designed to overthrow the government and conspiring to aid foreign military units, when they invaded South Africa to further the objects of communism.

The prosecutor, Percy Yutar said at the trial that "produc-

tion requirements for munitions were sufficient to blow up a city the size of Johannesburg."

Escaping the death sentence, Mandela was given life in prison.

By 1990, the communists behind Mandela had gained enough power to force his release. Apartheid was abolished in 1992, and the ANC was put into power in 1994 with Mandela as president. Slovo became his secretary of housing.

Shortly thereafter, Mandela and Slovo, along with a group of ANC leaders, were filmed chanting a pledge to kill all whites in South Africa.

Current South African President Jacob Zuma, also of the ANC, was filmed as late as January 2012 singing a song called "Kill the Boer" in front of thousands of blacks while they cheered and danced. The song advocates the murder of the descendants of the original white settlers of South Africa, with lyrics encouraging blacks to gun down the farmers with machine guns.

Mandela's ex-wife Winnie, also a longtime ANC activist, prefers a hideous murder method called "necklacing" wherein a gasoline-filled tire is placed around the neck of a victim and set ablaze. "With our boxes of matches and our necklaces we shall liberate this country," she is infamous for saying.

(Mandela was in solitary confinement at the time of the necklacing torture-murders. An estimated 3,000 victims died by necklacing.)

Since 1994, 68,000 whites have been brutally tortured and murdered by blacks in South Africa, in ways too gruesome to describe, including almost 4,000 Boers whose farms were confiscated by savage murderers, a combined area of over 25,000 square miles.

Contrary to popular belief, the vast majority of blacks in South Africa aren't natives, but came by the millions from neighboring countries only after the white Boers created a European-style country with a thriving economy, educational op-



CARTOON BY PETE PAPAHERAKLES

portunities and medical benefits.

Under white rule, blacks in South Africa enjoyed better living conditions than any other African country, where blacks kill each other in tribal warfare.

In 1994, the same year Mandela took power, the Hutu tribe killed 800,000 Tutsis in Rwanda. Similar tribal genocides have taken place in Congo, Somalia, Ethiopia, Chad, Mali, Zimbabwe, Angola and many more African countries. Tribal savagery and genocide have always been a way of life for black Africans.

Since Mandela took over, South Africa has become a Third World cesspool. It went from being the safest country in Africa to being the rape and murder capital of the world. In Johannesburg, 5,000 people are murdered every year. Unemployment went from 5% in 1994 to 50% today.

South Africa also has the largest number of people infected with HIV/AIDS in the world. In 2007, over 18% of

adults, or 5,700,000 people, had AIDS. In 2010, an estimated 280,000 died of AIDS.

Looking beyond the media myth of a "demigod Mandela" as he faces his twilight, one can only say, "good riddance."



MANDELA'S LEGACY MAINSTREAM CANONIZATION A FRAUD

By Pete Papaherakles December 31, 2013

n December 5, 2013 one of the most notorious terrorists of our time, Nelson Mandela, was finally proclaimed dead at the age of 95. In his long career, Mandela and the African National Congress (ANC), the Marxist terror organization he founded in 1961 with Joe Slovo, born Yossel Mashel Slovo, his Jewish communist handler, have been responsible for tens, if not hundreds, of thousands of murders in South Africa.

Declared a terrorist organization by President Ronald Reagan in the 1980s, the ANC was on a terror watch list and Mandela was forbidden entry to the United States without a special waiver for over 20 years until President George W. Bush signed a bill removing the ANC from the list in 2008.

In 1962 Mandela was arrested and convicted of sabotage and conspiracy to overthrow the South African government on behalf of the Soviet Union and was sentenced to life in prison, barely escaping a death sentence. At his trial, he pleaded guilty to 156 acts of public violence that included mobilizing terrorist bombing campaigns, which placed bombs in public places. The attacks included bombing the Johannesburg railway sta-

tion where many innocent people were killed, including women and children.

While Mandela was in prison, the ANC brutally murdered about 20,000 people in a campaign of terror to force them to join the ANC. Mandela's wife Winnie—whom he later divorced—was also a longtime ANC activist. She favored a murder method called "necklacing," where a gasoline-filled tire is placed around the neck of a victim and set ablaze.

"With our boxes of matches and our necklaces we shall liberate this country," she is infamous for saying.

An estimated *3,000 victims died by necklacing*. It is widely believed that Mandela ordered these murders from prison.

A bevy of bombings and land mine incidents killed hundreds and injured thousands of innocent civilians in public places while Mandela was in prison. Targets included the Koeberg nuclear power plant, the Ellis Park Rugby Stadium, three courthouses, bars and arcades.

In 1985, Mandela was offered a release from prison by then-President P.W. Botha on the condition that he renounce violence and help end the bloodshed. Mandela refused.

By 1990, the communists behind Mandela had gained enough power to force his release. In 1993 he was awarded the Nobel Peace Prize, and following the collapse of apartheid in South Africa was elected president in 1994. Mandela's Jewish communist collaborator, Slovo, became his secretary of housing. The two of them can be seen *on a video clip* of that era chanting their pledge to "kill all the whites."

Since that time, an estimated 70,000 whites have been brutally murdered in South Africa by ANC blacks, a policy that continues today and is publicly endorsed by Jacob Zuma, South Africa's current president.

President Barack Obama, also a Nobel Peace Prize laureate who visited South Africa during his \$100M African vacation last summer, delivered a eulogy for Mandela at the White House. He mourned the loss of Mandela, with whom he shares the dis-

tinction of being his nation's first black president.

"We have lost one of the most influential, courageous and profoundly good human beings that any of us will share time with on this Earth," said Obama. "I am one of the countless millions who drew inspiration from Nelson Mandela's life. . . . I cannot fully imagine my own life without the example that Nelson Mandela set, and so long as I live I will do what I can to learn from him."



PATHOLOGICAL ALTRUISM AND THE MANDELA LOVEFEST

Is "white guilt" trip the impetus for outpouring of affection for avowed terrorist?

By Pete Papaherakles January 2, 2014

n December 10, 2013 thousands of mourners attended former South African President Nelson Mandela's memorial and funeral, including 90 heads of state, royalty, celebrities and VIPs. Four U.S. presidents, including Barack Obama, also showed up to pay their respects to this terrorist thug who was responsible for the deaths of thousands of innocent South Africans.

Since Mandela's death on December 5, the world has been immersed in an over-the-top nonstop lovefest for Mandela. The media praised him, and the prolonged ceremonies and large international attendance of the proceedings have so-

lidified the image of a man of peace.

The reason whites can't see Mandela as a real criminal is because most of them have been afflicted by a condition identified as pathological altruism, more commonly known as white guilt.

While many blacks in South Africa have some reason to be hailing Mandela as their great liberator, there is no rational explanation for whites to be singing his praises. After all, aren't whites the bad guys in this scenario? If whites are saying Mandela is a liberator, then by definition they are accepting the accusation of having been the oppressors, and this marks them with the stamp of guilt.

Whites have been brainwashed for over half a century that they bear responsibility for the shortcomings of minorities. White pride has been stamped out and replaced with shame for oppressing blacks, American Indians, Jews, women, homosexuals and every other perceived "victim group."

An orchestrated and sustained "guilt trip" against whites on all fronts by the print media, television, Hollywood, music industry, and the controlled education system since the 1950s has produced two generations of whites afflicted with pathological altruism.

Whites, who have accepted and internalized this guilt, no longer feel proud that they single-handedly created Western civilization. Instead, whites are portrayed as the root of all evil and remain oppressors to this day.

It is this deep-rooted guilt and self-hate that drives the perceptions of whites and makes them less likely to identify with their own race and instead to root for those whom they perceive as having been victimized by whites.

With the promotion of the myth of South African apartheid's oppression of blacks, Mandela is now seen as the liberator of his people, and whites want to see him as such to satisfy their liberal pathological altruism-induced desire for guilt redemption.

Seen through the jaded prism of pathological altruism, all of Mandela's crimes are whitewashed, and even if he did murder thousands of blacks and whites in South Africa—well, they must have had it coming.



THE 1992 LOS ANGELES RIOTS: A PERSONAL ACCOUNT

By Victor Thorn June 2012

June 17, 2012, I figured it was now time to finally write about an event that I personally experienced up close and personal 20 years ago: the L.A. Riots. I remember seeing the infamous video footage of LAPD officers beating King following a high-speed chase through the San Fernando Valley. Of course, networks edited the tape to show policemen in the worst light possible. In actuality, King led cops on a 100+-mph death race before lashing out at them after being pulled over.

King enjoyed every opportunity to simply submit to arrest. After all, he caused this mayhem in the first place by not pulling his car over when cops turned on their flashing lights. Instead, he charged at officers, swung his fists wildly, and acted in the fashion of someone crazed by PCP. In the end, officers beat the hell out of him, and rightly so.

Later, a jury that viewed *all the evidence* determined that the policemen involved in King's beating were innocent. Within minutes of the verdict being delivered, everyone in this already racially charged city knew that all hell would soon break loose. I was at work that day with the radio on. Once

42

news flashed across the airwaves, I picked up the phone and made a quick call. "This city is going to start burning," I said.

Sure enough, within an hour reports began filtering in that violence had broken out in various pockets around the city. This information particularly affected the company I worked at for two reasons. One, we were located in Carson, which is not only a part of south-central L.A., but is also nicknamed "Little Watts" (think, the 1965 Watts riots). Secondly, although our primary business was security products, we also operated about two dozen check cashing locations. In other words, each store held tens of thousands of dollars cash, at a bare minimum.

By mid-afternoon, the owner of our company realized that since cash is not insurable, we stood to lose incredible amounts of money if we didn't empty the safes at these locations in rapid fashion. Not wasting any time, each of our security guards leaped into the armored vans and regular Chevy vans before zipping into action to clean out the stores before looters did.

Not long afterward, as the warehouse manager for our company, I started seeing vans frantically backing up against the loading dock. With firearms strapped to their sides, they hurriedly leaped from the vans, propped open the back and side doors of their vehicles, and then began hurling large green garbage bags filled with cash, lottery tickets, money orders and books of stamps onto the warehouse floor.

As one van pulled out, another raced in behind, followed by more large garbage bags and bank satchels teeming with money. With adrenalin pumping at maximum level, some of the guards mentioned hearing shots being fired as they wheeled out assets from the check cashing locations. On top of that, nobody had the time to take inventory of what came into my warehouse. Rather, my pal "Little Pete" and me merely grabbed the bags filled with money and hauled them to the front-office conference room. At one point we noticed an en-

tire brick of unopened lottery tickets. Momentarily seeing visions of scratching off winning tickets worth thousands of dollars, we ultimately decided against stealing the tickets and instead took them up front with the other deliveries.

As pandemonium continued to unfold, some of the security guards said that they'd heard reports of some gangs of blacks moving toward our business park. With mountains of money accumulating in the conference room, the owner of our company stormed back into the warehouse with a shotgun in his hands.

Approaching my sidekick Jim and me, he handed us the gun and—staring directly into our eyes—said with utter seriousness, "I want you to patrol the area for the next hour until we get this money situation under control. If you see any gangbangers coming toward the office, you shoot those bastards first and ask questions later." We took him at his word.

So, for the next hour we patrolled the streets around our corporate headquarters. Although we could see smoke in the distance and felt an undeniable tension in the air, there weren't any Crips or Bloods in sight. A little disappointed that we didn't get to pull the trigger even once, we high-tailed it back to the office.

Once inside, we gravitated toward the conference room where rows of eight-foot folding tables held stacks of money bundled with rubber bands, not to mention bricks of lottery tickets, money orders and stamps. Amazed by the sight, I asked one of our accountants how much dough was sitting inside this room. He responded, "At last count, we passed the million-dollar mark." Right there before my eyes was over a million bucks in cold hard cash. It was pretty exciting.

Anyway, with nothing more to do, I drove to my apartment in San Pedro. After turning on the TV, I saw a scene unfold that forever transformed me. At the intersection of Florence and Normandie in south central L.A. fires had already been set as scores of vicious blacks congregated. The crowds

gathering there were hurling rocks at passing cars, smashing storefront windows, stealing from convenience stores, and trying to rob people. Then, Reginald Denny—without the benefit of a radio inside his huge 18-wheel red cement truck—approached the intersection. As the mob chanted "No Justice, No Peace," four young black men zeroed in on Denny's vehicle. After one of them opened the door, others dragged him out. With Denny's head being held on the ground by someone's foot, he got kicked in the gut before another thug hurled a heavy piece of medical equipment onto his skull.

With all of this gory carnage being televised live by an overhead news helicopter, another black man whacked Denny's skull three times with a hammer before Damian "Football" Williams smashed a cinder block directly onto the incapacitated Denny's head. To illustrate his mentality, Williams then did a victory dance and flashed gang signals at the overhead news copter that televised this event live. The others present were no better. As Denny lay unconscious and bloody on the pavement, other blacks taunted him and threw beer bottles.

Sickened by the viciousness of these attacks, the ironic aspect of this scene was that only a few weeks earlier I had stopped at the scene of the crime—Tom's Liquor Store—during my deliveries to buy a pack of cigarettes. Hell, for all I knew, that could have been me driving through that intersection. Anyway, once this footage made its way across the country, my phone began ringing as family members, an old girlfriend, and my buddies in Pittsburgh were freaking out over what they had seen on TV. The entire south-central portion of L.A. had erupted into chaos and anarchy with no sign of law enforcement on the scene whatsoever.

Attempting to comprehend this craziness, I woke the next morning disgusted by all that had ensued. While driving to work along the 110 Harbor Freeway, a haze of blackish-gray smoke lingered over downtown L.A. that was clearly distinguishable from the ever-present light-brown colored smog. At the office, most everyone sat around a TV set that had been set up in the sales department. Local news crews were filming endless numbers of blacks and Hispanics breaking store windows and looting everything imaginable.

Pushing shopping carts down the streets, the vandals lugged stereo equipment, TV sets, tires, microwaves, food, and arms full of clothing. Rather than shield their faces, many of the brazen thieves mugged for the cameras while whooping and celebrating with joy. Today was their payoff—the day when they "got back at the Man."

Sometime near mid-morning, the chief financial officer at work called Jim and myself into his office and said he wanted us to make a special delivery to one of our banks. He didn't specify what we'd be carrying, but stressed how vital it was that it safely reached its destination. With our parcel in tow, Jim and I hopped into an armored van before meandering through the war-torn streets of south-central L.A. Along the way we viewed dozens of looters strolling nonchalantly along sidewalks—their arms overloaded with stolen goods. Fires burned at various points, whereas an occasional fire truck or police car screamed through an intersection. If anyone ever wondered what complete lawlessness looked like, we saw it that day. There were so many people committing so many crimes that even if the cops had wanted to crack down, the sheer numbers prevented them from doing so.

Naturally, driving a white armored van with an unknown package in our possession, Jim and I were sitting ducks waiting to be carjacked. Luckily, a double-barrel shotgun sat between the seats. It goes without saying that nothing would have stopped us from using that weapon in self-defense. Anyway, as broken glass crunched beneath our tires, we eventually made it to the bank and completed our mission.

Since the city was effectively shut down due to the riots, I made one more delivery to Long Beach before our owner told 46

everyone to go home for a long weekend. Relieved that I was being released from L.A.'s madhouse, I rolled to San Pedro. Next door, my neighbor was throwing a huge party, so I walked over and proceeded to hang out with them.

Although the authorities hadn't officially declared martial law in L.A., they had enacted a curfew whereby no one could be out on the streets after 10:00 pm. Well, around 11:00 or midnight someone had the bright idea to take a cruise around San Pedro and see the sights. Knowing full well that we could be arrested for violating the curfew, about six of us piled into a car and started cruising around town.

I sat in the backseat next to a chick that I knew as we zipped through San Pedro, all the while witnessing buildings blazing with fire and looters prowling amidst the darkness. I felt certain the cops would pull us over and throw our asses in jail for the night, but luckily we managed to avoid any trouble and made it back home.

Filled with horror at what continued to transpire in the big city, here we were in the midst of massively destructive scenes from L.A. that appeared on every television set worldwide: death, arson, stealing, riots, and out-of-control madmen lurking on the streets. The powers-that-be had—at least momentarily—lost their grip and lunatics were now running the asylum.

By the time I wandered home at 2:00-3:00 am and collapsed on the couch, all I wanted to do was sleep in the next day and stay away from the city. However, at around 7:00 am the phone roused me from a comatose slumber. The caller was a guy named Don, and he told me that the company's owner wanted us to drive around L.A. and film all the check cashing locations that had been looted so that their insurance company could begin the claims process. Since nobody else wanted to go out in those mean streets and do it, he wanted me to be the one. Agreeing to do it, I hopped in the shower and then drove to the main office.

As we commenced upon our mission into the heart of L.A.'s darkness, I drove the company's brown Chevy panel van while Don rode shotgun, the most noticeable aspect of our situation being a Clint Eastwood-sized revolver sitting on my counterpart's lap. Actually what Don held wasn't even a handgun, but a mini cannon. Reminiscent of what Eastwood said in *Dirty Harry*, this baby would blow a man's head clear off.

Traversing the streets of south-central L.A., we hit check-cashing store after store that had been vandalized. Some had been set afire, had huge plate-glass windows smashed, or magnetically locked doors ripped off their hinges. Inside, the destruction was difficult to even describe. Not only was everything conceivable stolen that had any value whatsoever, but the degenerates also toppled file cabinets, emptied their contents onto the floor, and destroyed phones, drawers, chairs, potted plants and mirrors.

Don and I inspected each store and filmed the carnage. Alarms blared non-stop as broken glass crunched beneath our boots. On more than one occasion, the looters had even squatted and taken a dump on the floor, despite a toilet being in the next room.

This scene repeated itself at store after store as we passed police cars and fire engines, heard alarms and sirens, and saw troops standing on street corners. With a lingering smell of burnt ash in the air, we stopped at one of the few convenience stores that remained open to grab a quick drink. As I walked through a swarm of blacks, I saw a drunken Mexican expose his private parts near a picnic table and start urinating in front of a black chick. As she shrieked, her gang-banger boyfriend whipped out a handgun and aimed it at the man's head. Needless to say, I never saw anyone zip up their pants quicker than he did.

Prior to the L.A. riots, I never regarded myself as a racist. I wasn't born into racism, and didn't hang around a particularly racist environment. But after seeing what these people

were capable of—to truly envision firsthand how ugly they were inside and how deplorable they were as human beings—I could never view blacks the same again.

There's one more story I must tell before concluding. I had a good friend in L.A. that, shortly after the riots, had stopped at a pay phone. While he sat there before exiting his car, a black guy approached his car and stuck a gun through my buddy's open window demanding his money. Fortunately, my pal was carrying a weapon, so as he pretended to reach for his wallet, he instead grabbed his gun and BLAM, put it in the robber's face and blew his head right off his shoulders. Guess this guy messed with the wrong hombre that day.


 \star \star \star

BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA'S DANGEROUS ACQUAINTANCES

By Victor Thorn April 7, 2008

hortly before the election in 2008, revelations regarding Barack Obama's spiritual advisor, Reverend Jeremiah Wright, were splashed across media outlets far and wide. After Wright called our country "the U.S. K.K.K. of A" and said that blacks should sing "God Damn America" instead of "God Bless America," an entirely new barometer is being used to gauge the Democratic frontrunner.

On March 18, 2008 Obama delivered a speech in Philadelphia where he told voters to reexamine the subject of racism. But after taking his advice, one discovers that Rev. Wright's race-baiting and America-hating is based on a concept called black liberation theology, founded by James Cone, au-



JEREMIAH WRIGHT: Barack Obama's pastor for more than 20 years.

thor of *Black Power and Black Liberation*. Cone's extremist views are centered on the following rancor: "Black theology refuses to accept a God who is not identified totally with the goals of the black community. If God is not for us and against white people, then he is a murderer, and we had better kill him. The task of black theology is to kill gods who do not belong to the black community. Black theology will accept only the love of God which participates in the destruction of the white enemy."

Mirroring his mentor, James Cones, Rev. Wright says of his church—the one Barack Obama attended for 20 years—"We are African people, and remain true to our native land, the mother continent." Now is it clear why Obama refuses to cover his heart during the Pledge of Allegiance, or wear an American flag pin on his lapel? His loyalties are *not* to the United States of America.

Rev. Wright, who presented a lifetime achievement award to Louis Farrakhan in 2007, labeled blacks who boycotted the 1995 Million Man March, "Oreos, house niggras, Uncle Toms, and a boatload of darkies who think in white supremacist

terms." By the way, Farrakhan has called whites "blue-eyed devils" and "skunks of the planet." Wright even went so far as to blame the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks on America. "We as a white nation brought 9-11 down on ourselves because of our violent acts." However, if Wright had any moral courage, he would have disclosed that 9-11 wasn't the fault of innocent Americans, but was orchestrated by a cabal of Israel-first neo-cons within the Bush administration, as well as elements of the Mossad.

Even Obama's wife Michelle—who recently quipped, "For the first time in my adult lifetime I am really proud of my country"—sees the world in very stark black-versus-white terms. In her college thesis, she forwarded the premise that blacks trying to assimilate into white society are sellouts: "Separationists are more closely associated with the black lower class than are integrationists." She continued this thought: "My further integration and/or assimilation into a white culture or social structure will only allow me to remain on the periphery of society." Michelle Obama's misgivings are undeniably misguided, because in 2006 she earned nearly \$275,000, while her husband is currently running for president. Obviously, that's not living on the periphery of society.

Throughout the primaries, Barack Obama has been a blank slate. But now that his association with radical, extremist black-power racists is being revealed, Americans need to ask themselves if this is the type of man they want sitting in the White House. In a hyper-sensitive atmosphere where every instance of so-called "white racism" (both real and imaginary) is reported by the liberal media, Obama refuses to leave his overtly racist Trinity United Church of Christ, or divorce himself from Rev. Jeremiah Wright, whose sermons inspired the title of his book, *The Audacity of Hope*. On a daily basis, Obama calls for unity, change, and a color-blind society, yet his hypocrisy is glaringly apparent. Is this divisive, Marxist-oriented theology of Black Liberation Theology the direction we

want our nation to be heading? If Americans want truth in government, it's time to discard political correctness and start calling a spade a spade.



BARACK OBAMA: WHITE AMERICA'S WORST NIGHTMARE

By Victor Thorn July 2010

hite devils," "crackers" and "You're about to be ruled by the black man." These are the taunts and racial slurs delivered by three members of the New Black Panther Party who blocked the entrance to a Philadelphia polling station on Nov. 4, 2008. After seeing these men carrying billyclubs and dressed in full military garb, Bartle Bull, a former Robert F. Kennedy aide, observed, "This was the worst case of voter intimidation ever."

Yet when prosecutors won a case brought against the guilty parties and awaited judgment, Eric Holder's Department of Justice (DOJ) stepped in and dismissed the case.

Holder did so even after a video was released by National Geographic in which defendant King Samir Shabazz ranted, "I hate white people, all of them. Every last iota of a cracker I hate. . . . You want freedom, you gonna have to kill some crackers. You gonna have to kill some of their babies."

This miscarriage of justice became so intolerable that after resigning from his post at the DOJ, whistleblower J. Christian Adams spoke out, saying the civil rights commission adamantly refused to pursue any case in which the victims were white and defendants were black.

Despite blatantly violating the 1965 Voting Rights Act, such a move by this administration isn't surprising, especially considering our president's past behavior. Americans should have been alarmed when candidate Obama told Philadelphia's 610 WIP radio station on Mar. 20, 2008 that his grandmother was "a typical white person." Three weeks later at a Calif. fundraiser on April 11, Obama snidely stereotyped small-town Pa. residents (the majority of whom are obviously white) as "clinging to their guns and religion."

Obama's racial hostility becomes evident even earlier in life, as can be seen from his autobiography, *Dreams from My Father*. He wrote, "I ceased to advertise my mother's [white] race at the age of 12 or 13 when I began to suspect that by doing so I was ingratiating myself to whites." He continued, "I found solace in nursing a pervasive sense of grievances and animosity against my mother's race." Lastly, he mentioned a disapproval of 'half-breeds' [like himself] who gravitated toward whites instead of blacks.

These are his own words, and regrettably, his stance hasn't changed. More recently, during a July 22, 2009 press conference, Obama lashed out at a white police officer that he said "acted stupidly." However, when all the facts surfaced [as I pointed out in *Frontman*], it became clear that the policeman acted impeccably, and Obama's comments were instead fueled by his seething, barely concealable anti-white racism.

Another red flag should have been waved in regard to Obama's two-decade-long affiliation with Rev. Jeremiah Wright. The extremist Chicago preacher often cited the writings of Bill Jones, who wrote a book entitled *Is God a White Racist?* Wright also pushed a virulent strain of Black Liberation Theology. On March 18, 2008, the *Asia Times* noted that their "doctrine casts Jesus Christ as a 'black messiah' and blacks as 'the chosen people.'"

James Cone, the founder of Black Liberation Theology, characterized their philosophy as such. "The task of black the-

ology is to kill gods who do not belong to the black community. Black theology will accept only the love of God which participates in the destruction of the white enemy."

Cone further added, "What we need is the destruction of whiteness, which is the source of human misery in the world."

Rev. Wright considered himself a disciple of Cone's gospel of hate. Worse, Barack Obama sat in Wright's Trinity United Church of Christ for 20 years. Wright also married Obama and his wife, baptized their two daughters, and was named as the president's 'spiritual advisor.' Plus, Obama's book, *The Audacity of Hope*, found its origin in a line from one of Wright's sermons. Obama even donated \$22,500 to Wright's church in 2006.

Apples don't fall far from the tree, which casts Obama's latest actions in their proper perspective. Specifically, his DOJ filed a lawsuit on July 6, 2010 against the state of Arizona for trying to enforce their border laws. The president's stance should be viewed for what it is: an unabashed act of treason.

White Arizona sheriffs are receiving death threats from the Mexican mafia, while white ranchers have been murdered by Hispanic drug lords. In addition, millions of criminal aliens, human traffickers, and people carrying diseases continue to illegally enter our country.

Yet Barack Obama hasn't attempted to form an allegiance with the lawful residents of Arizona, or spoken out compassionately on their behalf. Instead, he sided with the president of Mexico against his own citizens. Every person in this nation should ponder the ramifications of this direct slap-in-the-face. There can be no greater betrayal than when a president aligns himself with a foreign leader against his own people. If our Congress had any spine, they would immediately begin impeachment hearings.

On top of that, by allowing Black Panthers to intimidate voters—without prosecution—he has spit upon our most cherished institution: the right to fair and impartial elections.

It's evident that the figurative 'hood and robe' of Obama's reverse racism has now been stripped away, and everyone can clearly see him for what he is. It's all here in black and white, and if Obama has anything more to say about it, only one side of this equation will keep getting preferential treatment.

THE HOODLUM FROM HARLEM'S HOOD

* * *

By Victor Thorn December 2010

ouse Speaker Nancy Pelosi (D-CA) vowed to "drain the swamp" that plagues our nation's capital. Although the results have been less than stellar, one of the Trilateral Commission's mighty has taken an embarrassing fall.

On Nov. 16, 2010 an eight-member House committee found Rep. Charlie Rangel (D-NY) guilty of violating 11 ethics rules in regard to financial and fundraising misconduct. Two days later this same panel censured him, in effect giving the congressman nothing more than a public scolding.

Charges against him included the improper use of House stationery to solicit campaign money, failure to disclose over half a million dollars in assets on tax forms, illegally utilizing rent-controlled apartments as his campaign headquarters, the failure to report rental income from properties in the Dominican Republic, and using PAC money as a personal slush fund.

Beltway insiders have long been aware of Rangel's underhanded practices. In 2009, Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington named Rangel one of D.C.'s most corrupt congressmen for the second straight year.

Not surprisingly, this career politician's history of padding his pockets stretches back decades. An understanding of the criminal culture surrounding him can be found in what became known as the Harlem Mafia or Gang of Four. Among its members were Rangel, New York City's first black mayor David Dinkins, Basil Paterson (father of New York's first black governor) and powerbroker Percy Sutton.

Sutton rose to prominence as an attorney for Malcolm X before grooming Rangel into his protégé. Their influence became so pronounced that in 2006 Gov. Eliot Spitzer selected David Paterson as his running mate after a meeting with Sutton and Rangel.

In 2008, Sutton dropped a bombshell by claiming that Barack Obama's tuition at Harvard Law School had been facilitated by Kahlid al-Mansour, a "political godfather" who mentored Huey Newton and Bobby Seale, two of the Black Panther Party's founding members.

Sutton's status as a race-baiter emerged following the 1987 Tawana Brawley slander case when he paid Rev. Al Sharpton's fines. Brawley falsely accused six white men, including police officers, of raping her. Another lifelong race-based shakedown artist, Rev. Jesse Jackson, selected Sutton as his presidential campaign finance chairman in 1988.

Rangel and Sutton were subsequently linked via Harlem's Apollo Theater scandal wherein Sutton faced charges of financial mismanagement. Charlie Rangel headed the Apollo Foundation's board of directors.

Rangel also found an ally in New York Gov. Nelson Rockefeller. In a March 31, 2010 article entitled "Charlie Rangel: Harlem's Godfather," Bud Meyers described how Rockefeller "arranged for Rangel to run on the Republican and Democratic ballot line during his 1968 reelection."

As a candidate in this race, Rangel advocated the legalization of gambling. "For the average Harlemite, playing numbers

is moral and a way of life," he espoused.

Other troublesome undertakings have arisen. In January, 1978 Rangel attended a conference hosted by a Soviet front organization known as the World Peace Council. The sponsor was Abe Feinglass, a Chicago-based advisory member for the Communist Party USA.

Similarly, Rangel was photographed in high spirits sitting behind Cuba's Fidel Castro during an October 1995 visit to Harlem. During his speech at the Abyssinian Church, Castro received a hero's welcome in the form of a 10-minute standing ovation.

Similar to President Obama's spiritual advisor, Rev. Jeremiah Wright, who has promoted a host of Marxist and "black liberation" causes, Rangel apparently harbors his own affinity for the radical politics of victimization.

Rangel played the race card in his 2007 book by citing Malcolm X in this context. "They [whites] really did think we [African-Americans] were chattel. They really did take away our identity. And even today, the stigma of slavery is still here."

But this apparent "enslavement" didn't stop Harlem's godfather from purchasing the Dominican Republic's Punta Yacht Club in 1987 for \$250,000. His yearly salary at that time was \$89,500.

Although crying poor-mouth to this day, Rangel and his opportunistic cohorts in the Gang of Four cabal all ended up fabulously wealthy. In contrast, the lives of their black constituents in Harlem have been wracked for decades by poverty, rampant drug abuse, gang warfare and high dropout rates.

A Nov. 24, 2010 editorial in Eugene, Oregon's *Register Guard* best summarized this situation: "Rangel broke the rules, but systemic corruption is worse."

CAMDEN, NEW JERSEY: A CITY IN RUINS

By Pete Papaherakles July 2011

very day we see the U.S. slip a little more into a depression in many different areas. But regardless of how bad your town seems to have become over the years, just remember it could be much worse: you could be living in Camden, New Jersey.

Camden, N.J. has earned the title "Most Dangerous Place to live in the U.S." Although places like Detroit and Flint, MI are close seconds, Camden takes the cake. That is a place you don't want to visit, even while driving an armored truck. If you have friends or relatives living there, send them a post-card urging them to leave as soon as possible. But under any circumstances, do not visit them.

There are six main crime categories: murder, assault, rape, robbery, burglary, and car theft. Camden holds first place in two of those, namely rape and robbery. No other city can make such a claim. Also, it ranks second in assaults and holds an impressive fourth place in murders. Being 23rd in car thefts isn't too shabby, either. Surely if there were more cars left to steal in Camden, you can bet their statistics would likely be in the top five.

Lagging somewhat behind in burglaries at 78th place is not for a lack of desire. Thousands of decaying, abandoned row houses simply have nothing left to burglarize. Camden has been called "a city of ruins" and "a post-industrial nightmare." Skeletal remains of windowless brick factories and gutted gas stations fill the landscape, while overgrown, vacant lots

are filled with garbage and old tires. A huge trash burning plant releases noxious clouds, whereas a sprawling sewage treatment plant fills the air with an unbearably foul stench. Nearby, mountains of scrap metal are fed into a giant shredder, adding to the city's Mad Max appearance.

Once a prosperous city, Camden saw its industry leave for greener pastures years ago. Unemployment followed, leading to a decay of drugs, street gangs, prostitution and crime. Unemployment is estimated at 30-40%. The city's lack of a tax base makes it totally dependent on state and federal funds to operate. Half of the police force was recently laid off, giving criminals virtual carte blanche to operate. If that wasn't bad enough, New Jersey's budget is \$10 billion in the red this year, with over \$110 billion in unfunded pension and healthcare liabilities. Bankruptcy and disaster are certain. A budget cut of over 30% is already planned, which will surely result in further police cutbacks.

In Flint, MI, which has the same problems, there are no police on patrol throughout the entire weekend, and criminals have free rein to murder, rape, pillage and plunder. Surely Camden will do the same, as will an increasing number of cities as the economic depression deepens. It may sound surreal, but it's actually happening.

So, the next time you're feeling blue because of rising gas prices, you didn't get the pay raise you were expecting, or your property taxes went up, just remember: you could be living in Camden, N.J. High gas prices wouldn't be an issue since your car would be stolen. There wouldn't be any pay raises to worry about since you wouldn't have a job to be employed at. Plus, you wouldn't have to worry about your home being foreclosed since you wouldn't have a job. You'd be lucky to even find a safe bridge to live under, and after all the hardened criminals were done with you, there would be no police to run to. Don't you feel so much better about your life now?

REVEALED: BARACK OBAMA'S TIES TO BLACK TERROR GROUP

By Victor Thorn October 21, 2011

he hatred of whites by the Obama administration has reached a crescendo. Coinciding with the release of a book by DOJ whistleblower J. Christian Adams are photographs of then Sen. Barack Obama taken in Mar. 2007 while sharing the stage in Selma, AL with members of the New Black Panther Party (NBPP).

Standing directly behind Obama at the podium is Malik Zulu Shabazz, Panther chairman and a defendant in the infamous 2008 Philadelphia voter intimidation case that was eventually dropped the following year by Attorney General Eric Holder.

In May 2007 at a Jamestown, Va. appearance, Shabazz stated [evidently to the American Indians], "When the white man came here, you should have left him to die."

Shabazz admitted that he met with Obama in 2007 at the Selma memorial, while his name also appears on 2009 White House visitor logs, along with Weather Underground terrorist Bill Ayers and racist preacher and Obama spiritual advisor Rev. Jeremiah Wright. Although Shabazz won't acknowledge or deny whether he visited the Oval Office, administration officials purport that all three names were, according to Nov. 2, 2009 article by *World Net Daily's* Aaron Klein, "false positives—names that make you think of a well-known person, but are actually someone else."

Another individual identified in the photo with Obama at Selma is NBPP "minister of war" Najee Muhammed, who

once stated that Georgia police officers should be murdered with AK-47s, while their widows should then be mocked at the funerals.

One street thug that didn't stand with Obama in Selma but did join Shabazz at the Philadelphia polling station—while brandishing a billy club—was "King" Samir Shabazz. During a Jan. 11, 2009 *National Geographic* special on the NBPP, Shabazz bellowed: "You want freedom? You're gonna have to kill some crackers. You're gonna have to kill some white babies."

He continued, on camera, "We'll kick white folks' asses. We'll take it right to the cracker. We're going to keep putting our foot up the white man's ass."

Gracing the cover of *Philadelphia Weekly* on Dec. 17, 2003, Shabazz proclaimed: "Our open enemy is the white man. I won't be completely happy until I see our people free and whitey dead."

Interestingly, Malik Shabazz assumed control of the NBPP in 1997, citing Louis Farrakhan protégé Khalid Abdul Muhammad as his mentor and de facto father of their group.

On Nov. 29, 1993 Muhammad showed his true colors during an address at New Jersey's Kean College where he warned that if whites didn't abandon South Africa, "When we get through killing them all, go to the goddamn graveyard and dig up the grave and kill them again because they didn't die hard enough."

This is the violently racist mentality that President Obama has sided up with. Julie Fernandez, an assistant to Attorney General Eric Holder, told subordinates prior to the Shabazz voter intimidation case, "Never bring a lawsuit against blacks." Holder ultimately allowed three of the four guilty parties to walk free, testifying that any racism charges against blacks would demean "my people."

Obama's direct presence among these radical Black Panthers is troublesome proof of whom he and his colleagues view as their allies, and more importantly, who is the enemy.

New Book Costs Pat Buchanan His Job in Mainstream News

By Pete Papaherakles January 30, 2012

he no-holds-barred truth about diversity and multiculturalism is discussed in a new book by veteran conservative Pat Buchanan called *Suicide of a Superpower: Will America Survive to 2025?* The book has the establishment media and liberal activist groups in a tizzy. MSNBC's President Phil Griffin felt prompted to fire the political commentator and national icon from his usual post at the cable news network.

Buchanan—a three-time presidential candidate, senior adviser to three presidents, author of many books, including six on *The New York Times* bestseller list, founding member of three major political talk shows, and arguably the top political thinker of our time—was employed by MSNBC to add "balance" to its otherwise hard-left ideology.

The network could not withstand the political pressure mounted against it by minority groups like Color of Change and Credo, the ADL of B'nai B'rith, militant gay and civil rights groups—all of whom took offense at the indisputable facts cited in Buchanan's book. They hurled at him the usual epithets of paleo-conservative, white supremacist, bigot, hater, racist, anti-Semite, homophobe and xenophobe.

The ADL's Abe Foxman commented: "It is especially disturbing that he continues to espouse his views at a mainstream network like MSNBC, where he is presented as a knowledgeable and respected analyst. Buchanan continues to show his true colors by espousing hateful, bigoted statements in his book." Fox News host Gregg Jarrett commented about the

double standard at MSNBC. He pointed out that, while essentially firing Buchanan for allegations of racism, they awarded Al Sharpton his own show after he made racist remarks against whites.

In *Suicide of a Superpower*, Buchanan describes the obvious decline of America by stating that it was born as a Western Christian republic, but transformed into "a multiracial, multicultural, multilingual, multiethnic stew of a nation that has no successful precedent in the history of the world." He added: "Our government is engaged in the manic pursuit of equality and rewards as it seeks to erect an egalitarian utopia that has never before existed. Less and less do Americans have in common, more and more do we fight over religion, morality, politics, history and heroes. As our nation disintegrates, our government is failing in its fundamental duties to defend our borders, balance our budgets or win our wars."



THE COMPLEXION OF CRIME IN AMERICA TODAY

By Victor Thorn April 16, 2012

espite a corrupt mainstream media that refuses to highlight this predominant social plague, the statistics are irrefutable. Blacks commit an excessively disproportionate number of crimes in comparison to other races. According to a 2005 Department of Justice report entitled "Criminal Victimization in the United States," black men raped 37,460 white women, while white men raped less than 10 black females.

A 2005 report published by the New Century Foundation

called "The Color of Crime" takes these trends a step further.

- Blacks are seven times more likely than people of other races to commit murder, and eight times more likely to commit robbery.
- Blacks commit 75% of all interracial crimes. Whites commit 15%, while others account for 10%.
- Blacks are seven times more likely to be imprisoned than whites.

To gauge these numbers, although blacks only comprise 12% of the U.S. population compared to whites at 80%, in 2010 blacks committed considerably more murders (5,890) than whites (5,286). As astounding as this fact is, blacks murder whites at a rate 18 times higher than whites murder blacks. In this context, it's not surprising that over 10% of all black males aged 25-29 currently reside in prison. That figure is a mere 1.2% for whites.

SANFORD, FLA. AND THE TRAYVON MARTIN CASE

With the Trayvon Martin controversy still festering in Sanford, Fla., on March 29-30, 2012, this writer contacted three different private investigators from that area to determine what type of community it is. In a twist of irony, their varied responses reflect the differences we're seeing emerge from the mainstream media. While MSNBC and CNN run around-the-clock stories about racial profiling and prejudice against blacks, Fox and right-wing talk radio highlight Martin's criminal record and "gangsta" lifestyle.

Similarly, one private investigator emphasized that Sanford is not terribly upscale, that there aren't many exclusive gated communities, and how the environment is closer to those of inner cities.

Strangely enough, a second private investigator commented on Sanford being overwhelmingly "nice and safe." He also spoke of Sanford's roots as a one-time migrant town

where immigrants came to work in the agricultural field. Today, he said, Sanford is mostly white, with residents employed in auto-oriented businesses. Or, they travel to Orlando, while a few more fortunate individuals still have jobs in the aerospace industry.

A third investigator described Sanford as predominantly middle class, with downtown areas being more dangerous. He added that some fairly upscale neighborhoods do exist. He also pointed out that there had been a string of burglaries and break-ins near the locale where George Zimmerman shot Trayvon Martin.

In light of these conflicting opinions, all of the PIs agreed that individuals such as Al Sharpton and Jesse Jackson were exploiting the situation and had the potential to start a great deal of trouble. One man took it a step further by claiming that Sharpton is bad news and wants to start a riot akin to what happened in Los Angeles after the Rodney King trial.



CONSERVATIVE RAG BENDS TO POLITICAL CORRECTNESS

By Pete Papaherakles April 23, 2012

ational Review, considered by many as the flagship of conservative journalism, fired one of its top journalists last week in an effort to comply with tenets advocated by the cult of political correctness, i.e., Cultural Communism. The reason for the dismissal was not for something that the journalist wrote in the magazine, but for perceived racism expressed in an entirely different media outlet. John Derbyshire, a prominent *National Review* contributor, was fired for an article he wrote on April 5, 2012 in *Taki's* magazine, a conservative online journal published by socialite Taki Theodoracopulos. The article, entitled "The Talk: Non-Black Version," was written as a response to "the Talk" that black parents are having with their children in the aftermath of the Trayvon Martin shooting, advising them on how to avoid looking or acting in a way that would get them killed by whites—as if that was what got Martin killed.

Derbyshire wrote as if advising his own children how to avoid being hurt or killed by blacks. Like the black parents, Derbyshire pointed out that there were distinct differences between blacks and whites, something that in itself is considered taboo for whites in today's "politically correct" culture to mention. Some of the controversial elements of his advice involved warning them to "stay out of heavily black neighborhoods," advice against attending "events likely to draw lots of blacks" and "if at a public event that the number of blacks suddenly swells, leave as quickly as possible."

Many of his points are linked to newspaper stories of black-on-white crime, almost always kept out of the national media, and included mostly unknown statistics about the large number of black-on-white crimes. AFP published a special report in their April 16, 2012 edition entitled "The Complexion of Crime in America" which revealed that blacks are 18 times more likely to murder whites than whites are to murder blacks. *National Review's* editor-in-chief Rich Lowry called the article "appalling, nasty and indefensible." Derbyshire, one of *The Review's* best writers, was summarily sacrificed on the altar of political correctness for saying the same true things AFP has been saying for years.

In a telephone interview with AMERICAN FREE PRESS, Theodoracopulos, who started his journalism career writing for *National Review* in 1967 and routinely features articles by Pat Buchanan and other conservatives, told this reporter that he was "appalled" with the decision. "They [National Review] were in such a hurry to toe the PC [politically correct] line, I don't even think they read the piece," he remarked. "They have been completely taken over by the neo-cons and no longer take positions against Israel or on the race issue. Joe Sobran and others in the past have met similar fates. It goes to show what a bunch of rats they've become. What they did to him was especially cruel considering he was undergoing chemotherapy at the time."

The Trayvon Martin shooting has stirred up racial tensions in the U.S., exposing a dangerous double standard in race attitudes. While it is acceptable today for blacks to openly call for the murder of George Zimmerman—who isn't white, but Hispanic, and was attacked and beaten by Martin—whites are not even allowed to talk to their children about black-on-white crimes for fear of offending the high priests of political correctness.



MEDIA COVERS UP BLACK HATE CRIMES AGAINST WHITES

By Pete Papaherakles May 28, 2012

s the mainstream media deliberately fabricating a myth of white racism in America in order to cover up an epidemic of black-on-white violence? While most Americans are aware of the Trayvon Martin shooting in Sanford, Florida on February 26, 2012, very few know about the thousands of whites who have been brutally murdered, raped, beaten and robbed by blacks as the media keeps regurgitating lies about the Martin case. Are the lives of these

innocent white Americans not important enough to report on? Or is the media purposely inciting racial tensions for a reason?

Anyone who has paid attention to the Martin shooting knows that key information about the case has been distorted and fabricated. Evidence now shows that George Zimmerman had a broken nose, black eyes, and lacerations to the back of his head. The police report stated that Zimmerman's back was wet and covered with grass clippings. On the other hand, Martin's autopsy revealed that Martin's knuckles were scraped. Even eyewitnesses saw the six-foot-three-inch Martin viciously pummeling the five-foot-nine-inch Zimmerman in the face while he had him on the ground.

While this dog-and-pony show is going on, however, the mainstream media has been totally silent about atrocious crimes that have been committed by blacks on whites since the Martin shooting. Here are a few—from among dozens of incidents—that this newspaper has confirmed.

On February 27, the day after the Martin shooting, two black males in Detroit abducted and killed a white couple. The victims were found bound, shot and burned beyond recognition in an alley. Police are calling it a random "thrill killing."

On February 28, in Kansas City, Missouri, two black teens attacked a 13-year-old white boy on his front porch as he was returning from school. They poured gasoline on him and set him on fire for no apparent reason, saying "You get what you deserve, white boy!"

On March 14, a 20-year-old black man broke into the home of Bob and Nancy Straight in Tulsa, Oklahoma. He raped the 85-year-old Mrs. Straight and then beat her to death. Then he shot 90-year-old Mr. Straight in the face with a pellet gun and broke his jaw and ribs. He died several days later. The thug stole \$200, a TV set, and their Dodge Neon, which he drove to a nearby house where he went to hide. The police spotted the stolen car in front of the house and arrested him.

On April 1, in Jackson, Mississippi, a 31-year-old black

man broke into a house to pillage it and found a white woman inside. He forced her to lie on the floor with a blanket over her head as he shot her in the back of the head, execution style.

On April 5, in Tunica, Mississippi, a 34-year-old black man checked into a hotel with his pregnant 25-year-old white girlfriend and their one-year-old child. The next day the woman was found dead on the floor, brutally mutilated and covered with blood, as was the one-year-old child. The murder knife was in the room.

On April 15, in Las Vegas, a 22-year-old black man raped a 38-year-old white woman and her 10-year-old daughter. He then killed them by smashing their skulls with a hammer.

On top of all these brutal murders there have been a number of "flash mob" attacks across the country where anywhere from half a dozen to as many as 100 blacks gang up on innocent people and beat them senseless. In at least 12 of these cases documented by this writer, the blacks have cited revenge for the shooting of Martin as the motive for the savageries, although in many cases the victims were also robbed.

One of these attacks occurred in Norfolk, Virginia, where more than 30 blacks brutally beat a white couple as another 70 blacks watched and cheered them on, a typical phenomenon in these black-on-white "flash mob" attacks. Martin's name came up as the excuse for the brutality. The couple actually worked for the main newspaper in town, *The Virginian Pilot*, which has direct political ties to the Obama administration. That publication could not even be bothered to report on the attack on its own employees for two weeks—and even then it was only as an opinion piece written by a friend of the couple.

The couple said that a police officer who responded to the scene acted strangely. After having been viciously beaten, kicked in the face and dragged by her hair, the battered woman was told by the officer to "shut up and get in the car." He did not record any names of witnesses and said the attackers were "probably juveniles anyway. What are we going to do? Find their parents and tell them?" Pointing to public housing in the area, he said large groups of "teenagers" look for trouble on the weekends. "It's what they do," he told the couple.

 $\star\star\star$

WHY IS CHICAGO DRIPPING BLOOD?

By Pete Papaherakles July 24, 2012

hicago is experiencing an incredible surge in gangster violence that has experts wondering what exactly is going on. Gang violence is up 30% since last year, and the murder rate has skyrocketed by 37% since this time in 2011.

Not surprisingly, the majority of violence is being committed by black gang members, who are running wild in the Windy City, and so far there has been little the police can do.

Over the Independence Day weekend, 15 people were shot, some of whom were children. Previous weekends were much worse. On the weekend of June 16-17, 35 people were wounded and seven killed. The previous weekend 40 Chicagoans were shot, and eight died. On Memorial Day weekend, 40 people were shot, and 10 died. By far the worst violence occurred on the weekend of March 17-18, when 49 people were shot and 10 people killed, including a six-year-old girl. So far this year, a whopping 279 people have been killed in Chicago, largely due to gang turf wars.

Chicago Mayor Rahm Emanuel's answer to the problem has been to close down corner liquor stores and tear down abandoned buildings where gang members hang out.

"You will not find shelter in the city of Chicago,"

Emanuel proclaimed in a press conference that sounded more like political demagoguery than real, practical solutions.

In a recent TV interview, Emanuel appeared to be talking like Al Pacino from the movie *The Godfather*. "You got two gangbangers," he said. "One is standing next to a kid. Get away from that kid! Take your stuff to the alley. Don't touch the children of Chicago."

A major part of the problem is a shortage of police officers on the streets. "We're in a manpower crunch," said Fraternal Order of Police president Michael Shields.

A reason for this shortage of officers is that 1,000 retired officers were replaced by only 150 new ones. There are only 200 officers in Chicago's gang enforcement unit to go up against an estimated 100,000 gang members in the city. Some 625 gangs are involved in 223 ongoing turf wars and vendettas. Neither Emmanuel nor the police addressed why there are 100,000 gangsters in Chicago in the first place.



UNREPORTED RACIAL VIOLENCE ERUPTING ACROSS COUNTRY

By Dave Gahary March 15, 2013

lack mob racial violence against Whites is sweeping across the United States, but you wouldn't know it if you got your news from the mainstream media. Fortunately for those of us who have been made aware of the inherent conflicts-of-interest of the corporate-controlled media monopoly in the U.S., one reporter has uncovered the rising racism and is determined to get this information out.

Award-winning writer and author Colin Flaherty brings his new book, White Girl Bleed a Lot: The return of racial violence and how the media ignore it, forward to expose a national secret that until now could be brushed under the rug by those in positions of power whose agenda is one of convincing the country that all races are identical and to reveal race in criminal matters is, you guessed it, racist. Interestingly, Flaherty won a Best Investigative Journalist award from a story that resulted in the release of an unjustly convicted black man from prison.

AMERICAN FREE PRESS sat down with Flaherty for an exclusive interview and asked him what inspired him to write this book.

"[I] came across a story about an incident in a high school in south Philadelphia, a black high school, where maybe 10% Asian students were being beaten up, every day, for years," he said.

Flaherty noticed a pattern across the country and was curious as to why there was no mainstream media coverage and public denial of any problems with black mob violence.

"There were riots and mob violence in Philadelphia. People were [saying], 'This isn't anything.' I said, if you look at YouTube, all those people are black. It looks like a race riot to me. I showed it to other reporters, and they would go, 'No, that's not a race riot.' Then it kept happening over and over and over, till finally I documented, in my latest edition, it's got more than 500 examples of racial mob violence, against everybody really: white people, Asians, gays, women. Anybody who's kind of weak and the predators can take advantage of."

He continued, "So I just noticed it was happening all over the place, in places you wouldn't expect it, so I thought, people gotta know about this. So that's why I wrote the book. This book does not have any opinions. It doesn't have any over-arching theories or anything like that. It just says, 'Hey, this is happening."

The book also provides Internet hyperlinks to videos detailing the black mob violence, which can be accessed for free

on Flaherty's website. In fact, what makes this book so effective and successful is its interactivity. Read the paragraph, watch the video. The camera doesn't lie.

Flaherty elaborated, "10 years ago if somebody would've said all this [they would've said] 'you're making all this up.' My attitude now is, there's so many of these things on video, it's hard to ignore them."

Flaherty hails from Wilmington, Delaware, which was recently declared No. 1 in a Top 10 Dangerous Cities in America study by *Parenting* magazine.

"There's a lot of stuff going on here in Wilmington, Camden, Philly," explained Flaherty, "places where you would expect it and places where you wouldn't expect it. You have a place like Wilmington with lots of poor people, lots of black people, and everybody says, 'OK, well, that's just the way it is there.' What about Peoria, Illinois, what about Indianapolis, what about Minneapolis and Seattle, Tacoma, Portland? Maybe I don't get out enough, but I had no idea that all these places were centers of hot, racial violence. In Indianapolis this month [February], there have been a dozen examples of large groups of black people running around the downtown beating people up, and being violent and lawless."

Although it's clear that black mob violence is here and perhaps here to stay, the mainstream media's gag order on reporting the facts has led many to believe the races are living in peaceful harmony. Flaherty gets a different story from the towns he contacts and visits.

"On July 4th I heard there was a large group of black people on the streets of Charlotte, North Carolina," he said, "law-lessness, people getting tear-gassed, fighting, all this stuff. When I made calls down there, everyone's saying, 'Oh yeah, that's been happening here, here, here, here, here for a long time.' That happens everyplace I go. Nobody's reporting it. So, I just think I'm getting the tip of the iceberg."

Not only is the mainstream media not reporting this epi-

demic, but they are attacking Flaherty in an attempt to discredit him. He gets hate email regularly, and not just from readers. "A lot of my readers send stuff to the reporters, almost in a way challenging them. They'll say, 'Why aren't you reporting this?' Reporters send hate mail to my readers and to me. You expect, in a way, hate mail from [readers], but when reporters react with all this vitriol and hate, well, that was a surprise to me. I don't mind it from the public," said Flaherty. "I'm just always surprised when I get it from reporters. I mean that's the astonishing part."

Flaherty has been assailed by the Southern Poverty Law Center, the country's self-appointed 'hate' experts, directed by Morris Seligman Dees, Jr., and by groups fronting for Hungarian-born Jew George Soros.

Flaherty has also received a few direct threats. "The former president of the NAACP in Delaware [was] on (Flaherty's radio show) and during the commercial he said: 'Now, you're lucky it's me here. If this was somebody else they probably would have punched you in the face by now."

H was also threatened with violence on another radio program from a caller. Flaherty is unfazed. "If this book is so wrong, if this book is so stupid, it should be very easy to just flick it off and point out that I'm wrong. I'm still waiting for the first one to do that. Everybody's so angry and so uptight about this topic, it kind of shows how powerful and how bad it really is. There's a lot of explaining, a lot of blame-shifting, a lot of shrugging their shoulders, a lot of everything except, "There's some bad stuff going on out there."

Flaherty discussed the particular strangeness of Chicago. "They have a new chief of police there that Rahm Emanuel brought in, and on one of his first days on the job he goes to a black church and goes, 'I'll tell you why we have so much violence in this town: Sarah Palin.' A few months later, he found somebody else to blame. He blames the pilgrims!"

Flaherty explained he's not angry at the perpetrators of



A mob of mayhem-minded blacks stampedes down the streets of Philadelphia.

this national racist, crime wave. "The people we expect to take care of this kind of business, they don't: the chiefs of police, the newspapers, social workers, the mayors. I don't expect every crime news story to identify a person by race, but now we're in a position where a lot of newspapers all over the country are actively denying that there's anything racial about many of these crimes."

Not everyone is angry at his exposure of the truth, and some fans have offered explanations of the violence. "A lot of cops read my book," he said. "Cops *force* this book on people. I get calls from prison psychologists. I had a call from the Midwest, and he gave me the best 'why' explanation I've heard. What he says is everybody in school now is being taught that black people are victims, they cannot succeed, 400 years of oppression, you are not responsible for your actions, built-up resentment and anger. For the last 50 years, you've been folding, bending, mutilating, and spindling the black family, through

every kind of crazy social program and housing program you could imagine. We have affirmative action, we have racial quotas, and we have protected minorities. This book asks the question, 'How is that working out for you?'"

* * *

AMERICA'S BLACK EYE

By Victor Thorn May 8, 2013

s a populist publication not restricted by mainstream media political correctness, AFP examines the abandoned wastelands that constitute our urban centers while asking a critical question: could the problems befalling these areas soon expand outward and encroach upon our shrinking middle class?

Despite an initial blackout in the press, news of a real-life Hannibal Lecter abortion clinic in Philadelphia shocked Americans. Due to the truly abhorrent practices conducted inside this slaughterhouse, AFP will refrain from providing the gory details.

Instead, the grisly trial of abortion doctor Kermit Gosnell forced social analysts to conclude that such a cesspool would never be allowed to operate for 17 unregulated years in white suburban neighborhoods, especially with the full knowledge of Planned Parenthood, politicians, and state health agencies.

More troublesome, it appears that Gosnell's house of horrors functions more as a rule than an exception in inner cities. During an Apr. 26, 2013 interview, Ann Scheidler of the Pro-Life Action League told AFP, "Last year at a Chicago Planned Parenthood clinic, a 24-year-old black woman died during a late-term abortion because attendants waited two hours to call an ambulance. Afterward, there was no investigation and no

outrage among residents. Everyone simply tried to distance themselves from it."

As attorney Donald McClarey, president of a crisis pregnancy center, noted in an April 16, 2013 article, "Poor black women are treated like cattle."

Indeed, while black females comprise only 6% of the U.S. population, they constitute 36% of all abortions. Sadly, Gosnell-style barbarism exists in many ghetto locales around the country. In Delaware, five patients have been rushed from a Planned Parenthood clinic this year alone.

Making matters worse, hypocrisy and neglect can be found on both sides of the political aisle. In Pa. since Gosnell opened his doors in 1979, five GOP governors—supposedly the pro-life party—and two Democratic administrations all turned a blind eye to his barbarism.

Why is the black community so accepting of such inhumane atrocities within their midst? As black columnist Charles Ellison wrote on April 19, 2013, "One can only imagine the vein-popping outrage if a Gosnell-like clinic was found farther out beyond Philadelphia's city limits."

WHY IS THE "HOOD" SO MUCH DIFFERENT?

Considering atrocities such as Kermit Gosnell's back-alley extermination clinic, why has much of America's inner city devolved into little more than open-air concrete jungles ruled by ghetto Darwinism? Those unable or unwilling to escape can expect a future of broken families, multigenerational welfare dependence, drug-trafficking thugs, constant police supervision, or sentencing inside the prison-industrial complex.

Much of the blame for this situation can be directed at "ghetto pimp" politicians such as Jesse Jackson, Rev. Al Sharpton, career-minded community organizers like Barack Obama, or the NAACP and Congressional Black Congress. Rather than teaching self-reliance and the benefits of honest employment,

these victimization peddlers have become fabulously wealthy by extinguishing all hope inside these realms of urban apartheid.

To get further insights, on Apr. 26, 2013 AFP spoke with one of the Internet's top-rated bloggers. As a mainstream figure touting multiple appearances on primetime shows such as Chris Matthews' *Hardball*, this man protects his alter-identity by writing under the pseudonym Angry White Dude (AWD).

When asked why many ghettos are so vastly different than the rest of America, AWD replied: "Inner cities today are in chaos. They're disaster zones where, as one black teacher told me, the kids act more like domestic street terrorists. There's no empathy, kindness or decency. Nothing is sacred or pure. Young men have no problem walking up to someone and shooting them in the head. The only comparison I can make would be to La Raza or Mexican drug gangs. They hold no value whatsoever for human life."

AWD next turned his attention to a primary source of this problem. "What we have in the ghettos is a victimization mentality. If whites completely went away tomorrow, life still wouldn't get any better for these people. Take Detroit for example: it's 88% black . . . a black utopia. But half the high school graduates are illiterate. The only ones that can help blacks are blacks themselves. But they refuse to take responsibility. Even when someone like Bill Cosby offers valid criticisms, he's called an Uncle Tom by black leaders."

On this note, AWD cited one of these well-known race pimps. "Rev. Al Sharpton is a professional racist. It's his gig. He doesn't live in the ghettos, and he won't demand that black kids act like humans. I don't know about you, but I expect people to act like human beings. If they refuse to, then the only way to maintain and protect society is by incarcerating them."

Rather than merely pointing a finger, AFP requested suggestions from AWD about how to improve these forsaken blights on the cultural landscape. "The law of consequences

doesn't recognize skin color," he began. "If you do stupid things, it leads to stupid results. The black community needs a real leader who will say: Don't drop out of school, don't get pregnant at 13, and don't act like a rapper."

He also provided some other constructive advice. "Disingenuous white liberals have created a welfare system for blacks that has sapped their pride, stolen their respectability and destroyed their families. If they really wanted to help these people, they'd offer positive messages about taking responsibility for their own lives. Making more babies so you can collect more welfare is a prescription for disaster."

INDENTURED SERVANTS TO THE WELFARE STATE

During a March 26, 2013 address at the National Press Club, Black Entertainment Television founder Bob Johnson told attendees, "This nation would never tolerate white unemployment at 14 or 15%." Yet in many cities young black male unemployment now surpasses the 40-50 percentile mark, whereas overall black unemployment has been double that of whites ever since Martin Luther King led his March on Washington in 1963. In fact, no demographic has fared worse under Obamanomics than blacks.

Still, after 96% of blacks who voted cast ballots for Obama in 2008, that figure only dropped three percentage points in the 2012 election. As a reflection of this quandary, one illustrator drew a cartoon of Obama smugly asking: "Poverty? Joblessness? No matter. Where else are they gonna go?"

The point is clear. The Democratic Party has so monopolized the black vote for decades that they've been completely taken for granted and forsaken as inconsequential. Frustrated by the hollow promises of hope and change, on Dec. 5, 2012 Detroit Councilwoman JoAnn Watson offered an insight into the mindset of those who feel betrayed. "Obama should bring home the bacon," Watson complained. "Our people in an

overwhelming way supported the reelection of this president, and there ought to be a quid pro quo." In other words, now they want more "free stuff."

But instead of gainful employment that provides an escape from the slums, the title of black author Star Parker's 2003 book says it all: *Uncle Sam's Plantation: How Big Government Enslaves America's Poor*. With billions devoted annually to various social programs, Parker asserted that inner city blacks have become literal slaves. "Thirty-five years of Great Society social engineering have forced the disadvantaged to live under the federal government's control."

Lashing out even more vehemently, Parker described how taxpayers and those crippled by the welfare state suffer under this broken philosophy. "Uncle Sam has developed a sophisticated poverty plantation, operated by a federal government, overseen by bureaucrats, protected by media elite, and financed by the taxpayers. The only difference between this plantation and the slave plantations of the antebellum South is perception."

As a final mockery, on Jan. 28, 2013 financial analyst Max Keiser revealed who else profits from this feeding frenzy of dependency. "JP Morgan is the largest processor of food stamp benefits in the United States. [They have] contracted to provide food stamp debit cards in 26 U.S. states and the District of Columbia. JP Morgan is paid for each case it handles, so this means that the more Americans that go on food stamps, the more profits JP Morgan makes."

LIVE BY THE GUN, DIE BY THE GUN

With cutthroat gangsta rap stars serving as their role models, it's no surprise that inner city black males represent over 50% of all violent crime in America. According to a Nov. 2011 Department of Justice report, "The offending rate for black [homicides] was almost eight times higher than the rate for whites."

Provocative author Ann Coulter framed it in another context. "If you compare white populations, we have the same murder rate as Belgium. So, perhaps it's not a gun problem, it's a demographic problem." Coulter is correct in her assertion. From 2000 to 2008, Belgium's average murder rate stood at 2.2 per 100,000, whereas "whites only" murder in America during this same timeframe was 2.6 per 100,000.

Placing this phenomenon in even starker terms, Erick Erickson, editor-in-chief of a conservative blog named *Red State*, noted on Jan. 9, 2013, "The profile of the typical murderer with a gun is a black male in a city, under the age of 25." Erickson added, "Between 1980 and 2008, young adult black males had the highest homicide offending rate compared to offenders in other racial and sex categories."

Inexplicably, Barack Obama has waged a vendetta against not only law-abiding citizens exercising their Second Amendment rights (i.e., "those clinging to their guns and Bibles"), but also assault weapons instead of handguns. In 2011, U.S. murders committed with rifles totaled 323, while those with handguns equaled 6,220. Also, intensive background checks won't stop gang-bangers from purchasing Saturday night specials [i.e., cheap handguns] on the black market.

If Obama genuinely wanted to decrease gun violence, he could look to a Jan. 15, 2013 article by freelance speechwriter Stephanie Slade as a starting point. Slade wrote, "Most of the non-suicide gun deaths in this country happen in densely populated lower-income urban environments like New Orleans, Detroit and Washington, D.C. Here, gangs and poverty are the proximate causes of the violence." Regrettably, Slade forgot to mention the worst culprit of all, Obama's hometown of Chicago, which recorded more homicides than any American metropolitan area last year as drug-related crime and gang wars continue to rage unabated.

Was Trayvon Hopped Up on "Purple Drank"?

By Pete Papaherakles July 15, 2013

hile the Zionist-controlled mainstream media attempted to portray Trayvon Martin as a totally innocent sweet teen who was stalked and gunned down on his way back from a convenience store where he had bought Skittles candy and Arizona iced tea by racial-profiling "creepy-ass cracker" George Zimmerman, a key piece of evidence was being completely overlooked—one that could have shed light on Martin's true intentions. To this day, Skittles and iced tea serve as "symbols of prejudice" because of Trayvon's shooting. Some schools have even gone so far as to hold Trayvon Martin Day while handing out Skittles to kids and tea to parents for attending special events.

Martin's checkered past remains hidden by the mainstream media. The reason he was visiting his father was because he was expelled from school and his mother didn't want him around. He was a troubled student who'd been repeatedly expelled for having been caught with drug paraphernalia, stolen women's jewelry, burglary tools, as well as for destruction of school property. It seems Trayvon was no altar boy, but a gang banger criminal-in-the-making.

For Martin to feel sufficiently paranoid to lash out violently in the way Zimmerman and eyewitnesses claim, he may have been under the influence of a substance that would do that. A street drug popular in the young urban community, 82

known as "Purple Drank," produces these very side effects. Purple Drank is a cocktail created by mixing Robitussin cough syrup or other over-the-counter cough medicines with Skittles and "Arizona watermelon juice," the flavor of tea Martin was carrying that night.

The opiate-like cocktail produces effects that include confusion, agitation and hallucinations, among others. It grew out of the underground Houston, Texas hip-hop community in the 1990s along with "chopped and screwed" rap music—a slowed down remixing of rap songs using skipped beats and scratching. DJ Screw, a.k.a. Robert Earl Davis Jr., is said to have originated the style, which compliments Purple Drank's effects by relaxing the user. "Screw" died in 2000 of a codeine overdose. That same year, Three 6 Mafia's song "Sippin' on Some Syrup" made Purple Drank mainstream. The group's song "Rainbow Colors" is about adding Jolly Rancher candy to create the desired rainbow effect. [Similar to codeine is the drug dextromethorphan, or DXM.—Ed.]

Around this same time, evidence of Purple Drank's popularity began showing up across the Southern United States, from Lafayette, Louisiana to Pensacola, Florida. A University of Texas survey in the Oct. 19, 2006 issue of *USA Today* stated, "In 2004, 8.3% of Texas secondary school students reported having taken enough codeine syrup to get high." Terrence Kiel, a defensive back with the National Football League's San Diego Chargers, was arrested in 2006 for illegally shipping cases of prescription cough syrup to Texas. In a 2008 MTV interview with Lil Wayne, a.k.a Dwayne Michael Carter Jr., the rapper discussed his addiction to Purple Drank and how hard it was to get off it. Lil Wayne said it felt like "death in your stomach."

Martin is on record eight months before his death (via screen-captures on June 27, 2011) talking about the drug combo on Facebook. The following *unedited* conversation took place between Martin and Mckenzie Baksh in which teenager Trayvon was looking for codeine to make "lean" or Purple Drank.

Martin: "unow a connect for codine?"

Baksh: "why nigga?"

Martin: "to make some more."

Baksh: "u tawkin bout the pill codeine?" Martin: "no the liquid. Its meds. I had it B4."

Baksh: "hell naw you could just use robitussin and soda

to make some fine ass lean"

Martin: "codine is a higher dose of dxm"

Baksh: "i feel u but u need perscription to get it"

Martin: "dats y i aksed if u now someone dat has but ima stop burnin"

Baksh: "ooh i doubt it nd why?"

Martin: "is to roped and u can get the same vibe off lean"

It is unknown whether the autopsy performed on Trayvon Martin looked for or found elevated levels of cough syrup drugs in his blood, but it did find damage to his liver inconsistent with that of a healthy man his age, but consistent with someone abusing codeine.



DARKNESS SETTLES OVER DETROIT

By Victor Thorn August 8, 2013

n 1960, Detroit bragged of being America's richest per capita city. Today, 50 years later, it sits decimated, bankrupt. Although most AFP readers don't reside in the Motor City, its plight is highly relevant to their lives, especially senior citizens dependent on monthly pension checks. Just as importantly, does Detroit serve as a reflection of our entire country, specifically the consequences of astronom-

84

ical debt, corruption, promises that can't be kept, and tone-deaf politicians?

Nearly \$19 billion in debt, on July 18, 2013 Detroit city officials filed for Chapter 9 bankruptcy. With over 100,000 creditors and \$9.2 billion owed on future pensions and healthcare costs, 38 cents of every Detroit tax dollar is currently earmarked for servicing debts. Analysts foresee that total rising to 60 cents on every dollar in coming years, especially since it takes four employed city workers to support six retirees. [On Dec. 11, 2014, Detroit emerged from Chapter 9 bankruptcy.—Ed.]

STRAIGHT TALK ABOUT DETROIT

Detroit suffers from a 50% illiteracy rate and 60% of its children living below the poverty line. With official employment statistics standing at 18%, real numbers reveal that over half of all blacks in Detroit aren't working.

As a result of losing three-quarters of its population over the past half-century, one-third of Motown's 140 square miles lies vacant and 40% of all streetlights are inoperable. Emergency 911 calls require an hour wait time, whereas 78,000 properties sit abandoned, many with trees up to 40 years old growing through their roofs. Not surprisingly, homes in Detroit sell for as little as \$1,500, meaning a monthly mortgage payment of only \$7.

Further, imagine minor league versions of Rev. Al Sharpton, Jesse Jackson and Eric Holder. That's who's been running Detroit for the past 50 years. Ever since a mass exodus of whites started fleeing Motown after the 1967 race riots, corrupt black politicians have been systematically turning this once proud blue-collar city into what political commentator Debbie Schlussel calls "an infected swamp."

Considering Detroit's 85% black population, in a July 19, 2013 article Schlussel wrote, "The death of Detroit is not about the death of the auto industry. It's about the racism of black

America and the decline of black morals and civilization."

Democratic mayors who've mastered the art of bribery have run Detroit for 51 straight years. On this note, Schlussel blames blacks themselves for creating the despair that surrounds them. "[They] will continue to elect the same panoply of nut jobs, race merchants, criminals and loons. That will happen over and over again."

Plagued by drug dealers, murderers and a 90% illegitimate birthrate, Detroit will never see whites return to the city if blacks remain in power. Even if Uncle Sam eradicated all Detroit's debts, whites won't relocate to a city where blacks would reelect someone like former Mayor Kwame Kilpatrick. That is, if he weren't already in prison for perjury and obstruction of justice.

WHITE LIBERALISM

The element of liberals despising themselves and turning against their own kind can nowhere be better seen than with the black/white issue. White liberals are so enamored with promoting blacks above their own race that even when confronted with a multitude of statistics proving that blacks are more violent, less intelligent, and increasingly likely to rape—especially white women—"progressives" use every diversionary tactic imaginable to prevent this information from entering the debate.

Whereas crime statistics have declined nationwide over the past two decades, Chicago is facing a 38% rise in homicides since last year. During the first six months of 2012, black-on-black violence laid the foundation for 80% of all Chicago murders. To put this matter into perspective, David Heinzmann of *The Chicago Tribune* wrote on July 12, 2012, "While blacks make up about 33% of the city's population, they accounted for nearly 78% of the homicide victims." He added, "A majority of both homicide victims and offenders are young black men with criminal records."



The deteriorating slums of Detroit are a pitiful yet poignant symbol of what happens when the welfare state breaks down, law-abiding middle-class families move out and businesses relocate to greener pastures.

If we return to the notion of how political correctness suppresses instincts, a pal of mine conducted an informal survey the other day. First he asked a white liberal woman what she'd do if three nasty-looking black guys were walking down the street toward her. Would she cross over to the other side or keep walking toward them? This woman replied, "I'd keep walking toward them." When asked why, she told him, "I wouldn't want them to think I'm a racist." Next, when questioned about the possibility of being raped by these guys as she walked right into the middle of their pack, she answered, "I'd just *hope* they didn't rape me."

In other words, this liberal white woman discarded each and every one of her instincts and thousands of years of survival skills merely to appear politically correct and non-racist. She feared a concept—racism—more than the actual brutality

that would occur from being violently gang raped. Her greatest weapon against being raped was straight out of a Barack Obama campaign commercial: She *hoped* it wouldn't happen.

This friend followed up by surveying a conservative woman, who said she'd cross over to the other side of the street. When asked why, she basically said that by waking right into the black men's midst, she'd have absolutely no chance whatsoever of escaping from them because of being outnumbered and overpowered. At least crossing over she could run, flee, scream, or try to delay their vicious onslaught. Conversely, the white liberal woman would risk getting raped—and possibly impregnated with a black baby or being infected with AIDS or VD—so as to not offend black rapists.

* * *

BLACK LEADERS ADVOCATING GENOCIDE OF 'WHITE DEVILS'

By Pete Papaherakles September 25, 2013

Ithough many Americans like to think that race relations today are the best they have ever been, nothing could be further from the truth. Whites are assaulted and murdered by blacks on a daily basis, while the media and government mostly look the other way.

On August 19, 2013 Christopher Lane, a 22-year-old Australian baseball player, was killed by two blacks while jogging in Duncan, Oklahoma. The two thugs, 15 and 16, said they killed him because they were "bored."

On August 21, 2013 Delbert Belton, an 88-year-old WW II veteran wounded at the Battle of Okinawa, on his way to

shoot pool, was beaten to death by two black teenagers outside the Eagles Lodge in Spokane, Washington for absolutely no reason.

On September 1, 2013 a 31-year-old black man in New York's Union Square said he "hated all white people" and announced, "I'm going to punch the first white man I see." Jeffrey Babbit, 62, was the unfortunate victim, who was punched so hard, he hit the ground and lapsed into a comma, being pronounced brain dead at the hospital. Babbit was actually offering the murderer a sandwich before he got fatally punched.

The recent trial of George Zimmerman revealed that black leaders and celebrities such as Jesse Jackson and Al Sharpton unconditionally supported Trayvon Martin. Although the evidence has conclusively proven that Zimmerman acted in self-defense, even ex-Secretary of State Colin Powell, himself of mixed ancestry, called the verdict "questionable." Many whites have been targeted and killed by blacks in retaliation.

Furthermore, speaking at a convention of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP) in Orlando on July 16, 2013—just three days after a jury acquitted George Zimmerman—the country's top prosecutor argued that Americans have the "duty" to run and hide when threatened rather than defend themselves. "We are all mindful of the tragic and unnecessary shooting death of Trayvon Martin," Attorney General Eric Holder told the audience. He added that he will actively pursue the repeal of so-called "stand your ground" laws because they "senselessly expand the concept of self-defense and sow dangerous conflict in our neighborhoods."

That means, according to Holder, if a burglar breaks into your home in the middle of the night, you and your family have a "duty to retreat." If a thug is pummeling your face and pounding your head on the sidewalk, you have a "duty to retreat."

Fed up and disgusted with Holder, patriots are turning up

the heat on him. The American Conservative Union (ACU) announced this week it will be launching a campaign to impeach Holder, citing his actions in the Zimmerman case as one of the reasons. Rather than a "duty to retreat," Americans have a "duty to impeach" Eric Holder. That would be a good start.

Yet, before Zimmerman was even indicted, a leader of the New Black Panthers, "King" Samir Shabazz, placed a \$10,000 bounty on Zimmerman's head. Holder did nothing about it.

Interestingly, Holder had refused to indict Shabazz for voter intimidation on Election Day in 2008—even after Shabazz was accused of threatening voters with a billy club at a north Philadelphia polling place.

Shabazz, who sports a "kill whitey" tattoo, says black people should create militias to exterminate whites, skin them alive, pour acid on them, sic pit bulls on them, smash their heads with rocks, and even raid nurseries containing white babies to "kill everything white in sight.

"I would love nothing more than to come home with a cracker's head in my book bag," Shabazz said on a black-power radio show.

Speaking at a street rally in Philadelphia, he said: "I hate white people. All of them. Every last iota of a cracker, I hate him. You want freedom? You going to have to kill some crackers. You're going to have to kill some of their babies!" But even that didn't get him arrested.

Shabazz is not alone in advocating these murderous, violent views. Speaking at Howard University, author and Professor Dr. Kamau Kambon said that after much research he found that the main problem in the world is that whites want to kill all blacks. He proposed an urgent solution to the problem: "We are going to have to exterminate white people off of the face of the planet." The audience applauded.

The media greatly contributes to this twisted viewpoint by enacting a total blackout of the black-on-white crime epidemic and hyper-focusing instead on the few cases of whiteon-black crime.

The entertainment industry is even worse. For over 20 years, black rappers, elevated to super-stardom by the music industry, have been echoing similar sentiments throughout songs with lyrics like "kill whitey all nighty," "slit the cracker's throat," and "snatching [white] devils up by the hair, then cut his head off."

From 1991 to 1998, there were over 50 songs performed by major Grammy award-winning rap groups such as Menace Clan and Brand Nubian that advocate the brutal murder of whites by blacks. These hair-raising lyrics are available on the Internet to anyone interested, but be forewarned: Most are not appropriate for civilized discussion, let alone a family newspaper.



DHS OFFICIAL BOOTED FOR ADVOCATING GENOCIDE OF WHITES

JOHN FRIEND SEPT. 25, 2013

yo Kimathi, who uses the pseudonym "Irritated Genie" on his extreme black nationalist website War on the Horizon, was a small business specialist working for the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS) before his superiors discovered his radical website and Internet postings.

Mr. Kimathi was placed on paid administrative leave shortly after the August 21, 2013 publication of a report by the Southern Poverty Law Center's (SPLC) HateWatch division which "monitors the evolving problem of online bigotry."

HateWatch is notorious for targeting Constitutionalists, patriots, and pro-white activists. However, in this case at least, its attention was drawn to a black man working directly for the federal government with extremely radical, controversial and quite unsettling views on race, homosexuality, history and a variety of other subjects.

Mr. Kimathi describes the white race as a "bitter enemy" of blacks everywhere whose primary aim is to "exterminate Afrikan [sic] people in all corners of the Earth."

The War on the Horizon "Creed" advocates that blacks around the world unite in order to "eradicate the system of racist white supremacy and its benefactors in order to establish righteous Black dominion over planet Earth." The creed goes on to say that blacks are "mandated by [their] Creator to return to [their] proper status as rulers of this world."

Mr. Kimathi is extremely critical of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. and his legacy, especially forced integration—views that many pro-white activists share, ironically enough.

HateWatch and other mainstream media outlets reported that Mr. Kimathi's website explicitly stated, "Warfare is eminent [sic], and in order for black people to survive the 21st century, we are going to have to kill a lot of whites—more than our Christian hearts can possibly count."

Following his placement on paid administrative leave, Kimathi declared on his Facebook page: "The war is on! The small-hats (white so-called Jews) have stepped it up."

As if this story weren't bizarre enough, Kimathi was actually a public representative of DHS and the unit of the U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement agency he worked for. His primary job duties consisted of representing the agency at various public events, advocating for small business owners—"white, black, historically disadvantaged, disabled, veteranowned, everybody," his former supervisor was quoted as stating in the SPLC report.

Kimathi's former supervisor went on to explain: "He

fights for the little guy, and he's very good at it. He has a commanding presence. He's very suave. It's almost as if he has a split personality."

Repeated calls to Kimathi and an email sent to his website were not returned as of this writing.

Kimathi's radical views are not restricted to the white race. He has written and spoken extensively about homosexuals, individuals of mixed racial ancestry, and leaders in the organized black community, usually in an extremely vitriolic, repugnant manner.

The question most Americans want answered is how did an individual such as Kimathi come to work for the federal government anyway?

* * *

PATHOLOGICAL ALTRUISM: WHITE MAN'S BURDEN?

By Pete Papaherakles November 28, 2013


ost Americans are familiar with the phrase, "The road to hell is paved with good intentions." On Oct. 24, 2013 a respected psychology professor from California State University explained the science behind the growing phenomenon of pathological altruism (PA) at a talk at the Occidental Quarterly (OQ) dinner in Washington, D.C.

Kevin MacDonald, who is also the editor of OQ and writes for its news website *The Occidental Observer*, calls PA a relatively new concept first mentioned in scientific journals in 1984.

Pathological altruism has not received much attention,

however, until a book by that name, edited by Barbara Oakley, was published in December 2011 by Oxford University Press. The book is reviewed and the subject thoroughly explored in the 2013 summer and fall issues of OQ, a conservative quarterly magazine on man, culture, and politics from a Western perspective.

Ms. Oakley defines PA in this way: "Pathological altruism can be



conceived as behavior in which attempts to promote the welfare of another, or others, results instead in harm that an external observer would conclude was reasonably foreseeable."

When properly understood, PA is a scientific explanation about why people do stupid things in the belief that they are helping others when they are only hurting themselves in the process.

The beauty of this new discovery is that it documents the evolutionary, biological and psychological causes of this disorder, which adds much needed credibility to what we all know to be a severe lack of common sense.

Dr. MacDonald and OQ have correctly compared this disorder to what has always been referred to as "bleeding heart liberalism," the "cult of victimhood," or plain old "white guilt tripping."

Whites have spent trillions of dollars over the last half century "helping" blacks here and in Africa while in both cases most blacks are no better off than they were—some say they're worse off—and many blacks hate whites more than ever. The same can be applied to every victim cause that liberals have advocated, whether it's illegal immigration, drug addiction or any other group portrayed as entitled to some type of special treatment.

AMERICA'S APPALLING RACIAL DOUBLE STANDARD

By John Friend Ianuary 2014

easured by recent events, elite opinion in the United States, which is reflected in the controlled mass media and political establishment, is increasingly becoming more and more hostile toward traditional white America.

According to iconic media personality Oprah Winfrey, America is still a deeply racist country in many regards, despite the progress made since the fratricidal, destructive "Civil" War.

During a recent interview with the British Broadcasting Corp., Ms. Winfrey said that old racists "just have to die" in order for America to overcome its "racist" past. She also stated that much of the criticism leveled against Barack Obama and "disrespect" shown for the office of the presidency since his election is based on the fact that he is a black man—a truly absurd statement to make. Ms. Winfrey is one of the richest and most powerful women in the entire world, and has amassed much of her fortune in the very country she considers marred by "racist" attitudes.

"The media goes into overdrive only when the message is that whites are evil or, as with the election of Obama, that America is at last shedding its white identity, and none too soon," explained Dr. Kevin MacDonald, author of numerous books dealing with racial issues from an evolutionary perspective. "The main source of information in today's society is the mass media, which is controlled by an elite that is hostile to the traditional people and culture of America. Oprah Winfrey saying that critics of Obama are racist and saying that all racists

have to die illustrates the deep hatred toward whites that permeates elite opinion in the U.S."

Gordon Jenkins, the black mayor of Monticello, New York, was recently arrested for drunk driving and went on an unhinged, vicious, anti-white rant while being processed at the police station, all of which was captured on video. He repeatedly referred to white police officers as "crackas" and characterized the black police officer processing his arrest as a traitor for not letting him off the hook. Despite Jenkins's vitriolic racial epithets, there was no outrage in the controlled mass media. There can be no doubt that the situation would have been quite different had Jenkins been a white man spewing racial slurs.

The same anti-white message "comes through loud and clear from the black mayor—hatred of white America," added MacDonald. "This serves to reinforce the current multicultural zeitgeist which is based on the premise that white people have no right to assert their interests and identity as whites except when white identity is linked in the media to unjustified violence against non-whites or some other evil."

The disdain for white America could not be more obvious in the mainstream media and political establishment. Whites are regularly attacked, both physically and verbally, in America. The epidemic of black-on-white violent crime is on the rise, and more and more Americans are coming to the realization that the much-ballyhooed "knock-out game"—in which unsuspecting whites are sucker-punched by roving groups of black thugs in an effort to knock out or seriously injure unsuspecting victims—is a real phenomenon in major American cities all across the nation. White Americans who care about their country, traditions and history are routinely demonized and slandered as "racist" and "backward." Meanwhile, millions of unskilled non-white immigrants—both legal and illegal—are displacing the former white majority in America.

"Whites are foolish indeed to willingly head into minor-

ity status given the outright hostility shown toward whites in the mainstream political and media establishment," said Mac-Donald. "When whites are a minority they will be powerless to stem the tide of hatred against them. Today's hatred of whites will be tomorrow's large-scale victimization of whites."



CIVIL RIGHTS MOVEMENT NEEDED FOR WHITES

By Pete Papaherakles January 10, 2014

hite privilege" is a term often heard lately as an underhanded accusation against whites. It refers to a theoretical set of privileges that whites benefit from beyond those experienced by blacks and other minorities in the community, workplace or schools. Although whites might not even be aware of these supposed advantages, they somehow allegedly enjoy unfair benefits that presumably need to be addressed and eliminated in order to level the playing field and achieve true racial equality.

The term "white privilege" was coined by Theodore W. Allen during the civil rights movement in the 1960s. Its roots derive from critical race theory, an offshoot of the Frankfurt School's critical theory, a Marxist tactic by which criticism is used as a weapon to take down the establishment's power structure.

On December 24, 2013 this reporter interviewed Prof. Kevin MacDonald on his thoughts about the validity of "white privilege."

"There is no such thing," he said. "It's a total fabrication

put out there as a way to further affirmative action programs."

MacDonald, a professor at Caltech, has written several articles on this issue, including a review of an article by Ron Unz, publisher of *The American Conservative*, entitled "The Myth of American Meritocracy: How corrupt are Ivy League admissions?"

Asked whether this notion applies to employment opportunities, Dr. MacDonald explained: "These types of accusations are a throwback to pre-civil rights conditions more than half a century ago. Today, the opposite is actually happening. Due to affirmative action, blacks are being hired at jobs, though they are less qualified than whites."

Almost all of the largest Fortune 500 corporations have affirmative action and "diversity" programs that discriminate against white people in hiring. They also have programs of discrimination that favor non-whites in promotions and advancements. But blacks are often over-represented at a rate much higher than the 13% of the population they comprise in the U.S.

On March 12, 2012, *The Washington Times* ran an exposé on the racial makeup of the capital's more than 3,000 public transportation bus and rail drivers for northern Virginia, D.C. and Maryland.

"Nearly 100% (97%) of the bus and train operators at the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority [Metro] are black," wrote *The Times*, although blacks comprise only 28% of D.C.'s metropolitan area.

Citing several examples of anti-white discrimination, the article noted:

"A white male engineer near completion of a Ph.D. was passed over for a management position in favor of a black man who was barely literate. . . . In typical examples, court and Metro records show, a black man who spent eight years in prison for dealing PCP was promoted to a high-level management position soon after his release. Whites in the same posi-

tions as blacks with far less seniority are inexplicably paid less."

These jobs for blacks are not low paying, explained *The Times*. "The average Metro worker had a \$60,000 salary, which rises to \$69,000 including overtime. That is more than 71% of area residents who had an income in 2010, including 62% of whites, census records show."

Many very highly qualified whites earn half of this amount or less.

Blacks are also favored over whites in the 11 million government and quasi-government jobs, including the U.S. Postal Service, which has been called "a work program for blacks." They make up over 20% of postal employees, and the agency's inefficiency is leading it to bankruptcy as FedEx and UPS are now dominating the parcel business.

Incredibly, it's estimated blacks make up almost 80% of mailmen in Chicago, according to a statement from Mack Julion, president of the Chicago branch of the National Association of Letter Carriers.

MacDonald explained that the same reverse discrimination is happening with college admissions throughout the country. "It is well documented that blacks are admitted to Ivy League universities such as Harvard with much lower SAT scores than whites," he said.

The primary admission test today is called the Scholastic Assessment Test, or SAT. The typical scores for students entering select colleges such as Harvard, Princeton and CalTech are 750 or above on both the math and verbal SAT.

Of the 153,132 blacks who took the SAT in 2005 in the entire country, only 244 of them scored 750 or above on the math section and 363 scored 750 or above on the verbal portion of the test. Yet in 2011, Harvard admitted 255 blacks as freshmen, 11.8% of a total of 2,158 new students that year.

Meanwhile, whites, who make up 65% of the U.S. population and tested on average 310 points higher than blacks on their SAT scores, only made up 44% of the admissions. And it

gets worse. Of that 44%, 26% were Jewish, leaving gentile whites of European ancestry with only 18% representation.

Whites of non-Jewish descent, whose ancestors actually built Harvard, only get an 18% representation at their nation's oldest and most prestigious university—hardly a sign of white privilege. Blacks, on the other hand, who mostly don't even qualify for enrollment, get almost a 12% representation even though there are five times as many whites in the country. The fact that Jewish students are over-represented 14 times more than their 1.8% population share is very telling. This ratio also applies to the faculty and administration. Larry Summers, a Jew, was Harvard's president between 2001 and 2006. Harvard is not the exception in these demographics, but more or less the rule with most Ivy League colleges.

"White privilege" is nothing more than a term used to beat whites over the head with guilt feelings in order to take away what little power they have left.

"When white Americans of the future—quite possibly the very near future—discover the world they have lost and the reasons they lost that world, the results may well be cataclysmic," warned MacDonald.



YOUTH VIOLENCE DEPENDENT ON RACE

By Victor Thorn January 26, 2014

he implications are shocking: nearly 50% of all black male youths and 38% of white men will be arrested by the age of 23. These conclusions, compiled by four college professors between the years 1997-2008, were

published in the Jan. 6, 2014 edition of a journal called Crime & Delinguency.

The biggest question one takes away from this study is: What types of crimes are these young adults committing? Not surprisingly, there exists a great deal of variance depending on the perpetrator's race.

A 2012 study by the Deptartment of Justice's Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention revealed that in 2010 black youths committed six times more murders, three times more rapes, 10 times more robberies, and three times more assaults than did their white counterparts.

Similar statistics were released by the FBI in their Uniform Crime Reports. They determined, "In the year 2008, black youths, who make up 16% of the youth population, accounted for 52% of juvenile violent crime arrests, including 58% for homicide and 67% for robbery." By contrast, the only categories where white youths surpassed blacks were in liquor law violations and DUL

Even black civil rights advocates such as Van Jones, Barack Obama's former "green jobs czar," confirmed these findings. In his Oct. 5, 2005 article, "Are Blacks a Criminal Race?" Jones wrote, "African-American youths represent 32% of all weapons arrests [and] were arrested for aggravated assault at a rate nearly three times that of whites."

To better comprehend this trend, on Jan. 10, 2014 AFP spoke with veteran journalist and author Alan Caruba. When questioned about the proliferation of black crime, Caruba explained, "The black community is afflicted with all kinds of problems based on a long history of failing to integrate fully into the overall community."

Pointing to current events, Caruba explained: "The 'knockout game' is a good example of what's wrong today. It goes straight to the heart of how [blacks] are raised. It's a disturbing trend that all of us need to pay more attention to."

Author and radio talk show host Colin Flaherty concurs.

In his 2012 book *White Girl Bleed a Lot*, Flaherty wrote, "Groups of black people are roaming the streets of America, intimidating, stalking, vandalizing, stealing, shooting, raping and killing."

Although few publications other than AFP have the courage to buck political correctness and address the actualities of this social cancer in a forthright manner, in a 2011 speech Philadelphia's black Mayor Michael Nutter offered this admonition to black youths: "You have damaged your own race. Take those damned hoodies down. Pull your pants up and buy a belt because no one wants to see your underwear or the crack of your butt."

Summarizing this entire matter was former federal prosecutor Andrew McCarthy, who, on Sept. 30, 2005, declared: "Some identifiable groups . . . commit crime at a rate that is higher than the national rate. Blacks are such a group. That is simply a fact."



RACE, POVERTY PLAY GREATER ROLE IN CRIME THAN GUNS

By Pete Papaherakles January 26, 2014

he liberal mainstream media has been making quite a commotion over a recently released study that purports to show states with lax gun laws suffer the worst violence in the United States. In reality, much to their dismay, what the study has really exposed is something regular readers of AFP already know: Race and poverty play more of a factor in areas plagued by violence than do gun laws.

The best example of this is Tennessee, which in 2012 had the dubious distinction of ranking No. 1 in the country for incidence of violent crime, namely murder, assault and rape.

According to the FBI statistics for that year, most of the United States saw a nearly 1% drop in crime rates. In Tennessee, however, the violent crime rate went up by 6.8%. More specifically, the Volunteer State was first in aggravated assaults, with an estimated 479.6 for every 100,000 residents, for a total of 41,550 violent crimes in 2012.

On Jan. 7, 2014 AFP interviewed longtime Tennessee resident and attorney Keith Alexander for insight into this matter.

Alexander is a co-host of the popular weekly radio program "Political Cesspool," carried by several AM radio stations across the country as well as on Internet radio.

"The problem in Tennessee is mostly in Shelby County," said Alexander. "Over 50% of the violent crimes happen in Shelby, and Memphis makes up about three-quarters of the population in Shelby County."

He added that about 65% of the population in Memphis is now black.

"Blacks flock to where whites go like fleas on a dog," he said. "All the Mississippi Delta cities are in decay as blacks keep moving in to milk whites for money. They want affirmative action programs, government jobs, Section 8 housing, and more government handouts for their children."

As an attorney, Alexander understands the crime statistics for his state. "Domestic violence is rampant among black couples," he said. "However, the courts have a vested interest in getting more of these cases prosecuted because they receive more government funds this way. Prosecutors will not allow women to drop domestic violence charges, or the men to 'cop a plea' to a lesser charge. Instead, they urge men to plead guilty with the promise that they will not be incarcerated. But the assault still goes on their record."

Tennessee is not alone in this. Other states in the top 10

most violent list all demonstrate similar racial and economic disparities. They include by order of their ranking: Nevada, Alaska, New Mexico, South Carolina, Delaware, Louisiana, Florida, Maryland and Oklahoma.

More importantly, it is misleading to rate crime by state, when it is cities that make all the difference.

Maryland stands out among other violent states on the list given its stringent gun-control laws. Overall, the Old Line State has the highest median income in the nation, the third-lowest poverty rate, and one of the highest proportions of adults with a college degree. But in Maryland's case, one city,

"Blacks are an estimated 39 times more likely to commit a violent crime against a white than vice versa, and 136 times more likely to commit robbery."

Baltimore, is responsible for giving that state a bad name.

There are already strict gun-control laws in Baltimore, but that has not stopped that city from becoming the fifth most dangerous in the nation, dragging the entire state down when it comes to violent crime statistics. Baltimore also has the highest poverty rate in the state and, like Memphis, a high concentration—65%—of blacks.

A 2005 report "The Color of Crime" by the New Century Foundation lays it out. "Blacks are seven times more likely than people of other races to commit murder, and eight times more likely to commit robbery. . . . Blacks are an estimated 39 times more likely to commit a violent crime against a white than vice versa, and 136 times more likely to commit robbery."

BLACKS NOT VICTIMS OF WHITE PRIVILEGE

By Victor Thorn February 2014

hen elected as this nation's first black president in 2008, Barack Obama assured voters we'd enter a new post-racial America where skin color no longer mattered. Yet a Feb. 4, 2014 study by the Emerson College Polling Society revealed that 61% of blacks believe race relations are now worse in the United States.

These same individuals also feel that their standard of living and job opportunities have declined over the past few years. Other black leaders share this view. On Oct. 11, 2013 Tavis Smiley, a PBS commentator, said, "Black people will have lost ground in every single economic indicator under Barack Obama."

Former NAACP president, Ben Jealous, agreed. On Jan. 29, 2013 he declared, "Black Americans are doing far worse under Obama."

In fact, official black youth unemployment now stands at a stunning 38%. In actuality, since the Bureau of Labor Statistics constantly skews their numbers downward, black joblessness figures are significantly higher. Of course, many black leaders and Democratic pundits blame this phenomenon on white privilege and overt racism by people such as "tea party" members.

However, not all blacks share this view. On Feb. 6, 2014 AFP spoke with Rev. Jesse Lee Peterson, founder of BOND, the Brotherhood Organization of a New Destiny. When asked why so many blacks were in this dire situation, Peterson responded, "More black babies are being born out of wedlock. When you

have 74% of black children born to single mothers, your chances of doing well economically are very limited."

As the conversation turned to why Barack Obama refuses to use his bully pulpit to directly address this issue as he does with so many others, Peterson replied, "Obama has offered black America nothing. He got married first and didn't have children out of wedlock. Since both his daughters attend the best schools, black poverty isn't a priority to him and Michelle."

Peterson continued, "Obama wants blacks dependent and reliant on Democrats so that his party stays in control. If that means keeping blacks down for another 100 years, they'll do it. They only care about power."

Speaking with a courage that's rare in today's politically correct society, Peterson added: "Black leaders don't want their people free. They want them demoralized, brainwashed and dumbed down on the Democratic Party's plantation where there's free housing, food and healthcare. Relying on the government never brought anyone out of poverty."

Offering a historical perspective on how this trend transpired, Peterson stated: "The civil rights movement brought in big government and told black America that they lived in a racist society. They were supposed to believe that the white man held them back because of slavery. So blacks were given handouts. Since they couldn't let black men remain in the home, government became their 'daddy.' Plus, more children born out of wedlock equates to more government care. This notion became engrained in their heads: Babies equal food stamps and welfare. It's deeply rooted in the black mindset. Today, blacks believe more in government than they do in themselves."

Peterson concluded by describing his mission at BOND. "For the past 24 years we've tried to rebuild the black family through prayer, learning trades, teaching independence and loving your country instead of hating it. But corrupt black leadership, including Barack Obama, doesn't want that. They

prefer that black women look to the government instead of their own families."

Lloyd Marcus, chairman of the Conservative Campaign Committee, concurs. Since Marcus, a black man, has been welcomed to speak at over 400 tea party rallies, AFP decided to contact him on Feb. 6, 2014 to discuss problems inherit within the black community.

Marcus began, "Blacks continually keep shooting themselves in the foot by sleeping with the enemy, namely Democrats and liberals. These two institutions, along with the Black Congressional Caucus and liberal media, perpetually lower standards for blacks."

When prompted for an example, Marcus stated: "The NAACP says the need for voter IDs disfranchises black voters. Why? Do they think blacks are too stupid to acquire an ID?"

Marcus also cited the lack of two-parent households as a major problem facing blacks. "Data proves that black kids in fatherless households are more prone to doing drugs, joining gangs or ending up in prison. Before LBJ's so-called War on Poverty, blacks were actually moving forward. But government intrusion destroyed the black family by saying, 'We'll be your daddy."

AFP asked a similar question to Marcus: Why won't the president use his stature in regard to this social plague? Marcus framed his response in the following manner. "Barack Obama is foremost a socialist, progressive liberal. The Democratic Party trumps loyalty to his race. The same applies to other well-known black leaders like Rev. Al Sharpton, who also advocates a progressive agenda. I have total disdain for the NAACP, Jesse Jackson and Barack Obama. They're all cut from the same cloth."

As for the ultimate root cause, Marcus disclosed: "So many blacks are blinded by their own racism that they refuse to see Barack Obama for who he is and what he's doing to them. They're living in fantasy world."

BLACK RELIGIOUS LEADERS SEEK ERIC HOLDER'S IMPEACHMENT

By Victor Thorn March 2014

n Feb. 25, 2014 Rev. Bill Owens, president and founder of the Coalition of African American Pastors, stood before the National Press Club and called for Attorney General Eric Holder's impeachment because he "abandoned the oath he swore in taking office."

Specifically, Holder has informed at least four states that they no longer need to enforce laws preventing same-sex marriages. In response to the current administration's attempts at coercing states into accepting a brazenly pro-homosexual agenda, Owens demanded, "President Obama and Attorney General Holder have turned their backs on values the American people hold dear, values particularly cherished in the black community, values like marriage."

Even more vociferously, Owens charged, "As much as Barack Obama and Eric Holder would like it to be otherwise, we live in a democracy with government of, by and for the people, not a monarchy ruled by a king issuing decrees from on high."

Citing a vivid example of reverse racism, Owens told attendees, "If Obama was a white man, he would be impeached. Obama has been given a free pass to do what he pleases. But I don't give him a free pass. I'm very black, been black all my life, [and] he doesn't get a pass."

Rev. Owens provided three other valuable insights at the National Press Club. First he stated, "Our elected leaders are bent on destroying marriage [by] remaking it a genderless in-

stitution." Secondly, he directed a finger at Barack Obama. "The gay community bought the president with millions and millions of dollars.

Finally, Owens views Obama's legal puppet as a man seriously endangering our constitutional republic. "Attorney General Holder is a man so political in his zeal to redefine marriage that he is willing to run roughshod over rulings of the Supreme Court."

Confirming Owens's views, on Feb. 27, 2014 AFP interviewed Minister Stacy Swimp, a sociopolitical commentator and founder of the faith-based group Revive Alive.

Swimp began, "I'm going on the record to support black and Christian leaders that have called for Eric Holder's impeachment. The attorney general is responsible for enforcing laws within the U.S. and prosecuting offenders. Holder has not only done neither, he's playing the role of bully through intimidation and circumventing the Constitution."

When asked why so much opposition to gay marriage exists within the black community, Swimp asserted: "Homosexuals represent the highest rate of HIV infection within our country. Blacks, especially women, have been disproportionately victimized by HIV. The number of people dying from this disease among the black demographic is also disproportionate. Why would Holder put my people at greater risk than they already are?"

Reminded that Holder has vowed to make same-sex marriage a civil rights issue, Swimp retorted, "How ironic that homosexuals and lesbians are tied to civil rights when the majority of blacks oppose gay marriage."

Swimp continued: "I'd like to send a resounding message to black Americans. Stop allowing homosexuals and lesbians to step on your backs. The homosexual holocaust is a myth, and redefining marriage is not a civil right. We've been stooping for too long. Gay marriage is an insult to the integrity of black Americans."

MAKING A MOCKERY OF WESTERN EDUCATION

By Pete Papaherakles May 26, 2014

ultural Communists are claiming another victim. This time it's Western education, which has fallen into the trap of political correctness by kowtowing to the cult of "white guilt" and "black victim-hood." The latest example of this degradation is in the way that time-honored college debate championships have been turned into a mockery by black students who reject traditional contests. Formerly featuring logic and reasoning, debaters now use instead profanity-laced tirades and rap music in a pathetic attempt to make their arguments.

In 2014 at the Cross Examination Debate Association (CEDA) championships at Indiana University, Ameena Ruffin and Korey Johnson, two students from Towson University in Maryland, became the first black women to win a national debate tournament. But instead of debating the actual resolution, which asked whether the U.S. president's war powers should be restricted—as is typically required under debate rules—the two women, along with other teams of black students, attacked the foundation and history of the debates. The more important issue, they argued, is not the resolution that was asked of them, but how the U.S. government is at war with poor black communities.

In the past, students would lose points and be ejected from the contest for failing to argue the resolution. But somehow, their total refusal to debate the assigned topic and rant instead about the only topic they care to discuss—namely, how white racism is the cause of all their problems—was not only accepted



The 2014 men's and women's debate champions pose for a photo. Rather than a debate, the event was more of a profanity-laced tirade against what the winners perceived as white oppression.

by CEDA authorities—but won them the contest.

This was only the beginning. In the final round, Miss Ruffin and Johnson went up against second-place winners Rashid Campbell and George Lee from the University of Oklahoma, two black male debaters sporting dreadlocks and African-style clothing. For four hours, the two teams engaged in a heated debate about concepts like "'nigga' authenticity" and whether "war powers should be used against niggers."

When Lee took the podium, he turned on "music" that played a rhythm with background voices of black women wailing. He then proceeded to go into a "rap" monologue while pacing and gesturing in the typical shuckin' and jivin' hip-hop genre. The routine included crotch grabbing and plenty of profanity, including the "f" word, the "s" word, the "m-f" word, and copious use of the terms "nigga" and "nigger"—which apparently are very different in black culture.

He went on to express in his 10-minute rant how white

racism has forced him to become a gangster, a drug dealer and a pimp in order to survive the harsh conditions of "da hood." He's been to jail and done some "bad things," but it's not his fault. It's all due to the pain and suffering endured by his ancestors over 150 years ago during the slavery era. Now it is payback time for whites, who need to give him everything to atone for this racism. Only then, allegedly, can they feel the pain blacks have gone through.

Asked by Miss Johnson what the purpose of the music was, Lee replied: "Whachu mean whut's da puhpose? Don't need no puhpose [sic]." Finally, he added, "Its cuz we'z niggaz [sic]." At one point during Lee's rebuttal, the clock ran out, but he refused to yield the floor. "[Expletive deleted] the time!" he yelled. His partner and the two women each made their presentations, along similar black victimhood lines followed by incomprehensible rebuttals.

The women didn't use the hip-hop format. Rather, they opted for a style that seems to be popular in collegiate debates today. A debater reads as fast as he or she possibly can, making it impossible to understand what's being said while making strange gagging sounds as they breathe. It's like listening to an auctioneer hyperventilating.

Joe Leeson Schatz, director of speech and debate at Binghamton University, thinks it's great that historic rules are being ignored and violated. "Finally, there's a recognition in the academic space that the way argument has taken place in the past privileges certain types of people over others," he said. "Arguments don't necessarily have to be backed up by professors or written papers. They can come from lived experience."

University of California Hastings Law School Professor Osagie Obasogie, who teaches a class called "critical race theory," also agrees that the traditional debate format is racist. "Various procedures—regardless of whether we're talking about debate formats or law—have the ability to hide the subjective experiences that shape these seemingly 'objective' and

'rational' rules," he said. "This is the power of racial subordination: making the viewpoint of the dominant group seems like the only true reality."

Debate "champion" Johnson agreed, saying, "No matter how people feel about my argument, they have to listen to me for all of my speeches—everything I have to say. They can't make me stop speaking." In other words, logic, intellect, order, dignity and discipline—all of the things that made Western civilization great and helped foster a government of the people—are being torn down in today's academia to make room for a base and savage culture that rewards ugliness, violence, profanity and disrespect.



BLACK ANGELS, WHITE DEVILS: WHAT'S THE MEDIA'S AGENDA?

By Pete Papaherakles September 15, 2014

ver the past months, Americans have been captivated with media coverage of the killing of an unarmed black teenager in Ferguson, Missouri, by a white police officer and the resulting riots. Few Americans are even aware that only two days after this shooting an unarmed young white man was shot by a black police officer in Salt Lake City, Utah, and his friends and family protested the killing without burning down the city. Why the double standard?

When 20-year-old Dillon Taylor, a white male, was shot dead by a black police officer, Barack Obama did not call in from Martha's Vineyard to tell America how "heartbreaking" the shooting was and to offer his condolences to Taylor's fam-

ily as he did to the Brown family. He didn't promise that Attorney General Eric Holder would be investigating the case as he did with Brown. Al Sharpton and Jesse Jackson didn't show up in Salt Lake City, nor did the national media jump all over the story of a white youth shot by a "racist" black cop.

A few dozen Salt Lake City residents peacefully protested, carrying signs about "Justice for Dillon," but there was no rioting, looting or burning down of shops as there was in Ferguson.



DILLON TAYLOR, unarmed young man shot by a black cop. White protesters remained peaceful.

One might think that the shooting of Brown was undeserved, whereas Taylor perhaps had it coming. Taylor was exiting a 7-Eleven convenience store with his brother and cousin when officers arrived in response to a report of a man with a gun in the area and thought Taylor fit the description. When the officers confronted the three youths and asked them to raise their hands, two of them complied, but Taylor walked away, listening to music on headphones. That's when police shot and killed Taylor.

Taylor's brother said Dillon was wearing headphones and didn't immediately hear the officers, who were shouting conflicting commands for Taylor to both raise his hands and get down on the ground.

Unlike Taylor, Brown had in fact just robbed the convenience store he came out of and even roughed up the clerk. After the robbery, he and his friend were walking down the middle of the street and refused the officer's direction to move to the sidewalk.

Brown, who was not wearing headphones, did not simply walk away when the white officer asked him to raise his hands,

as did Taylor. He rushed toward Officer Wilson after having already punched him hard enough to break his eye socket and trying to wrest his pistol from him.

Everything about the way the Brown case was presented to the public is identical to that of Trayvon Martin, including pictures of Brown as a much younger schoolboy. At the time of the shooting, Brown was 6'4" and weighed 292 pounds. Like Martin, there are recent pictures of Brown shown in gangster style photos, holding a .45 pistol and a wad of cash in his mouth while displaying gang member hand signs.

There is a glaring double standard in America with a definite agenda to incite racial tensions. Black crime and violence against whites, now at epidemic levels, is consistently swept under the rug while fictitious stories of white racism against blacks are invented and promoted by the mass media in order to further escalate black-on-white crime.

Has the media publicized the September 2, 2014 killings of five elderly whites in Kansas City, Mo. by a black man? Three were shot, whereas a married couple aged in their 80's was beaten to death. How about the September 3, 2014 killing of a white police officer in Rochester, New York, by a black man?

These stories, and many others involving black-on-white crime, get suppressed by the media, not to mention countless cases of mobs of blacks attacking whites and beating them. And then there is the "knockout game," wherein blacks suckerpunch predominantly white strangers as hard as they can—sometimes killing them.

In the aftermath of the Ferguson hysteria, as was seen after the Trayvon Martin case in Sanford, Florida, racial tensions fanned by the media are causing many of these types of revenge beatings by blacks-on-whites across the country in the name of "justice for Michael Brown."

Who's to Blame for the State of Black Communities?


By BILL WHITE SEPTEMBER 22, 2014

nited States Attorney General Eric Holder made one thing very clear when he visited Ferguson, Missouri in early September: All that military equipment the government has been handing out for free to local police is to be used only on white folks.

As mobs of angry, poor blacks hurled Molotov cocktails at police and burned businesses, Barack Hussein Obama and

Holder intervened to stop Ferguson police from controlling these crowds and made them face these armed mobs unarmed and unarmored.

The disorder began after 18-year-old Michael Brown robbed a local convenience store and then was stopped by Ferguson police while wandering around through traffic. Brown tried to grab the sidearm of Ferguson police Officer Darren Wilson, who then shot and killed Brown. After their fight for the gun had stopped, police say that



ERIC HOLDER

Brown moved aggressively toward Wilson, which left him no choice but to use deadly force.

Uncontrolled riots were the latest nonsense coming out of black communities, which have spent the past decade marching, looting and killing over the "right" of young black men to assault, rob and murder. This trend in the civil rights industry began in 2006 when members of a mob of black youths were arrested after trying to kill a white youth in Jena, Louisiana. Blacks marched in the streets and hundreds of thousands of dollars were spent to support the "right" of blacks to kill with no repercussions, claiming that their poverty and the fact that they are "disfranchised" from society should put them above law and order.

In 2012, the movement coalesced around Trayvon Martin, a young burglar in Sanford, Florida. Martin assaulted George Zimmerman, a mixed-race man of mestizo and white heritage, who then shot and killed Martin.

While people should not be shot for obnoxious public behavior. Black culture in America has become oriented around making a public nuisance of oneself and then challenging someone else to do something about it. Then, when someone reacts, a confrontation ensues, and the black male who was stumbling around intoxicated or driving around with a ridiculously loud stereo and making belligerent and threatening noises gets arrested or shot. Subsequently, blacks riot, insisting they have the "right" to be that way.

Ferguson has been a particular flashpoint. There is a continuing racial problem in St. Louis. Black neighborhoods in St. Louis comprise a little spot on a map of 90-100% white communities. In the midst of St. Louis's black areas is a dead zone of abandoned, ruined buildings and streets stalked by packs of poor, angry, unemployed youths.

These social ills come from the refusal of the liberal American multiculturalist system to admit that peoples, races, ethnicities and nations are different and do not naturally mix into oneness people. The insane demand that racial differences be eliminated by forcing integration on people while simultaneously undermining America's disempowered white working class leads inevitably to the anti-white violence seen in Ferguson.

"BLACKMALE" VIOLENCE— WHITES TOLD: BOW TO PRESSURE OR ELSE

By Victor Thorn September 1, 2014

espite overwhelming evidence that on Aug. 9, 2014 a 6'4", 292-pound black thug named Michael Brown committed two serious felonies—the strong-arm robbery of a liquor store and attacking a police officer—before being shot, an orchestrated gang of anti-white extremists threatened to further escalate violence across America.

The most blatant example derives from Missouri state Sen. Jamilah Nasheed, who on Aug. 14, 2014 announced to the local D.A., "If you should decide not to indict this police officer, the rioting we witnessed this past week [in Ferguson] will seem like a picnic compared to the havoc that will likely occur."

Certain areas of the country didn't even have to wait that long. Only days after police officer Darren Wilson rightly shot Brown in self-defense, within a span of 15 minutes three white residents of Hackensack, N.J. were approached by a black man that punched and assaulted them in a grisly crime that has become known as the "knockout game."

Similarly, an Aug. 19 *Washington Times* headline revealed, "Race-based hate crimes spike in D.C." Of all 2013 hate crimes, statistics show that 72% were perpetrated by blacks on whites. Delroy Burton, chairman of the Washington, D.C. Fraternal Order of Police, commented, "Regardless of what the category of crime is, the vast majority of people committing these

crimes will be black."

At the White House on Aug. 22, 2014 black protesters staged a "Day of Rage" where they chanted, "Hands up, don't shoot." None, though, seemed to mention Michael Brown savagely beating Officer Wilson so severely that he dislocated his orbital eye socket.

One woman present, Lisa Pointer, complained to reporters: "In America, black men are under attack. They are constantly killed with no justice." Apparently, nobody informed Pointer that blacks murder 91%s of all black victims of homicide in this country.

When examining the riots and looting that transpired in Ferguson, we discover the overt presence of Revolutionary Communist Party members Gregory Lee Johnson and Travis Morales hurling Molotov cocktails at those trying to preserve law and order. Moreover, at a local press conference, leaders from the New Black Panther Party (NBPP) insisted that the greatest threat to America was "racist white folks who are running this country." These same Black Panthers were instrumental in inciting violence on the streets of Ferguson.

Of course, who could forget the August 2012 comments uttered by the NBPP's King Samir Shabazz, who urged blacks to "kill cracker babies" and not be scared to "drag whites out of their houses, skin them, hang them in trees, drag them behind trucks and pour acid on them."

Further ratcheting up anti-white rhetoric among black mobs in Ferguson were typical race pimps such as Rev. Al Sharpton and Jesse Jackson. Also hustling his brand of racial obsession was Attorney General Eric Holder, who reminded looters who'd destroyed hundreds of small businesses, "I am a black man." Justice is supposedly blind, but with Holder it's always perceived through a prism of anti-white hostility. Worse, Mo. Democratic Gov. Jay Nixon completely prejudiced any potential jury pool by insisting on a "vigorous prosecution" of Officer Wilson.

In light of these biases, black leaders want St. Louis Co. Prosecutor Robert McCulloch, a white man, removed from Brown's case. The reason why: because McCulloch's father, a policeman, had been shot to death in 1964 by a black assailant.

$\star\star\star$

SHOOTING OF BLACK THUG SPARKS RIOTS, CRIES OF "RACISM"

By Pete Papaherakles October 19, 2014

nother "white cop shoots innocent black 18-yearold" case in St. Louis, Missouri has resulted in violent riots by angry blacks crying racism. As with the Michael Brown case in August in nearby Ferguson, the young man was portrayed by the mainstream media as a victim of racism and not as a violent thug.

The shooting in St. Louis happened as activists and protesters from around the country were preparing for four days of new rallies, marches and protests over the Brown shooting.

Brown's August 9, 2014 death spurred weeks of unrest in the St. Louis area. It was so bad that some locals called the looting and vandalism that occurred during the protests "domestic terrorism." A state grand jury is deciding whether the officer who shot Brown, Darren Wilson, will face charges.

In the case of the St. Louis shooting, St. Louis Police Chief Sam Dotson said the officer, whose name is withheld, saw Vonderrit Deondre Myers and two other males around 7:30 p.m. on October 8. Dotson said the officer, who was off duty but wearing his uniform, became suspicious when one of them started to run.

After hearing one of them say, "No, that's just a security officer," the officer made a U-turn, causing all three to run. The officer chased them, first in his car and then on foot. He followed one of the young men, identified as Myers, into a gangway. He was running and holding his waistband in a way that caused the officer to suspect he had a gun.

Myers turned and approached the officer in "an aggressive manner," police said, and the officer told Myers to surrender. Myers continued to come at the officer, and the two got into a physical altercation. Myers then ran from the officer, up an incline, and the officer saw what he believed to be a gun. He did not immediately fire because he wanted to be sure it was a firearm. Myers then turned and pointed a gun toward the officer and fired at least three shots.

As Myers fired, the officer returned fire. Myers continued to pull the trigger, but his gun apparently jammed.

He was pronounced dead at the scene. Autopsy results show a shot to the head through the right cheek killed Myers, according to medical examiner Dr. Michael Graham.

Police recovered the 9mm Smith & Wesson gun Myers used, which had been reported stolen on September 26. Ballistic evidence confirmed that Myers only fired three shots because his gun jammed. Myers had gunshot residue on his hands and clothing and the three bullets fired were also retrieved from the scene.

Dotson said Myers was "no stranger to law enforcement." At 18 years of age, he already had a felony on his record for unlawful use of a weapon in June, 2014 and a misdemeanor for resisting arrest. Myers was also wearing an ankle bracelet at the time he died, a court-ordered monitoring system as a condition of bail in his pending gun case trial.

Yet even with all this hard evidence, relatives of Myers disputed the police version of events, saying Myers didn't have a weapon. "He was unarmed," said Teyonna Myers, 23, a cousin. "He had a sandwich in his hand, and they thought it was a

gun. It's like Michael Brown all over again."

However, Dotson insists, "We recovered a gun at the scene, not a sandwich."

The shooting sparked protests by hundreds of angry locals that raged until dawn on October 9, 2014. Dotson said some in the St. Louis crowd shouted obscenities at officers and damaged three police cars, smashing windows and taillights.

Regardless of the facts, just like with the Brown case and the Trayvon Martin case two years ago in Florida, the shooting was perceived as white racism and police brutality against blacks. "This here was racial profiling turned deadly," said state Senator Jamilah Nasheed, a St. Louis Democrat, who is black.

Protests followed over Columbus Day weekend where NAACP President Cornell Brooks and black activist, "philosopher" and professor Cornel West were among the thousands of protesters in Ferguson and St. Louis who were protesting both the Brown and Myers shootings. West, whose philosophy is founded on the premise that the black community's problems are attributable solely to white supremacist beliefs, was arrested by police along with 50 others.



MISSOURI COPS GEAR UP FOR RIOTS AS DECISION NEARS

By Pete Papaherakles November 9, 2014

he Ferguson, Missouri Police Department geared up for trouble again in anticipation of the grand jury decision on whether to indict Officer Darren Wilson for the killing of Michael Brown. Although an autopsy report showed evidence that Brown was the

aggressor, the mainstream media continued to instigate racial tensions that many predicted would result in new riots if Wilson was acquitted by the grand jury.

According to reports, St. Louis County Police purchased tens of thousands of dollars worth of tear gas, ammunition and riot gear in anticipation of widespread street violence. The so-called less lethal ammunition included smoke and gas grenades, "hornet's nest" grenades, rubber bullets, wooden bullets, "beanbag rounds" and pepper balls. The riot gear included helmets, shields, batons, shin guards and other items like plastic handcuffs.

Associated Press recently reported that Chief Sam Dotson of the St. Louis Metropolitan Police said his force spent \$325,000 on "civil disobedience equipment," presumably since the first round of riots began in August 2014.

Both the mainstream media and the Justice Department were attempting to influence the public's perception of what the grand jury investigation might decide. When racial tensions exploded in Ferguson after the August 9, 2014 shooting, Attorney General Eric Holder traveled to the St. Louis suburb to meet with city leaders and protest organizers and assured them that the federal government would open a civil rights investigation into the shooting of Michael Brown. But that investigation still seems unlikely to result in any charges, as there are no legal grounds for them.

Still, the soon-to-be-replaced attorney general pandered to the black community on Oct. 29 when he said, "I think it's pretty clear that the need for wholesale change in that department is appropriate."

The official autopsy on Brown, which led to the collapse of the case against Wilson, showed that Brown was shot at close range during "a significant altercation at the car" and that foreign matter "consistent with products that are discharged from the barrel of a firearm" was found on tissue from Brown's thumb. In addition to gunpowder residue, Brown's blood was

discovered on Wilson's firearm, which indicates Brown tried to grab Wilson's gun.

Documents prepared by the St. Louis County medical examiner and obtained by the *St. Louis Post-Dispatch* reveal Brown was within inches of Wilson when shot. Forensic tests performed by the Federal Bureau of Investigation reached a similar conclusion.

This is consistent with the St. Louis County Police Department's assertion that Wilson was "pushed back into the car" by Brown and "physically assaulted." The frontal entry point of the bullets and the position of Brown's body during the shooting also indicate that Brown was lunging toward Wilson and did not have his arms raised and back turned to Wilson as claimed by the mainstream media.

Despite the autopsy results putting the main premise behind the protests in Ferguson in jeopardy, demonstrators were still calling for the arrest and prosecution of Wilson. Anticipating the acquittal of Wilson, Rev. Al Sharpton, during a visit to Ferguson, said: "The grand jury is tainted. The confidence of the family has been shattered. We should turn this over to the federal government."

Socialist professor and rapper Cornel West and Missouri state Sen. Jamilah Nasheed (Dem.) were both arrested while protesting in Ferguson. Ms. Nasheed was blocking traffic by kneeling in the middle of the road with her hands raised in the "hands up, don't shoot" pose. She was also intoxicated and in possession of a firearm.

There were two main issues at play in Ferguson. One was the deliberate misrepresentation of the shooting as the result of white racism and police brutality, which incited the riots. The other was the excessive show of a militarized police presence that resulted because of the earlier rioting and looting.

The police might also be complicit in inciting racial tensions by allowing Brown's body to lie on the street for four hours and by not swiftly providing a clear report of exactly

what happened during the shooting. However, the riots, which were egged on by the pathetically biased reporting of the controlled liberal mainstream media, justified the need for the militarized police state presence that followed.

Perhaps the proper tag-line for Ferguson should be, "Pants up, don't loot."

$\star\star\star$

FERGUSON: ANGER & CONFUSION YET HOPE FOR THE FUTURE

By Victor Thorn November 26, 2014

fter rioters and looters ransacked Ferguson, Mo. for the second time in three months following the justified (and imperative) use of police force by Officer Darren Wilson against an 18-year-old thug named Michael Brown, AFP felt it would be beneficial to speak directly with those most impacted by the destruction committed by black criminals and organized leftist protesters.

On Dec. 1, 2014 AFP contacted five individuals. The first, a local business owner, didn't want his name used due to possible repercussions. He began: "My shop got destroyed during the first riots in August. It put a real hurt on me because for two weeks we had no work. In my opinion, the police should have given a gun to every law-abiding citizen in Ferguson. Then, all the people who tried to put us out of business would've been dead the first night."

In terms of the powder keg that incited all this madness, the entrepreneur demanded: "Every finger of blame should be directed at Michael Brown. He stole cigars, roughed up a shopkeeper, and then walked down the middle of a street. When a policeman told him to move, Brown attacked him, threw punches and tried to steal his weapon. In my book that's called assault, resisting arrest, and maybe even attempted murder."

Obviously still angered by what transpired, this man continued: "If you want to know what Michael Brown was like, look at his stepfather. He was caught on camera screaming to other protesters, 'Burn this bitch down.' Authorities are now deciding whether to charge him with inciting a riot. If you ask me, everyone that said Michael Brown stood passively with his hands up should have their [expletive deleted] cut off and shoved in their lying [expletive deleted] mouths."

As to the overall intent of what happened on the evening of Nov. 24, this Ferguson resident stated: "The looters were morons looking for something for nothing. They robbed and stole everything they could get their hands on."

Toni Burrow, a volunteer at the PAKT Community Resource Center, had her own ideas in regard to why this melee ensued. "The mass media is not our friend," she told AFP. "If you look at all the sensationalism that was peddled for three months, it's clear they were at the heart of this unrest. But when all is said and done, it's people like me who have to rebuild our city. I don't have time to die. We have to move on."

Bob McGartland, the 28-year owner of Robinwood Tire and Auto, relayed to AFP: "People like Rev. Al Sharpton only wanted to be in front of the cameras. The facts and truth about what happened to Michael Brown were presented to Sharpton and the New Black Panthers, but they won't accept it. Now, nobody's supporting their cause because they've alienated the entire community. The destruction and violence hasn't helped them."

Financially, the same applies, as McGartland noted. "The looters wanted a free lunch. The businesses they hit, like Walgreens, aren't here anymore. I used to shop there all the time. Now I have to drive farther away. These businesses support the

local people, many of them black. Today, their employees are out of work, and stores can't service them."

Another employee, whose company would only let him speak on conditions of anonymity, said to AFP: "Our sister store got burned down. It's heartbreaking because that same location got hit by a tornado three and a half years ago. Sales are down in Ferguson because everyone is scared."

Lastly, Darlene Stewart of Ferguson's First Presbyterian Church was filled with confusion. She admitted to AFP: "I don't know why these people would target and burn down one of their own churches. I can't understand it. Churches are supposed to represent peace. Do you know what a local minister told me when he heard that Sharpton was coming to town? 'There's going to be trouble.' All that the looters did was take advantage of a catastrophe and throw more fuel on the fire."



BLACK CONSERVATIVE HAS "BLACK RACISM FATIGUE"

By Dave Gahary November 26, 2014

s the mainstream media continues to fan the flames of racism, this time using the police shooting death of teenage black thug Michael Brown of Ferguson, Missouri to advance their agenda, attempting to get the facts of the case out to the public, beyond the "comments" section of so many websites, is an almost impossible feat. Just the convenience store video, the autopsy report and the grand jury evidence and testimony are ample proof to make clear that this 18-year-old "child" was a menace to civilized society and got what he deserved for his



The street memorial for shoplifter Michael Brown featured high-proof wines and alcohol, "blunts" for wrapping large marijuana cigarettes and the ingredients for "purple drank," an intoxicant made with juice and prescription drugs.

documented brazen actions.

Despite all the undisputed evidence that Brown was a misanthrope raised by his parents to hate "whitey," clearly confirmed in their ignorant and profanity-laced performance in front of the cameras, the stepfather, with his pants pulled down exposing his buttocks and calling to "burn this bitch down," a reference to the city of Ferguson, the clowns in Hollywood who actually believe their opinions should matter, and most blacks across America, as evidenced by the almost complete lack of remorse for the savage attacks recorded in Ferguson against businesses that help put food on the tables of their employees, the liberals continue to cling to the tired notion that these animals have a right to torch the town and that police officer Darren Wilson deserves to die for simply defending himself against a 300 lb. arrogant thug and doing what he was paid to do.

For a white person to say the above may be acceptable in many circles, but for a black man to repeat and agree with the

above is too much for liberal and black America to bear. Well, you're about to meet one such black man, former thug Carl Jackson.

This reporter had the opportunity to sit down with Carl to discuss his views on Ferguson as highlighted in his recent article, "Black Thugs Aren't Black Heroes."

Mr. Jackson is 37 years old and married with a daughter. He was born and raised in Compton, California, and resides now in Orlando, Florida.

Jackson said he is "a Christian conservative American who happens to be black," and "[I] was a thug, so you're speaking to someone who's been transformed by the grace of God."

Jackson explained his view of racism in America: "I've experienced some racism from whites," he began. "I've experienced some racism from Mexicans. I've experienced a lot more racism from blacks. So, I don't tend to buy into this whole narrative that America is still an overwhelmingly racist nation."

Overall, Jackson is clear on his views of racism in this country. "I've experienced very little racism in my life, thank God. Are people prejudiced? Absolutely. Man, I'm prejudiced. If I go to a white neighborhood at night and I'm walking the streets, I'm gonna be a little bit on guard. I'm not ashamed to admit that. It's common sense. If I go to a largely Mexican neighborhood at night, I'm gonna be on my guard. If I go to a black neighborhood at night, I'm gonna be on my guard, and sometimes twice as much. The dirty little secret is, every black person knows it. The dirty little secret is black people instinctively know that blacks tend to be more violent in America. We know it."

Jackson blames the Democratic Party for a lot of the ills in America's black communities. "Liberals have to keep us divided in order to succeed. In order for Democrats to win they have to convince you that you're nothing, that you can't go anywhere, and that you can't accomplish anything."

Jackson explained how hatred for whites was taught him

in school at a young age. "Growing up in LA we would hear the little Jesse Jackson mantras that our teachers would tell us. Now Democrats have been able to co-opt the black community, and as a result we can see that the black community is destroyed. In the '70s, the black community had the highest rates, percentage-wise, of marriage in the country, even above whites. Where are we today? We have over 70% of black children being born out of wedlock, and this happened virtually overnight, in a decade or less. Once the welfare state kicked in under LBJ, once the 'Great Society' came into play, it destroyed the black society."

Jackson continued, "I don't listen to rap music anymore because it was one of the things that got me angry. This notion that music doesn't influence your thinking is just nonsense because I was constantly ready for a fight. Ferguson, Missouri is just a symptom of liberalism. This notion that there is no justice is absolutely ridiculous."

Jackson has what he calls black racism fatigue. "Race hustlers like Jesse Jackson, Al Sharpton, they're in this stuff for the notoriety. They're in it for the money. I do believe that if they don't repent, they'll have a heavy price to pay when they stand before God."

This reporter asked how blacks respond to him and if he's ever been approached by angry black folks or threatened. "I've had some threats in my email," he said. "I've gotten some death threats, I've gotten some name-calling."

For Jackson, it's the blacks who bear the blame. "Blacks don't know our history, we don't know our information, and we don't realize how exceptional America is because we never look at history in its proper context. Did America have some racist times? Yeah. But if we compare it to the rest of the world, America has always been far better than any society that has ever existed, as far as economics, opportunity, and race relations are concerned."

This reporter asked if he could sympathize with some white Americans who have the attitude that if the blacks don't like it here they should go back to Africa.

"When you look at Jesse Jackson, when you look at Al Sharpton, my statement to people like them would be: 'Then go back to Africa. Live the African life without the fame, without the fortune, and see how you do,'" he answered.



MICHAEL BROWN CASE: OPEN & SHUT

By Pete Papaherakles December 3, 2014

he long anticipated grand jury verdict in Ferguson affirmed what American Free Press has been reporting and what rational Americans knew all along. On November 24, 2014 Prosecutor Robert McCulloch announced there was insufficient evidence to indict Officer Darren Wilson of any wrongdoing in the killing of Michael Brown.

McCulloch went on to detail the three-month long process of evidence gathering and testimony which led to this decision. The evidence included three separate autopsies, including one independently done by the Brown family, Wilson's testimony as well as those by scores of witnesses, physical evidence, police reports, and hospital reports.

All the evidence confirmed to the grand jury that Wilson shot Brown in self-defense. Although Brown has been portrayed by the media as "an unarmed, innocent black teenager gunned down by a racist white cop," the evidence shows otherwise.

Here is what we now know. According to the evidence, Brown, 18, who was six-feet, four-inches tall and weighed 292 lbs., and a friend, Dorian Johnson, entered the Ferguson Market and Liquor, a convenience store, on the morning of August 9. Brown was then captured on surveillance video reaching across the sales counter and taking several packs of Swisher cigarillos without any attempt to be surreptitious. These mini-cigars are often used to make marijuana cigarettes known as "blunts," and judging from the yellow socks adorned with green marijuana patterns Brown was wearing that day, it would be reasonable to assume this was the intended use of the cigarillos.

When the store attendant tried to prevent his exit, Brown grabbed him by the shirtfront and shoved him out of the way into a potato chip display rack next to the entry door before exiting with the stolen goods.

It is revealing that Brown and his friend were not concerned that they had just robbed a store and assaulted a clerk, and that police would be notified.

After leaving the convenience store, they proceeded to walk in the middle of nearby Canfield Street on the yellow line with the stolen merchandise in hand, forcing cars to drive around them.

When Officer Darren Wilson asked them to move to the sidewalk, not only did they refuse, they made no effort to conceal the stolen goods. Whether they were on drugs or acting out an attitude of "gangster" bravado, they were clearly looking for trouble. This is critical to understand because what follows is truly surreal.

According to Wilson's testimony, he was trying to be non-confrontational when asking them, "Hey guys, why don't you walk on the sidewalk?" They refused, replying, "We're almost at our destination," they told him. "But what's wrong with the sidewalk?" Wilson patiently insisted.

Wilson said that Brown answered him: "F - - - what you have to say."

As Brown and his friend walked past Wilson's police car, Wilson noticed that the two men matched the description of the individuals wanted in the convenience store robbery he had just heard about on his police radio.

After calling for reinforcements on his radio, Wilson backed up his police SUV and parked it at an angle in front of their walking direction.

As they approached, Wilson, waiting for back-up to arrive, told Brown he wanted to talk to him. He then started opening the door of his vehicle. At that point Brown asked him, "What the f - - are you going to do about it?" and slammed the door shut. Wilson tried to open his door again, but Brown slammed it shut and leaned into the vehicle and punched Wilson in the face.

"I tried to hold his right arm and use my left hand to get some kind of control and not be trapped in my car anymore," Wilson explained in his testimony. "When I grabbed him, the only way I can describe it is I felt like a five-year-old holding on to Hulk Hogan."

Brown punched Wilson once more, hitting him squarely on the jaw. Unable to reach his Mace or his police-issued "ASP" club, Wilson pulled out his gun and told Brown to back off or he would shoot.

Brown immediately grabbed the top of Wilson's gun while taunting him, "You're too much of a pussy to shoot me." Brown then twisted the gun against Wilson and was trying to get his finger into the gun's trigger guard to shoot Wilson. After a tussle resulting in two misfires, Wilson got a shot off on his third attempt as he barely managed to push the gun away from him and down into the door panel, shattering the window glass.

Startled by the shot and broken glass, Brown stepped back momentarily, only to come attacking again.

"He had the most intense, aggressive face," said Wilson. "The only way I can describe it is he looked like a demon; that's how angry he was."

Wilson shielded his face as Brown hit him again. Wilson

then shot one more time. At this point, Brown ran away from the car. Wilson said he called dispatch one more time and got out of the car to go after Brown. Brown ran about 35 feet to the street corner and stopped. He turned around, facing Wilson, and Wilson told him to get on the ground.

Brown then charged toward Wilson as he continued telling him to get on the ground. Wilson fired several shots, at least one of which hit Brown, but Brown continued moving forward. Wilson kept telling him to get on the ground as he started backpedaling, but Brown kept charging. Wilson fired another volley of shots, with at least one hitting Brown and causing him to flinch.

Brown then put his head down and started charging at Wilson who continued backpedaling and telling Brown to get on the ground. When Brown was eight to 10 feet away Wilson fired the last set of shots, hitting Brown in the head. It was all over at this point. Brown finally fell dead on the pavement.

There were 60 eyewitnesses who gave testimony. There is no doubt that Brown was walking in the middle of the street or that his body was in Wilson's car while they were scuffling. Dozens of eyewitnesses also told the same exact story about the final shooting scene. Many stressed the fact that at no point did they see Brown putting his hands up, while some commented on the furious, demonic look on Brown's face as he charged Wilson.

There were some individuals who said they saw Brown raise his hands, and even that he was down on his knees when Wilson shot him, execution style. Others said Brown raised his hands with his back turned to Wilson. But their testimonies were filled with inconsistencies and ambiguities, and several admitted they were friends or acquaintances of Brown. When interviewed on the witness stand by investigators, seven to eight witnesses admitted that they perjured themselves about the shooting.

All three autopsies agreed that the entry points of all the

shots were from the front, and that the fatal shots to the head were while Brown's body was lunging forward. Brown's blood was found on Wilson's gun and on the police car. Pictures taken of Wilson at the hospital show bruising and swelling on the right side of his face and redness on the back of his neck.

Yet the media continues referring to Brown as an "unarmed teenager" who was gunned down or even "executed" by a white police officer. They have condemned prosecutor McCulloch, who is a Democrat, as biased, the grand jury decision as a whitewash and a farce, and claimed justice was not served. Al Sharpton said the grand jury decision was a joke since Brown didn't have a chance to defend himself.

After the grand jury decision was announced, Brown's stepfather urged protesters to "burn the bitch down" as rioters looted stores and burned at least 12 buildings to the ground in Ferguson. At least 61 people were arrested on the nights of November 24 and 25, 2014. Riots and looting took place all across the country as "protesters" expressed their outrage at the perceived injustice, with over 400 arrests nationwide.

In an effort to prove that the Ferguson Police Department is racist against blacks, the media pointed out that more than 85% of motorists pulled over in the city were black and that the arrest rate among blacks was twice the rate among white residents. These statistics, however, omit the fact that Ferguson is 68% black, and that according to Justice Department statistics blacks are five times more likely to commit crimes than whites, which essentially means that blacks are not getting arrested as often as they should be.

They also point out that Ferguson is a majority-black city governed mostly by whites. They don't explain that Ferguson has traditionally been a white town that only recently has been taken over by blacks. In 1970, 99% of the population of Ferguson was white and only 1% black. Even in 1990 whites comprised 74% of the total, while blacks made up only 25%.

HONEST TALK ABOUT RACE TRUMPS SCIENCE


By Pete Papaherakles December 14, 2014

ames Dewey Watson's 1962 Nobel Prize for co-discovering deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) sold for an astonishing \$4.76 million at an auction held on December 4 at Christie's auction house in New York, marking the first time a living scientist has ever sold his Nobel. Watson received the Nobel Prize in Medicine, along with Francis Crick and Maurice Wilkins, for discovering the double helix structure of DNA, which laid a foundation for the science of genomics. Their discovery was actually made nine years earlier, in 1953, when Watson was only 24 years old.

In his 1968 book *The Double Helix: A Personal Account of the Discovery of the Structure of DNA*, Watson called DNA "the Rosetta Stone for unraveling the true secret of life." He added that DNA offered the key to how our genes determine not only the color of our hair and eyes, but "our comparative intelligence and even our potential to amuse others."

Watson didn't realize the amount of notoriety this discovery would eventually bring to him. The world-famous biologist was shunned by the scientific community after linking intelligence to race. In 2007 he told *The Sunday Times* of London: "[I am] inherently gloomy about the prospect of Africa [because] all our social policies are based on the fact that their intelligence is the same as ours—whereas all the testing says not really."

He went on to say that, despite the desire that all human beings should be equal, "People who have to deal with black



A brilliant scientist, James Watson was the cofounder of DNA in 1962. But his scientific genius did not protect him from the thought police when he began to discuss the taboo topic of the differences between the races.

employees find this not true."

This was no excuse for discrimination against blacks, Watson explained. "There are many people of color who are very talented, but don't promote [people of color] when they haven't succeeded at the lower level."

He explained that he had recently inaugurated a DNA learning center in New York near Harlem and wanted to have more black researchers at the lab. "But there's no one to recruit."

As many others before him found out the hard way, candor about race in the media is not a good idea. The outcry about his comments being racist forced him to retire from his position as chancellor of the Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory on Long Island, where he had worked for nearly 40 years as director, 35 of those years being as president. His work there was described by the current president as "unparalleled in the world of science."

He has also not had any speaking engagements since 2007. "Because I was an 'unperson,' I was fired from the boards of companies, so I have no income, apart from my academic income," Watson said.

What Watson said about race and IQ is nothing new. It was documented by scientists and anthropologists throughout the 20th century. *The Bell Curve: Intelligence and Class Structure in American Life*, a bestselling 1994 book by Richard J. Herrnstein and Charles Murray, demonstrates that genetics account for many differences between the races, including intelligence.

Books by Philippe Rushton, Carleton Putnam, William Shockley, Carleton Coon and other scientists and anthropologists also reach the same conclusions. Thousands of tests and studies conducted over many decades show that on average blacks are 15 points lower in IQ than whites. This is not racism; it's statistics.

Due to political correctness, this scientifically proven fact has become like the proverbial "emperor's new clothes" in order to maintain the equality myth. Blacks are also more prone to violence due to hormone differences, which has been demonstrated by black crime statistics and the recent events in Ferguson, Missouri. Blacks can sprint faster and jump higher than whites due to the fact that they have more fast-twitch muscle fibers, but they can't swim, ski or perform gymnastics as well as whites. This has been documented in scientific studies and books such as *Taboo: Why Black Athletes Dominate Sports and Why We Are Afraid to Talk About It* written by Jon Entine.

It all comes down to the nature-versus-nurture debate. Cultural Marxists in control of the media and academia have been teaching for many decades that race is simply a "social construct" and that there are no genetic differences except skin color and that intelligence and personality are strictly products of one's upbringing. This nonsense has been taught in academia since Jewish "cultural anthropologist" Franz Boaz first introduced the Marxist pseudoscience idea in the 1930s. Today

it has become gospel.

The myth of racial equality is essential in maintaining racial tensions. If we are all created equal, yet blacks are still underachievers, it can only be that blacks have been victims of white oppression and racism and are entitled to benefits by whites as reparations.

By discrediting Watson and the evidence of racial inequality that his research exposes, the establishment is desperately trying to keep Americans under an ever-diminishing egalitarian illusion manufactured by cultural Bolsheviks.



BLACKS HAVE MORE TO FEAR FROM FELLOW BLACKS THAN FROM COPS

By Pete Papaherakles December 31, 2014

here is no doubt that Michael Brown was rightfully killed in self-defense by Officer Darren Wilson in Ferguson, Mo. The grand jury conclusively decided this was the case, and all the evidence confirms that decision. Yet most black leaders, liberals and the media still try to make a case that the biggest problem today in America is white cops killing innocent black males. This myth has been repeated over and over again, but according to statistics compiled by the FBI, blacks have more to fear from fellow blacks than they do from police officers across the country.

To make their case, the media and blacks are focusing on other incidents wherein blacks were killed by white cops, once again disregarding whether or not the killings were justified. One is the case of Eric Garner in New York City wherein an obese black man with asthma selling illegal cigarettes died as an unintended result of being in a headlock because he was resisting arrest. Another is the case of Tamir Rice, a 12-year-old black kid in Cleveland who was killed by a white cop after he refused to raise his hands. Instead, Rice pulled a very realistic toy gun on him. This tragic accident happened in two seconds, not allowing time for the police to verify that the five-foot-seven adolescent was a child, or that he was holding a fake gun.

These parties also refuse to focus on cases like the killing of unarmed white 20-year-old Dillon Taylor by black cop Bron Cruz in Salt Lake City which occurred on Aug. 11, 2014, only two days after the Michael Brown killing. A grand jury decided not to prosecute Officer Cruz within the same week that Officer Wilson was acquitted. The national media barely mentioned this case, and no interest or public statements on the matter came from Barack Obama or Attorney General Eric Holder.

Unlike Michael Brown, Dillon Taylor had not committed a robbery, did not punch the officer and attempt to take his gun, nor did he charge him. He was simply a victim of mistaken identity whose only "crime" involved him wearing headphones. Thus, he couldn't hear a frantic officer Cruz asking him to "get your hands down" (sic) before shooting him from the back. This entire incident, a cold-blooded murder by any account, transpired before Taylor even knew what was going on. The entire episode was captured on Officer Cruz's body camera.

Nevertheless, these cases have been emotionally hyped and distorted by the media and black leaders as racist white cops killing innocent blacks. New York City ex-mayor Rudy Giuliani, a Republican, was excoriated by the mainstream media for citing statistics that prove white cops killing black males is minor compared to the thousands who are killed every year by other blacks. During a TV discussion panel on Nov. 23, Giuliani told blacks who were accusing white police

of killing them, "White police officers wouldn't be there if you weren't killing each other." The former mayor of New York pointed out that 93% of blacks who are killed are killed by other blacks.

After the other talk-show guest, Georgetown Prof. Michael Eric Dyson, questioned that statistic, Giuliani responded by citing another figure from a 2010 Bureau of Justice Statistics report which did, indeed, conclude that 93% of black homicide victims from 1980 through 2008 were killed by black offenders.

AFP took a look at the 2007 U.S. Bureau of Justice Statistics report. In that study, the U.S. government agency reported that blacks were victims of 7,999 homicides in 2005. It corroborated Giuliani's claim that 93% of blacks were killed by other blacks, or about 7,440 murders.

A six-year FBI study conducted between 2007 and 2012 found that, on average, local police forces kill 400 people every year in the Unites States. Of those, only 96, or 25%, involved blacks killed by white police officers.

According to the nonprofit New Century Foundation, it is worth noting that of the nearly 1 million violent crimes committed every year involving blacks and whites in the United States, blacks commit 85%, while whites commit 15%.

Faced with an overwhelming lack of evidence to support their claims, rather than concede the myth of white cops killing black males, the liberal media went after the FBI, claiming the agency lacked transparency.

Eventually, the relatively conservative Wall Street Journal conceded, "Hundreds of homicides committed by police officers in the United States between 2007 and 2012 had not been recorded by the FBI."

A later Fox News report undercut that claim, stating: "It turned out that more than 550 police killings from 2007 and 2012 were missing from the national tally, making it impossible to determine precisely how many people the police had killed during the reported period of time."

Assuming this discrepancy is correct, the adjusted yearly death tally would rise to 492 police killings per year, bringing the number of blacks killed by white police officers per year in the U.S. to 105. Putting these figures in perspective then, for every one black man killed by a white police officer in the U.S. every year, there are about 71 blacks killed by other black civilians. That's a ratio of 71-to-1 of blacks killing blacks compared to cops killing blacks.

The problem, of course, is that this grim statistic will never be mentioned by Al Sharpton, the liberal media, or all the other race baiters out there who are busy pointing their fingers at supposedly racist white policemen that allegedly kill "innocent, unarmed black children."

By the way, last year 105 policemen were killed in the line of duty. This year alone 109 have been killed. That's more than the number of blacks killed by white policemen, yet Sharpton sheds no tears for any of them.



THE CIVIL RIGHTS SCAM

By Victor Thorn December 31, 2014

fter being relentlessly inundated with toxic black mouthpieces like Rev. Al Sharpton, Jesse Jackson and Eric Holder, a vital question must be asked. Why don't corporate media outlets provide a platform to minority figures who encourage family values?

On Dec. 10, 2014 AFP spoke with syndicated radio talk show host, news anchor, and columnist Ron Edwards about

this matter. As a black man, Edwards began: "Until the 1960s civil rights movement, blacks were predominantly Christians, patriotic, they had jobs, and tried to keep their families together. My father told me years ago that civil rights are really bastardized rights."

When asked why, Edwards replied: "Civil rights are based upon artificial rights that can be perverted for certain groups. They're used to harm instead of help. Once blacks received 'special' rights, along with welfare destroying the nuclear family, they were taught to disrespect authority."

Edwards continued, "Today, young black men think they can purposely get in somebody's face and do whatever they want. People are afraid to say that there's an in-your-face attitude among black youth. Look at Michael Brown in Ferguson. He bullied a store owner before picking a fight with the police. Doing wrong is now their 'right.' People like Al Sharpton have made evil and perversion civil rights. Too many of these knuckle-draggers have devolved into the mindset that their immoral rights supersede everyone else's rights."

The culprits behind this plot are clear. "This scenario has been plotted all the way back to Marx, Engels and Margaret Sanger," Edwards stressed. "Their disciples want a situation where America will be destroyed, and they're using the black community to do it. The media elite promote rappers like Jay-Z instead of someone like me with a positive message."

Returning to the notion of respect, Edwards said, "Liberal whites hate America, the police, and blacks. Through decades of indoctrination by their liberal white masters that run the school systems, blacks have been deprogrammed to reality and intellectual development. When liberals and communists gradually wormed their way into education, they pretended to be on the side of blacks. Now, at liberal colleges, they're turning students against the police."

The implications are obvious, as Edwards suggested. "Liberals are teaching today's youth, especially blacks, a hatred for positive authority. They want to dethrone America as a great nation by bringing down the father, positive male role models, and the police. They're weakening our nation by deliberately creating discord and division."

Furthering his analysis, Edwards added, "The black community has been used as an experimental Petri dish on how to destroy our nation. Civil rights leaders like Sharpton have decimated in blacks the ideas of family, work and God. Blacks are nothing more than useful idiots for destructions."

In a Dec. 5, 2014 article entitled "An Open Thank You to Police Officers," Edwards wrote, "Why do liberals and progressives in general only support policies that hinder families, erase economic prosperity, minimize the importance of morality and advocate abortion, along with the hatred of local police?"

Of course, another factor must be introduced to complete the picture. Edwards provided these details. "Why isn't Barack Obama, the White House occupier-in-charge, trying to change this situation? The nature of government is to move toward tyranny. When liberals in government keep pushing their Great White Father that divides black families, it doesn't take long for black mothers to berate their children and tell them they're no good. Again, this tactic goes all the way back to FDR and how he sought to get black fathers out of the house via welfare stipends. The result is bitterness, anger and frustration. A huge federal government is the biggest extortion racket in the history of this planet."

Not content to merely speak in conceptual terms, Edwards offered the following example: "The destruction of America will come about through division. The majority of residents living in Detroit are in favor of America's destruction. Their mindsets have been corrupted for so many generations that it's become part of the black DNA code. The Detroit mindset is so embittered that they want everyone to fall along with them. They'd rather burn America down than build it up."

144 | AMERICA'S RACIAL POWDER KEG

Despite all these problems, Edwards stated with encouragement: "America won't be destroyed. Obama has been so horrible with race relations that it's been a slap across the face. People in this country are finally waking up to what he's all about."

THREE U.S. PRESIDENTS SPEAK OUT ABOUT RACE

hree U.S. presidents are perceived as having done more to liberate blacks from inequality, slavery and segregation than anyone else: Thomas Jefferson, Abraham Lincoln and Lyndon Johnson. Jefferson is often credited for having penned the Declaration of Independence, although some say the first draft might have been by Thomas Paine. The quote, "All men are created equal," while taken out context, has been used as a foundation for the premise that blacks and whites are equal and should peacefully coexist.

Lincoln's Emancipation Proclamation freed some of the blacks from slavery, and as such has been portrayed as an admission of wrongdoing by whites for having engaged in the institution of slavery.

Johnson's Civil Rights Act ended segregation by establishing Great Society welfare programs while once again reinforcing the idea that whites owed reparations to blacks for not having treated them on an equal basis.

But what did these three presidents really think about blacks? Did they really see Negroes as the victims of white oppression? Did they see them as equals? Did they feel blacks and whites could peacefully coexist? Their own words speak for themselves.

Thomas Jefferson was afraid that freeing the slaves would

bring about a race war. He said, "Freeing the slaves will divide us into parties and produce convulsions which will probably never end but in the extermination of one or the other race."


He was also concerned about race mixing, and with a shocking bluntness by today's standards, Jefferson pointed to some physical differences that made the two races incompatible. "Whites have flowing hair, a more elegant symmetry of form," he said. "Even black men prefer white women over their own." He also mentioned body odor. "Blacks secrete less by the kidneys and more by the glands of the skin, which gives them a very strong and disagreeable odor." He continued, "Are not the fine mixtures of red and white, the expressions of every passion by greater or less suffusions of color in the white race, preferable to that eternal monotony which reigns in the countenances, that immovable veil of black that covers all the emotions of the other race?"


On black courtship rituals, Jefferson said, "They are more ardent after their female: but love seems with them to be more an eager desire than a tender delicate mixture of sentiment and sensation."

Commenting on black intelligence, he explained, "In memory they are equal to the whites; in reason much inferior, as I think one could scarcely be found capable of tracing and comprehending the investigations of Euclid; and that in imagination they are dull, tasteless and anomalous."

Jefferson compared blacks to the white slaves of Rome, who, despite living under crueler slavery conditions, still produced great thinkers and writers like Terence, Epictetus and Phaedrus. Jefferson concluded, "I advance it therefore as a suspicion only, that the blacks, whether originally a distinct race, or made distinct by time and circumstances, are inferior to whites in the endowments both of body and mind. . . . This unfortunate difference of color, and perhaps of faculty, is a powerful obstacle to the emancipation of these people."

Abraham Lincoln's sentiments on equality and coexis-







Three U.S. presidents are credited with most helping blacks achieve their racial potential in the United States. All three, however, have had their positions misconstrued by politically correct history. None of them really desired for blacks to integrate fully into white society, and thus should not be looked upon by blacks as true heroes of black social and political emancipation.

tence were equally blunt. Although his views were presented in an earlier chapter, it is appropriate to repeat one of them. "I am not, nor ever have been, in favor of making voters or jurors of Negroes, nor of qualifying them to hold office, nor to intermarry with white people. I will say in addition to this that there is a physical difference between the white and black races which I believe will forever forbid the two races living together on terms of social and political equality. . . . I, as much as any other man, am in favor of having the superior position assigned to the white race."

Like Abe Lincoln who freed blacks from slavery by signing the Emancipation Declaration in 1862, Lyndon Johnson signed the 1964 Civil Rights Act and the 1965 Voting Rights Act a century later, formally ending racial segregation in America. He too is considered a major liberator of the blacks from white racism and oppression, a true friend of the blacks, a believer in their cause, and a president who stood by them throughout their struggles against racial inequality.

But was Johnson really so sensitive to the rights of blacks and sympathetic to their cause, or did he too, like Lincoln, respond to political pressure? Did he really believe in racial

equality? According to biographer Robert Caro, LBJ referred to the Civil Rights Bill as "the nigger bill." Johnson mostly called blacks "niggers," or used the term "nigra" or "negra," depending on which Southern state he was in.

For two decades in Congress, Johnson was never an advocate of racial equality, but a reliable member of the Southern bloc helping to stonewall civil rights legislation. Caro wrote that in the late 1940s Johnson perpetually ranted about "hordes of barbaric yellow dwarves" in East Asia. Reveling in the stereotype that blacks were afraid of snakes, he'd drive to gas stations with a live snake in his trunk and try to trick black attendants into opening it. Once, the stunt nearly ended with him being beaten with a tire iron by a horrified black.

Johnson explained his decision to nominate Thurgood Marshall to the Supreme Court instead of a lesser-known black judge by saying, "When I appoint a nigger to the bench, I want everybody to know he's a nigger."

Johnson once asked Robert Parker, his black chauffeur, whether he'd prefer to be referred to by his first name as opposed to "boy," "nigger" or "chief." When Parker said he'd rather be called by his name, Johnson became irate and hollered, "As long as you are black, and you're gonna be black till the day you die, no one's gonna call you by your goddamn name. So no matter what you are called, nigger, you just let it roll off your back like water and you'll make it. Just pretend you're a goddamn piece of furniture."

Johnson said in 1963: "These Negroes—they're getting pretty uppity these days, and that's a problem for us since they've got something now they never had before, the political pull to back up their uppityness. Now we've got to do something about this, we've got to give them a little something, just enough to quiet them down, not enough to make a difference. . . . I'll have them niggers voting Democratic for the next 200 years."

Lyndon Johnson's Great Society plan was perhaps the

most detrimental legislation passed regarding racial relations. Since his intentions were hardly innocent and highly hypocritical, LBJ set the foundation for today's Marxist welfare state and an attitude of entitlement among blacks while breeding white guilt, resentment, and a sense of reverse racism among liberals. It destroyed the black family structure and encouraged a criminal, parasitic lifestyle in the black community while helping to bankrupt the country. But not by accident. It seems the architects of this detrimental social experiment knew exactly what they were doing.

In hindsight, looking back at all the misery, death, hatred, shame, violence, turmoil, social destruction and bankruptcy that the institution of slavery and its aftermath have brought to our nation, endless books could be written analyzing what could have been done differently and better. Yet, it can all be summed up in just seven words. "We should have picked our own cotton."

—Pete Papaherakles
January 2015

THE BARNES REVIEW . . .

No topic is too controversial for The Barnes Review, the most interesting history magazine published anywhere today. Commemorating the trailblazing path of the towering 20th-century Revisionist historian, Harry Elmer Barnes, TBR's mission is to separate historical truth from propaganda and to bring history into accord with the facts. Founded in 1994 by veteran American nationalist Willis A.

veteran American nationalist Willis A. Carto, The Barnes Review concurs with Rousseau's maxim that "Falsification of his-

THE BARNES REVIEW
A JOURNAL OF NATIONALIST THOUGHT & HISTORY

Six Big
Issues
a Year!

A.

In Defense of Adolf Hitler
This-

tory has done more to impede human development than any one thing known to mankind." TBR covers all aspects of history from the dawn of man to recent events and also places a special focus on the philosophy of nationalism. As such, TBR proudly describes itself as a "journal of nationalist thought" and dares to be politically incorrect in a day when Cultural Marxism prevails in the mass media, in academia and in day-to-day life. If you believe everything you see in the "responsible" media or think that absolutely everything that appears in most college-level history texts is true, you might be shocked by what you see in TBR—but if you are shocked by what you see in TBR, then that's all the more reason you need to join the growing ranks of independent-minded free-thinkers all over the world who are longtime TBR subscribers.

THE BARNES REVIEW is \$46 for ONE year (six bimonthly issues—64 pages each issue). **Get this special FREE bonus:** A copy of the book *Share the Wealth: Huey Long vs. Wall Street*. That's a \$20 gift FREE for a one-year domestic U.S.A. subscription. Subscribe for two years at \$78 and get *Share the Wealth: Huey Long vs. Wall Street* PLUS Ralph Grandinetti's *Final Solution: Germany's Madagascar Resettlement Plan*. Email sales@barnesreview.org for foreign subscription rates and S&H to your nation.


Call 1-877-773-9077 today and charge a subscription or send payment to The Barnes Review, P.O. Box 15877, Washington, D.C. 20003

Check us out at WWW.BARNESREVIEW.COM • TOLL FREE 1-877-773-9077

AMERICA'S RACIAL POWDER KEG

How a Violent Dependency State Has Been Created Within The Black Community

After being promised a "post-racial" America by Barack Obama, this nation has instead seen cities set ablaze, anarchy in the streets, police officers assassinated in cold blood, and violence directed against innocent whites. Similarly, not only are members of the New Black Panther Party permitted to intimidate voters at polling stations, their leaders unequivocally call for the slaughter of whites, including their children. Rather than trying to temper this outrageous behavior, Obama and his cronies, including outgoing Attorney General Eric Holder, have



given their none-too-subtle nod of approval by associating with greedy race-peddlers like Al Sharpton. Spearheading a grievance industry that's based upon an overt hatred of whites dating back hundreds of years, Sharpton and company have become fabulously wealthy as their black followers spiral toward destruction. When coupled with multi-generational government welfare, a violent dependency state has been created within the black community that threatens to tear America apart at the seams. *America's Racial Powder Keg* examines this phenomenon—without the constraints of political correctness—like no mainstream book has the courage to do. Via this book, the issue of race will never again be seen through the same rose-colored glasses.

America's Racial Powder Keg: Softcover, 149 pages, \$20 plus \$4 S&H inside the U.S. (Outside the U.S. email shop@americanfreepress.net for S&H.) Order from AFP, 16000 Trade Zone Avenue, Unit 406, Upper Marlboro, MD 20774-8789 or call 1-888-699-6397 toll free to charge. Order the book online at www.americanfreepress.net.

NEW SUBSCRIBER SPECIAL:

American Free Press Special Subscription Deal

here is no other paper in America like American Free Press (AFP). Every week the hard-driving journalists at American Free Press dig for the truth—no matter where the facts may lead. AFP's reporting has been lauded by prominent personalities across the globe, while here at home the controlled media and global power elite try their best to make you believe what you are getting in mainstream publications and on the nightly news is "the whole truth." Nothing could be further from reality!



From the unanswered questions about 9-11, the free trade fiasco, the happenings in our corrupt Congress, uncontrolled immigration, to alternative health news and more, AFP tackles the toughest issues of the day with a candid and provocative reporting style that has earned us a host of devoted followers—and powerful enemies.

Isn't it time you started getting a fresh, honest approach to the news that can make or break the future of you and your family?

You'll find all that in AFP plus lots more. AFP is guaranteed to provide all the "sizzle" we promise or we will refund the unused portion of your subscription—no questions asked!

Special "FREE BOOKS" Offer!

Get a FREE copy of Victor Thorn's Frontman: Barack Obama Exposed (\$20 retail) when you subscribe to AFP for ONE year (52 issues per year). Get TWO FREE BOOKS—Frontman PLUS Hillary & Bill: The Murder Volume (\$30 retail)—when you subscribe to AFP for TWO years (104 issues) for \$89. That's \$50 in FREE gifts! Send payment to AFP, 16000 Trade Zone Avenue, Unit 406, Upper Marlboro, MD 20774. Call AFP toll free at 1-888-699-NEWS (6397) to charge. See other subscription offers at www.AmericanFreePress.net.

AMERICAN FREE PRESS ORDERING COUPON


Item#	Description/Title	Qty	Cost Ea.	Total		
Send me a 1-year USA subscription to AFP for \$49						
	Send me a 2-year USA subscription to AFP for \$89					
	TOTAL					
Add \$8 S8 the U.S. e	BOOKS: Add \$4 S&H on orders up to \$2 kH on orders from \$50.01 to \$75. Add \$10 email shop@AmericanFreePress.net for www.AmericanFreePress.net	o flat S&H on or	ders over \$100). Note: Outside		
PAYMEN	NT OPTIONS: CHECK/MO VIS	SA □MC □	DISCOVER	⊒ AMEX		
Card#_						
Expiration	on Date Signa	ature				
CUSTO NAME	MER INFORMATION:			ARPK15		
ADDRE	SS					
CITY/ST	TATE/ZIP					

RETURN WITH PAYMENT TO: AMERICAN FREE PRESS, 16000 Trade Zone Avenue, Unit 406, Upper Marlboro, MD 20774. Call 1-888-699-6397 toll free to charge.

America's Racial Powder Keg

How a Violent Dependency State Has Been Created Within The Black Community

fter being promised a "post-racial" America by Barack Obama, this nation has instead seen cities set ablaze, anarchy in the streets, police officers assassinated in cold blood, and violence directed against innocent whites. Similarly, not only are members of the New Black Panther Party permitted to intimidate voters at polling stations, their leaders unequivocally call for the slaughter of whites, including their children. Rather than trying to temper this outrageous behavior, Obama and his cronies, including outgoing Attorney General Eric Holder, have given their none-too-subtle nod of approval by associating with



greedy race-peddlers like Al Sharpton. Spearheading a grievance industry that's based upon an overt hatred of whites dating back hundreds of years, Sharpton and company have become fabulously wealthy as their black followers spiral toward destruction. When coupled with multi-generational government welfare, a violent dependency state has been created within the black community that threatens to tear America apart at the seams. *America's Racial Powder Keg* examines this phenomenon—without the constraints of political correctness—like no mainstream book has the courage to do. Via this book, the issue of race will never again be seen through the same rose-colored glasses. *America's Racial Powder Keg*: Softcover, 149 pages, \$20 plus \$4 S&H inside the U.S. (Outside the U.S. email shop@americanfreepress.net for S&H.) Order from AFP, 16000 Trade Zone Avenue, Unit 406, Upper Marlboro, MD 20774-8789 or call 1-888-699-6397 toll free to charge. Order the book online at www.americanfreepress.net.



\$20

Manufactured in America AMERICAN FREE PRESS 16000 Trade Zone Avenue, # 406 Upper Marlboro, MD 20774 www.AmericanFreePress.net